Population-Specific Clinical Competency

Adapting evidence-based care across eight distinct populations so it actually reaches the person in front of you

10-Day Challenges

CONTENTS

- Part 1 · About this challenge
- Part 2 · Population Competency Self-Assessment
- Part 3 · Day 1 · The Case for Population-Specific Competency
- Part 4 · Day 2 · Treating the Developing Brain
- Part 5 · Day 3 · The Hidden Population
- Part 6 · Day 4 · Trauma-Informed Care for Women
- Part 7 · Day 5 · Minority Stress, Identity, and Recovery
- Part 8 · Day 6 · Race, Ethnicity, and Cultural Adaptation
- Part 9 · Day 7 · The Criminal Justice Population
- Part 10 · Day 8 · Integrated Dual Diagnosis Treatment
- Part 11 · Day 9 · Pain, Opioids, and the Complexity Beneath
- Part 12 · Day 10 · Building Your Competency Plan

© The Recovery Files

Part 1 · About this challenge

Adapting evidence-based care across eight distinct populations so it actually reaches the person in front of you.

Competency Score

Population Competency Self-Assessment

Take it on Day 1 · Return to it on Day 10

The 10-Day Pathway

DAY 1 · FOUNDATION

The Case for Population-Specific Competency

Why one-size treatment fails, and what replacing it requires

DAY 2 · ADOLESCENTS

Treating the Developing Brain

Adolescent SUD — development, motivation, and the family system

DAY 3 · OLDER ADULTS

The Hidden Population

Late-onset use, recognition barriers, and age-appropriate adaptation

DAY 4 · WOMEN & TRAUMA

Trauma-Informed Care for Women

Gender-responsive, trauma-centered, co-occurring-aware

DAY 5 · LGBTQ+

Minority Stress, Identity, and Recovery

Affirmative, competent, system-aware care

DAY 6 · RACE & CULTURE

Race, Ethnicity, and Cultural Adaptation

Anti-racist practice, trust, and culturally responsive care

DAY 7 · JUSTICE-INVOLVED

The Criminal Justice Population

Coercion, trauma, reentry, and building genuine motivation

DAY 8 · CO-OCCURRING

Integrated Dual Diagnosis Treatment

When addiction and mental health are the same problem

DAY 9 · CHRONIC PAIN

Pain, Opioids, and the Complexity Beneath

© The Recovery Files

Treating the patient with chronic pain and opioid use disorder

DAY 10 · INTEGRATION

Building Your Competency Plan

From awareness to accountability

© The Recovery Files

Part 2 · Population Competency Self-Assessment

Population Competency Self-Assessment

Rate each statement 1 (Not at all like me) to 5 (Very much like me). Take it on Day 1, return on Day 10.

Adolescent Competency

I adapt my approach based on adolescent neurological and developmental stage

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

I use motivational approaches designed for adolescent, not adult, readiness levels

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

I actively engage family systems as a clinical core, not an add-on

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

I understand the school, peer, and social context of adolescent use

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

I can identify early-onset use indicators and adjust my treatment intensity accordingly

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

Women's & Trauma-Informed Care

I screen for trauma in all female patients as a clinical default, not an exception

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

I understand how trauma and addiction interact and treat them in an integrated way

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

My approach avoids retraumatization and maintains psychological safety

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

I address gender-specific barriers: parenting, economic instability, IPV history

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

I know and apply at least one evidence-based women-specific treatment model

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

© The Recovery Files

LGBTQ+ Affirmative Practice

I understand minority stress as a primary driver of LGBTQ+ SUD rates

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

I use affirming language, pronouns, and framing without requiring patient education

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

I can identify LGBTQ+-affirming recovery resources in my community

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

I understand how "people, places, things" advice may isolate LGBTQ+ patients

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

My practice environment is genuinely welcoming to LGBTQ+ patients

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

Racial & Cultural Competency

I examine my own practice for racial bias in assessment and recommendation

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

I elicit cultural context from each patient individually rather than relying on generalizations

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

I am aware of treatment disparities and actively work to provide equitable care

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

I understand how historical and ongoing institutional harm shapes treatment engagement

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

I practice ongoing cultural humility rather than treating competency as a fixed state

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

Co-Occurring Disorder Integration

I assess for co-occurring mental health disorders as a clinical default

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

I understand integrated treatment models and apply them in my practice

© The Recovery Files

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

I do not require sobriety as a prerequisite for mental health treatment

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

I address psychiatric medication ambivalence with competence and compassion

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

I collaborate effectively with mental health and psychiatric colleagues

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Not at all · Very much

Scoring guide

Each group gets its own score, as a percent.

Score: 0–30% — Priority Focus: Make this a named professional development priority this quarter.

Score: 31–55% — Developing: A foundation exists. Targeted training and supervision will build it.

Score: 56–75% — Competent: Solid working competency. Continue deepening it.

Score: above 75% — Strong Competency: A clinical strength. Lean on it and share it with colleagues.

© The Recovery Files

Part 3 · Day 1 · The Case for Population-Specific Competency

DAY 1 OF 10 · FOUNDATION

Why one-size treatment fails, and what replacing it requires

Today's Reading

Evidence-based treatment is not a single protocol applied uniformly. It is the disciplined practice of matching what the research supports with the specific human being in front of you. General SUD competency gives you a starting point. Population-specific competency is what makes the treatment actually reach the person.

Every population brings its own relationship to addiction, its own cultural relationship to help-seeking, its own history with systems that were supposed to help but often didn't, and its own set of strengths the treatment system frequently fails to engage. When clinicians apply standard approaches without adaptation, they are not delivering evidence-based care — they are delivering evidence-based care to a hypothetical average patient who does not exist on their caseload.

Over these ten days you will examine eight distinct populations: adolescents, older adults, women (with particular attention to trauma and co-occurring disorders), LGBTQ+ individuals, racial and ethnic minorities, criminal justice populations, co-occurring mental health clients, and chronic pain patients managing opioid use. For each population you will assess your competency honestly, identify the adaptations that matter most, and build a concrete plan for the gaps you find.

Reflect

1. Which populations are most represented on your current caseload, and which do you feel least prepared to serve effectively?

2. Describe a time when a standard approach clearly did not fit a specific patient. What did you adapt, or what do you wish you had adapted?

© The Recovery Files

3. What does "cultural humility" mean to you in practice, beyond the concept?

Today's Work

Which populations feel most familiar and confident for you?

Be specific...

Which populations feel least prepared or least comfortable?

Honest self-assessment...

One experience that shaped your current approach to population-specific care:

A patient, a supervisor, a training, a personal experience...

Set Your Baseline

Take the Population Competency Self-Assessment to map your strengths and priority areas. Return to it on Day 10.

Carry Forward

One thing from today I am carrying into my work this week:

A specific adaptation, a question to ask a patient, a gap to address...

© The Recovery Files

Part 4 · Day 2 · Treating the Developing Brain

DAY 2 OF 10 · ADOLESCENTS

Adolescent SUD — development, motivation, and the family system

Today's Reading

Adolescent SUD is not adult SUD with smaller doses. The neurological, psychological, and social context is fundamentally different. The adolescent brain is still developing, particularly in the prefrontal cortex — the seat of impulse control, consequence evaluation, and long-term planning. Substance use that begins in adolescence disrupts this development in ways that have lasting effects. Earlier onset is one of the strongest predictors of more severe adult SUD.

Motivation works differently in adolescents. They rarely present with the same treatment readiness as adults, and the traditional "consequences as motivation" model frequently fails because adolescent time horizons are compressed — the distant future doesn't carry the same weight. Motivational interviewing adapted for adolescents (MET/CBT5 is the gold standard) meets them where they are without requiring a level of insight that their developmental stage makes difficult.

Family involvement is not optional in adolescent treatment; it is a clinical core. The family system maintains or disrupts recovery in ways that adult relationships simply do not. Engagement, psychoeducation, family behavior change, and addressing parental SUD when present are all part of the clinical picture. And the treatment environment itself matters: adolescents need peer connection, not forced adult-model group therapy in which they are the youngest person in the room.

Reflect

1. How does your clinical approach shift — or should shift — when working with an adolescent versus a young adult?

2. How do you engage families in adolescent treatment, and what gets in the way of doing it well?

3. What is the biggest challenge you face clinically with adolescent motivation, and how do you address it?

© The Recovery Files

Today's Work

A patient on your caseload from this population — what adaptation have you made or should make?

No identifying details. Focus on the clinical approach, not the patient...

One thing you will do differently in your next session with this population:

Concrete, specific, actionable...

Carry Forward

One thing from today I am carrying into my work this week:

A specific adaptation, a question to ask a patient, a gap to address...

© The Recovery Files

Part 5 · Day 3 · The Hidden Population

DAY 3 OF 10 · OLDER ADULTS

Late-onset use, recognition barriers, and age-appropriate adaptation

Today's Reading

Older adults with SUD are among the most underdiagnosed and undertreated populations in behavioral health. Clinical recognition is compromised by several factors: late-onset use beginning after retirement or bereavement, symptom presentation that overlaps with normal aging (cognitive changes, balance issues, sleep disruption), and both patient and clinician bias that substance use is not an "older person's problem."

The CAGE and standard screening tools perform poorly with older adults. Brief assessments that account for lower consumption thresholds for harm — because physiology changes with age — perform better. And polypharmacy is the clinical context that surrounds most older adult SUD: medications that interact with alcohol or opioids, prescribers who don't know what other prescribers have ordered, and a patient who may not connect their symptoms to their use.

Treatment adaptation matters enormously. Standard SUD treatment moves at a pace, uses language, and assumes physical and cognitive capacities that may not fit an older adult. Slower pace, smaller groups, age-cohort peer connection, grief work as a core component, and collaboration with primary care are not accommodations — they are what evidence-based care for this population requires.

Reflect

1. Have you underdiagnosed or under-addressed SUD in an older patient? What made you hesitate?

2. How does your current screening protocol account for age-related differences in presentation and physiology?

3. What would you change about how your program delivers treatment to older adults?

Today's Work

A patient on your caseload from this population — what adaptation have you made or should make?

No identifying details. Focus on the clinical approach, not the patient...

One thing you will do differently in your next session with this population:

Concrete, specific, actionable...

Carry Forward

One thing from today I am carrying into my work this week:

A specific adaptation, a question to ask a patient, a gap to address...

© The Recovery Files

Part 6 · Day 4 · Trauma-Informed Care for Women

DAY 4 OF 10 · WOMEN & TRAUMA

Gender-responsive, trauma-centered, co-occurring-aware

Today's Reading

Women's SUD is the most rapidly growing demographic in treatment, and it is also the population whose needs most frequently remain unmet by standard treatment design. The core reason is that standard SUD treatment was built on research conducted primarily with men, and many of its foundational assumptions — about the role of confrontation, the model of peer accountability, the separation of trauma from addiction treatment — do not fit the clinical picture women typically present.

Trauma is not a co-occurring complication for women in SUD treatment; it is a near-universal clinical reality. The majority of women in treatment have histories of childhood sexual or physical abuse, intimate partner violence, or both. Treatment that separates trauma from addiction — addressing one while tabling the other — is not treating the whole person. Seeking Safety, TREM, and Helping Women Recover are evidence-based integrated models that address both simultaneously.

Gender-responsive treatment attends to the full ecological context: pregnancy and parenting status, child protective services involvement, housing and economic instability that follows partner violence, shame and stigma that are gendered and compounding, and the relational orientation that shapes how women engage in recovery. A treatment environment that is physically and emotionally safe, trauma-informed, and explicitly designed around women's lives produces significantly better outcomes than standard mixed-gender care.

Reflect

1. How does your current trauma screening and integration compare to what this population needs?

2. What adaptations does your program make for women, and what gaps remain?

© The Recovery Files

3. How do you address the intersection of trauma, shame, and addiction without retraumatizing patients?

Today's Work

A patient on your caseload from this population — what adaptation have you made or should make?

No identifying details. Focus on the clinical approach, not the patient...

One thing you will do differently in your next session with this population:

Concrete, specific, actionable...

Carry Forward

One thing from today I am carrying into my work this week:

A specific adaptation, a question to ask a patient, a gap to address...

© The Recovery Files

Part 7 · Day 5 · Minority Stress, Identity, and Recovery

DAY 5 OF 10 · LGBTQ+

Affirmative, competent, system-aware care

Today's Reading

LGBTQ+ individuals use substances at rates 2-3 times higher than the general population. The primary driver is minority stress — the chronic, additive burden of stigma, discrimination, family rejection, identity concealment, and victimization. Substance use, particularly in community settings where it is normalized, functions as a coping mechanism, a social ritual, and for many LGBTQ+ people, a primary way of accessing community.

Clinicians who are not affirmative — who are neutral at best, subtly hostile or pathologizing at worst — drive LGBTQ+ patients out of treatment. Affirmative care is not political agreement; it is the clinical competence to understand how identity, minority stress, and community context interact with addiction and recovery. It means using correct pronouns and names, understanding spectrum language, and not requiring a patient to educate you about their identity before receiving competent care.

Recovery presents specific challenges for LGBTQ+ individuals. Many recovery communities have religious roots or conservative social culture that LGBTQ+ people experience as unwelcoming. The "people, places, and things" model of relapse prevention, when applied without nuance, may require an LGBTQ+ person to leave the only community where they have ever felt safe. Competent clinicians help patients identify affirming recovery pathways, LGBTQ+-specific meetings, and community supports that do not require them to trade one isolation for another.

Reflect

1. How affirming is your practice environment, and what would a closeted or questioning patient actually experience walking through your program?

2. How do you address minority stress as a relapse risk factor in your clinical work?

© The Recovery Files

3. What LGBTQ+-affirming recovery resources can you connect patients to in your community?

Today's Work

A patient on your caseload from this population — what adaptation have you made or should make?

No identifying details. Focus on the clinical approach, not the patient...

One thing you will do differently in your next session with this population:

Concrete, specific, actionable...

Carry Forward

One thing from today I am carrying into my work this week:

A specific adaptation, a question to ask a patient, a gap to address...

© The Recovery Files

Part 8 · Day 6 · Race, Ethnicity, and Cultural Adaptation

DAY 6 OF 10 · RACE & CULTURE

Anti-racist practice, trust, and culturally responsive care

Today's Reading

Racial and ethnic minorities face compounding barriers to SUD treatment access and quality: structural inequities in the treatment system, historical and ongoing institutional harm that produces justified distrust of health systems, cultural beliefs about addiction and help-seeking that may conflict with standard treatment models, and language access barriers that extend well beyond translation.

Cultural humility — the ongoing practice of self-reflection, recognition of power differentials, and willingness to be taught by the patient — is more clinically useful than cultural competency as a fixed knowledge set. Knowing generalizations about a culture is less valuable than genuinely asking each patient how their background, community, spiritual tradition, and family context shape their relationship to addiction and recovery. Assumptions, even well-intentioned ones, foreclose the clinical relationship.

Disparities in treatment quality are documented and significant: Black and Hispanic patients receive less evidence-based care, are offered medication-assisted treatment at lower rates, and are more likely to be diverted to criminal justice settings rather than treatment. Anti-racist clinical practice means knowing these disparities, examining your own practice for their contribution, advocating for systemic change, and ensuring that every patient on your caseload has access to the same quality of care regardless of race or ethnicity.

Reflect

1. How do you examine your own practice for racial bias in assessment, diagnosis, and treatment recommendation?

2. How do you elicit a patient's cultural context without making assumptions about what that context is?

© The Recovery Files

3. What structural barriers does your program create for patients of color, and what would need to change?

Today's Work

A patient on your caseload from this population — what adaptation have you made or should make?

No identifying details. Focus on the clinical approach, not the patient...

One thing you will do differently in your next session with this population:

Concrete, specific, actionable...

Carry Forward

One thing from today I am carrying into my work this week:

A specific adaptation, a question to ask a patient, a gap to address...

© The Recovery Files

Part 9 · Day 7 · The Criminal Justice Population

DAY 7 OF 10 · JUSTICE-INVOLVED

Coercion, trauma, reentry, and building genuine motivation

Today's Reading

The majority of justice-involved individuals with SUD did not choose treatment; they were mandated to it. This creates a clinical starting point that standard motivational models were not designed for. Research is clear that coerced treatment can produce outcomes comparable to voluntary treatment — but only when the clinical approach successfully converts external pressure into internal motivation. That conversion is the core clinical task.

The criminal justice population carries high rates of trauma (particularly ACEs, community violence, and correctional system trauma), significant shame about legal status, cognitive and neurological effects of chronic stress and trauma, and often, deep distrust of authority figures — including you. An approach that treats the mandate as a sufficient motivator and the courtroom as the clinical ally has already foreclosed the therapeutic relationship.

Reentry is when the work either sticks or falls apart. The period immediately following release is among the highest-risk for fatal overdose in any population — opioid tolerance drops, and return to use at pre-incarceration doses is lethal. Reentry planning, housing stabilization, benefits navigation, reconnection to family and community, and warm handoff to outpatient care are not discharge-planning logistics. They are relapse prevention.

Reflect

1. How do you build genuine therapeutic alliance with a patient who is in your program because a judge told them to be?

2. How does your reentry planning address the mortality risk of the first 30 days post-release?

3. Where does your program's response to criminal history create barriers to honest therapeutic engagement?

Today's Work

© The Recovery Files

A patient on your caseload from this population — what adaptation have you made or should make?

No identifying details. Focus on the clinical approach, not the patient...

One thing you will do differently in your next session with this population:

Concrete, specific, actionable...

Carry Forward

One thing from today I am carrying into my work this week:

A specific adaptation, a question to ask a patient, a gap to address...

© The Recovery Files

Part 10 · Day 8 · Integrated Dual Diagnosis Treatment

DAY 8 OF 10 · CO-OCCURRING

When addiction and mental health are the same problem

Today's Reading

Co-occurring SUD and mental health disorders are the norm in treatment settings, not the exception. The majority of people who meet criteria for SUD also meet criteria for at least one psychiatric disorder. Treating them sequentially — stabilize the addiction, then address the mental health, or vice versa — is not evidence-based. It is an administrative convenience that produces worse outcomes.

Integrated dual diagnosis treatment (IDDT) addresses both disorders simultaneously, with the understanding that they interact dynamically: each disorder exacerbates the other, and recovery from one without treating the other is typically unstable. The clinical challenge is assessment — determining what is a substance-induced condition (which may resolve with sobriety) versus an independent disorder requiring its own treatment track — while maintaining a clinical stance that does not require the patient to be sober before mental health treatment begins.

Medication is frequently underutilized in dual diagnosis populations. Prescriber reluctance to medicate active addiction, patient ambivalence about psychiatric medication as "another drug," and system fragmentation between addiction and mental health services all contribute to treatment gaps that are clinically costly. Integrated case management, shared care planning between behavioral health and psychiatry, and explicit psychoeducation about medication in recovery are competency requirements, not program enhancements.

Reflect

1. How integrated is your assessment and treatment planning for co-occurring disorders, and where do the silos still operate?

2. How do you address psychiatric medication with patients who are ambivalent about taking it in recovery?

© The Recovery Files

3. What would need to change in your practice or program to deliver genuine integrated dual diagnosis treatment?

Today's Work

A patient on your caseload from this population — what adaptation have you made or should make?

No identifying details. Focus on the clinical approach, not the patient...

One thing you will do differently in your next session with this population:

Concrete, specific, actionable...

Carry Forward

One thing from today I am carrying into my work this week:

A specific adaptation, a question to ask a patient, a gap to address...

© The Recovery Files

Part 11 · Day 9 · Pain, Opioids, and the Complexity Beneath

DAY 9 OF 10 · CHRONIC PAIN

Treating the patient with chronic pain and opioid use disorder

Today's Reading

Chronic pain and opioid use disorder represent one of the most clinically complex intersections in SUD treatment. The patient who developed opioid use disorder through legitimate pain management occupies a different clinical and moral territory than the stereotypes that often govern how this population is treated — and those stereotypes produce clinical harm.

The central tension is real: chronic pain is a clinical reality that requires treatment, and opioids are a legitimate component of pain management for some patients. The task is not eliminating opioids from a patient's care, but developing a comprehensive, multimodal pain management approach that includes non-opioid interventions, behavioral pain management, treatment of the OUD itself (buprenorphine is both an OUD medication and an effective pain medication), and honest, compassionate assessment of the relationship between the patient's pain and their use.

Patients in this population frequently carry layers of shame: shame about addiction, shame about chronic pain (which is often dismissed or questioned), and the particular shame of having "become" addicted through a medical treatment they were told was safe. Clinicians who approach this with skepticism about the legitimacy of pain, or who treat the OUD as the problem and the pain as a complication, miss both the clinical picture and the person. Compassion and rigor are not opposites here — they are co-requirements.

Reflect

1. How do you assess and hold the tension between pain legitimacy and OUD treatment simultaneously?

2. What biases might you carry about patients who developed OUD through prescribed opioids, and how do those shape your clinical response?

© The Recovery Files

3. What multimodal pain management resources do you have access to, and where are the gaps in your current approach?

Today's Work

A patient on your caseload from this population — what adaptation have you made or should make?

No identifying details. Focus on the clinical approach, not the patient...

One thing you will do differently in your next session with this population:

Concrete, specific, actionable...

Carry Forward

One thing from today I am carrying into my work this week:

A specific adaptation, a question to ask a patient, a gap to address...

© The Recovery Files

Part 12 · Day 10 · Building Your Competency Plan

DAY 10 OF 10 · INTEGRATION

From awareness to accountability

Today's Reading

Population-specific competency is not a destination — it is a professional practice. No clinician is fully competent across every population they serve, and the ones who believe they are have stopped growing. The goal of these ten days was not to make you an expert in eight populations. It was to sharpen your awareness of where you are, where the gaps are, and what you are going to do about them.

The most useful competency development is specific, time-bounded, and tracked. Choosing a general goal to "be more culturally sensitive" produces nothing. Choosing to complete a specific training on trauma-informed care for women, consult a colleague who works extensively with the justice-involved population, and read one article per month on LGBTQ+ affirming recovery pathways — those produce change.

Bring your patients into this work. The most reliable source of population-specific learning is the people you serve. Their feedback, their experiences of being seen or unseen, their explicit input when you invite it, is the most direct data you have. Supervision, peer consultation, training, and literature are all valuable — and none of them replace the patient telling you what they needed that they did not receive.

Reflect

1. Across these ten days, which population gap feels most urgent to address, and why?

2. What is one concrete, time-bounded training or consultation commitment you are making right now?

3. How will you invite ongoing feedback from patients about how well you are meeting their population-specific needs?

Today's Work

© The Recovery Files

The population gap I am prioritizing first, and why:

Specific, honest, grounded in your caseload...

One concrete training or consultation I will complete in the next 60 days:

Name it specifically — course, supervisor, colleague, reading...

How I will invite patient feedback on my population-specific effectiveness:

Direct ask, anonymous survey, supervision discussion...

My Population Competency Commitment:

I commit to... for the population of... by... I will know I've succeeded when...

Revisit Your Baseline

Return to your Day 1 assessment and notice what has shifted.

Carry Forward

One thing from today I am carrying into my work this week:

A specific adaptation, a question to ask a patient, a gap to address...

© The Recovery Files