Stages of Group Development

Master the five stages, recognize regression in real time, and read a group and meet it exactly where it is

10-Day Challenges

CONTENTS

- Part 1 · About this challenge
- Part 2 · Group Facilitation Self-Assessment
- Part 3 · Day 1 · Why Groups Are Not Static
- Part 4 · Day 2 · Forming — The Stage of Safety
- Part 5 · Day 3 · Storming — The Stage of Conflict
- Part 6 · Day 4 · Norming — The Stage of Cohesion
- Part 7 · Day 5 · Performing — The Stage of Work
- Part 8 · Day 6 · Adjourning — The Stage of Ending
- Part 9 · Day 7 · Regression — When Groups Move Backward
- Part 10 · Day 8 · Reading a Group in Real Time
- Part 11 · Day 9 · Stage-Matched Interventions
- Part 12 · Day 10 · The Developmental Facilitator

© The Recovery Files

Part 1 · About this challenge

Master the five stages, recognize regression in real time, and read a group and meet it exactly where it is.

Your Journey

Facilitation Score

Group Facilitation Self-Assessment

Take it on Day 1 · Return to it on Day 10

The 10-Day Pathway

DAY 1 · FOUNDATION

Why Groups Are Not Static

The developmental lens every facilitator needs

DAY 2 · FORMING

Forming — The Stage of Safety

What members are asking, and what the facilitator must provide

DAY 3 · STORMING

Storming — The Stage of Conflict

Why conflict is therapeutic and what to do with it

DAY 4 · NORMING

Norming — The Stage of Cohesion

When the group begins to belong to itself

DAY 5 · PERFORMING

Performing — The Stage of Work

What the group can do that no individual session can

DAY 6 · ADJOURNING

Adjourning — The Stage of Ending

Why termination is a clinical event, not an administrative one

DAY 7 · REGRESSION

Regression — When Groups Move Backward

Reading and responding to stage regression in real time

DAY 8 · READING

Reading a Group in Real Time

The clinical observation skills that reveal where a group is

DAY 9 · INTERVENTION

© The Recovery Files

Stage-Matched Interventions

Matching your clinical response to the group's developmental moment

DAY 10 · MASTERY

The Developmental Facilitator

Integrating group development theory into your clinical identity

© The Recovery Files

Part 2 · Group Facilitation Self-Assessment

Group Facilitation Self-Assessment

Rate each statement 1–5. Take on Day 1, return on Day 10.

Stage Recognition

I can identify which of Tuckman's five stages a group is in based on member behavior

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I recognize the early signs of forming — caution, deference, superficiality — without interpreting them as resistance

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I can distinguish storming from simple conflict and understand its developmental significance

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I recognize norming cohesion and can name when it tips into groupthink

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I can identify a performing group and know what that means for my facilitation role

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I recognize adjourning as a distinct clinical phase requiring active facilitation

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I can read multiple channels simultaneously — verbal, tonal, physical — to assess group stage

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I can identify regression and distinguish it from normal group variability

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

Stage-Matched Intervention

I adjust my facilitation style based on which stage the group is in

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I provide more structure in forming groups and less in performing groups

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

© The Recovery Files

I hold conflict in storming rather than rushing to resolve it

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I reinforce cohesion in norming while challenging collusion when I see it

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I trust a performing group to do its work and intervene only when safety requires it

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I handle member departures and arrivals as clinical events, not administrative ones

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I respond to regression by recognizing it and adjusting rather than escalating

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I can name what I am doing developmentally and why

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

Real-Time Group Reading

I assess group stage before and during each session

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I pay attention to who is talking to whom, not just what is being said

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I notice the emotional tone of the room and use it as clinical information

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I track attendance patterns as a developmental signal

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I notice body language and spatial arrangement as indicators of group stage

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I can identify the moment a group shifts stages within a session

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I use supervision to debrief my group stage assessments and refine my reading

© The Recovery Files

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I keep enough mental space during a session to observe the group-as-a-whole, not just individual members

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

Facilitation Confidence

I feel confident facilitating a group in forming

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I can hold the tension of a storming group without becoming anxious or shutting it down

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I can step back in a performing group and trust the group to do its work

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I handle member departures therapeutically, with adequate processing time

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I use the group's developmental stage as a guide for my intervention choices

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I can talk explicitly about group development with my supervisees or colleagues

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I seek consultation on group dynamics regularly

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

I review and reflect on my facilitation after each session

○ 1 ○ 2 ○ 3 ○ 4 ○ 5

Rarely · Always

Scoring guide

Each group gets its own score, as a percent.

Score: 80% and above — Developmental Facilitator: Strong command of this domain. Continue building and modeling it.

Score: 65–79% — Developing Competence: Real capacity here. Targeted practice will deepen it.

© The Recovery Files

Score: 45–64% — Building Foundation: Foundation is there. This pathway has given you tools to build from.

Score: 30–44% — Priority Focus: Significant growth available here. Make it a deliberate focus.

Score: below 30% — Starting Point: This is where the work begins. Every skill here is learnable.

© The Recovery Files

Part 3 · Day 1 · Why Groups Are Not Static

DAY 1 OF 10 · FOUNDATION

The developmental lens every facilitator needs

Today's Reading

A group is not a fixed thing. It is a living system — one that moves through predictable developmental stages, regresses under stress, and responds to the facilitator's choices at every phase. The clinician who understands this is not just managing a session; they are navigating a developmental process. The clinician who does not is often confused by group behavior that would be entirely predictable if they could see it through a developmental lens.

The foundational insight of group development theory — articulated by Bruce Tuckman in 1965 with his now-classic Forming, Storming, Norming, and Performing framework — is that groups do not begin performing. They form first. They move through conflict. They negotiate norms. And only after that process do they become capable of the kind of cohesive, therapeutic work that makes group treatment powerful. Each stage has its own emotional texture, its own characteristic behaviors, its own clinical demands.

In SUD treatment settings, understanding group development has urgent practical implications. A group in the forming stage needs structure and safety. A group in storming needs the facilitator to hold the tension without shutting it down. A group in norming needs the facilitator to reinforce the emerging cohesion. A group in performing needs the facilitator to step back and let the group work. Getting this wrong — being too directive in performing, too passive in forming — undermines the therapeutic potential of every session. Over these ten days, you will develop the ability to read a group, name its stage, and respond with clinical precision.

Reflect

1. Think of a group you currently facilitate or have recently facilitated. If you had to guess what stage it was in, what would you say — and what are you basing that on?

2. Have you ever felt like a group was "stuck"? Looking back through a developmental lens, what stage might it have been frozen in?

© The Recovery Files

3. What would it change about how you prepare for group if you knew exactly what stage you were walking into?

Today's Work

Describe your current group(s) — type, stage of treatment, size, how long running:

Set the context...

What is your current best understanding of what stage your primary group is in? What is your evidence?

Name the stage and the behavioral evidence...

What question about group development are you most hoping this pathway answers?

The gap you want to close...

Take the Group Facilitation Self-Assessment today. Return on Day 10 to measure your growth.

Carry Forward

One thing to carry into your next group session...

© The Recovery Files

Part 4 · Day 2 · Forming — The Stage of Safety

DAY 2 OF 10 · FORMING

What members are asking, and what the facilitator must provide

Today's Reading

When a group is forming, the members are asking a single question, often without voicing it: "Is it safe here?" Not safe in the abstract — safe specifically for them: their history, their shame, their disclosure, their need. They are testing the facilitator, testing each other, and testing the environment. The behavior you see in a forming group — politeness, superficiality, deference to the facilitator, reluctance to engage — is not passivity or lack of engagement. It is caution. It is appropriate.

The clinical task in forming is to provide structure and safety, not to accelerate to depth. Premature disclosure in a forming group — encouraged by a well-meaning facilitator who wants to get to "real" work — can be damaging. Members who disclose before the group has established enough safety often feel exposed, sometimes regret the disclosure, and occasionally disengage from the group entirely. The forming stage is the foundation. Rushing past it does not produce a performing group. It produces a group that never properly forms.

What the facilitator does in forming: establishes clear norms and agreements, models the level of self-disclosure that is appropriate for this stage, creates predictable structure (same format, same expectations, same facilitator presence), validates the normalcy of early-group behavior, and resists the temptation to interpret or confront. The group is not ready. The container is not yet built.

Reflect

1. How do you currently structure the beginning of a new group — and does that structure give members what they need in the forming stage?

2. Have you ever pushed for depth too early in a group? What happened?

3. What does "safety" actually look like in a SUD treatment group — and how do you build it?

© The Recovery Files

Today's Work

Apply today's stage concept to a real group you facilitate:

Which group, which stage, what does today's reading mean for how you will facilitate it...

One specific thing you will do differently in your next group session:

Concrete and stage-matched...

Carry Forward

One thing to carry into your next group session...

© The Recovery Files

Part 5 · Day 3 · Storming — The Stage of Conflict

DAY 3 OF 10 · STORMING

Why conflict is therapeutic and what to do with it

Today's Reading

Storming is the stage most facilitators dread and most groups avoid — and yet it is the stage where some of the most important therapeutic work happens. When a group begins to storm, it means the forming stage has done its job: members feel safe enough to show up more authentically, to disagree, to push back, to test limits. The conflict you see in storming is not a failure of the group. It is evidence that the group is developing.

In SUD treatment groups, storming often looks specific. A member challenges the facilitator's authority or the group's rules. Cliques form, or someone becomes a scapegoat. Attendance starts to drop. Someone says what everyone has been thinking but no one has said. These are classic storming presentations, and each one is a clinical opportunity if the facilitator can hold them without either shutting them down or being destabilized by them.

The clinical task in storming is to hold the tension without collapsing it. This is where facilitator anxiety most often produces clinical error — the reflexive move to reassure, to redirect, to smooth over the conflict before it can be worked through. The storming stage requires the facilitator to tolerate discomfort, to name what is happening in the group rather than avoid it, to reframe conflict as a sign of the group's health, and to model how conflict can be navigated without destroying relationship. This is therapeutic modeling at its most powerful.

Reflect

1. How do you typically respond when a group starts to storm — do you hold the tension or move to resolve it quickly?

2. Describe a moment of genuine group conflict you have facilitated. What was your internal experience, and what did you do?

3. What would it mean for your facilitation to treat conflict as a sign of health rather than a problem to solve?

© The Recovery Files

Today's Work

Apply today's stage concept to a real group you facilitate:

Which group, which stage, what does today's reading mean for how you will facilitate it...

One specific thing you will do differently in your next group session:

Concrete and stage-matched...

Carry Forward

One thing to carry into your next group session...

© The Recovery Files

Part 6 · Day 4 · Norming — The Stage of Cohesion

DAY 4 OF 10 · NORMING

When the group begins to belong to itself

Today's Reading

After the conflict of storming — not before, and not by avoiding it — a group can move into norming. This is the stage where cohesion begins to form: members start to feel a sense of "we," develop unspoken agreements about how the group operates, begin to care about each other's progress, and hold each other accountable in ways that the facilitator no longer has to initiate. The group is beginning to belong to itself.

In SUD treatment, the norming stage often shows up as increased attendance, more spontaneous peer support, members referencing each other's stories and progress, and a qualitative shift in the texture of the sessions — more warmth, more humor, more willingness to challenge each other with care. The facilitator's role shifts here: less structuring, less modeling, more stepping back to let the group's emerging cohesion do its work.

The risk in norming is groupthink — the group's growing cohesion can harden into a reluctance to challenge each other, a collusion that prioritizes comfort over honesty. The facilitator's job in norming is to reinforce the authentic connection while keeping the group honest: celebrating the cohesion, naming it, and also gently challenging the emerging patterns that protect comfort rather than growth.

Reflect

1. What does cohesion look and feel like in groups you have facilitated? How do you know when it has arrived?

2. Have you ever seen a group's cohesion become a barrier to honest work? What happened?

3. How do you reinforce norming without accelerating the groupthink that can accompany it?

Today's Work

© The Recovery Files

Apply today's stage concept to a real group you facilitate:

Which group, which stage, what does today's reading mean for how you will facilitate it...

One specific thing you will do differently in your next group session:

Concrete and stage-matched...

Carry Forward

One thing to carry into your next group session...

© The Recovery Files

Part 7 · Day 5 · Performing — The Stage of Work

DAY 5 OF 10 · PERFORMING

What the group can do that no individual session can

Today's Reading

A performing group is a clinical phenomenon worth understanding with some precision. This is not simply a group that is "going well." It is a group that has developed sufficient safety, worked through sufficient conflict, and built sufficient cohesion that it can now do therapeutic work that is qualitatively different from what a collection of individuals in the same room could do. The group itself has become a therapeutic instrument.

In a performing group in SUD treatment, members do things that could not happen in individual therapy: they challenge each other's denial in ways the therapist cannot, because peers can say things that clinicians cannot. They carry hope for each other when individuals cannot carry it for themselves. They model recovery for each other in real time. They confront the specific distortions — the romanticization, the minimization, the unique cognitive architecture of addiction — with the authority of shared experience. This is the power of the group, and it is only available in the performing stage.

The facilitator's role in performing is to get out of the way without disappearing. This is counterintuitive for clinicians who are trained to be active, to intervene, to guide. In performing, too much facilitation disrupts the group's process. The facilitator holds the frame, monitors for safety, and trusts the group — which requires a confidence in the developmental process that only comes from having seen it work.

Reflect

1. Describe the most therapeutically powerful group moment you have witnessed or facilitated. What stage was the group in?

2. What does it feel like as a facilitator to step back and let a performing group do its work?

3. In your current groups, are any of them in the performing stage? What is your evidence?

© The Recovery Files

Today's Work

Apply today's stage concept to a real group you facilitate:

Which group, which stage, what does today's reading mean for how you will facilitate it...

One specific thing you will do differently in your next group session:

Concrete and stage-matched...

Carry Forward

One thing to carry into your next group session...

© The Recovery Files

Part 8 · Day 6 · Adjourning — The Stage of Ending

DAY 6 OF 10 · ADJOURNING

Why termination is a clinical event, not an administrative one

Today's Reading

Tuckman's original model had four stages; the fifth — adjourning — was added later, recognizing that the ending of a group is its own distinct phase with its own developmental tasks. For SUD treatment groups, adjourning is especially clinically significant, because many of the people in those groups have profound histories with loss, abandonment, and endings that did not go well.

When a group ends — whether because a member discharges, because a time-limited group concludes, or because the group itself dissolves — it activates the full weight of each member's history with ending. For some members, this is the first time a significant relationship has ended with intention, honesty, and care. That experience, handled well, is therapeutic in itself. Handled poorly — rushed, minimized, not processed — it replicates the exact abandonment experiences that brought many of these clients to treatment.

The clinical tasks of adjourning include: naming the ending well in advance, creating space for grief and ambivalence alongside gratitude and pride, helping members identify what they are taking with them, and acknowledging what the group has been. For open groups with rolling membership, adjourning happens continuously — every departure is a mini-termination, and how the group holds those departures shapes the group culture as much as anything else the facilitator does.

Reflect

1. How do you currently handle the departure of a member from a group — and does it get the clinical attention it deserves?

2. Think of a group that ended. Was the ending therapeutically handled? What do you wish had been different?

3. What do group endings activate for your clients — and how do you address that?

© The Recovery Files

Today's Work

Apply today's stage concept to a real group you facilitate:

Which group, which stage, what does today's reading mean for how you will facilitate it...

One specific thing you will do differently in your next group session:

Concrete and stage-matched...

Carry Forward

One thing to carry into your next group session...

© The Recovery Files

Part 9 · Day 7 · Regression — When Groups Move Backward

DAY 7 OF 10 · REGRESSION

Reading and responding to stage regression in real time

Today's Reading

Groups do not move in a straight line from forming to performing. Under stress — a new member joins, a crisis occurs, the facilitator changes, a member relapses or decompensates — groups regress. A group that has been performing can slide back to storming. A group in norming can collapse back to forming. This is not a failure; it is a normal feature of group development. But it requires the facilitator to recognize what is happening and respond accordingly.

Regression often looks like increased conflict, decreased attendance, surface-level engagement after a period of depth, or a sudden return of the "safe" behaviors characteristic of forming. The facilitator who does not recognize regression as a developmental event may respond with frustration, with interpretation that the group is not ready for, or with intensified pressure that makes the regression worse. The facilitator who recognizes it can name it, contain it, and help the group move through it.

The most common trigger for regression in SUD treatment groups is member turnover — particularly the departure of high-functioning members whose presence helped sustain the group's developmental level. When a group loses its "advanced" members, the remaining and new members may need to rebuild safety and cohesion before they can return to performing. This is not a setback. It is the group beginning again, which is itself a model of recovery.

Reflect

1. Have you ever seen a group regress? What triggered it, and what did you do?

2. What are the early signs that a group you are facilitating is regressing — before it becomes obvious?

3. How do you talk to a group about what is happening when it regresses?

© The Recovery Files

Today's Work

Apply today's stage concept to a real group you facilitate:

Which group, which stage, what does today's reading mean for how you will facilitate it...

One specific thing you will do differently in your next group session:

Concrete and stage-matched...

Carry Forward

One thing to carry into your next group session...

© The Recovery Files

Part 10 · Day 8 · Reading a Group in Real Time

DAY 8 OF 10 · READING

The clinical observation skills that reveal where a group is

Today's Reading

The theoretical knowledge of group development is necessary but not sufficient. What matters clinically is the ability to read a group in real time — to walk into a session and assess, within the first minutes, where this group is today, and to adjust accordingly. This is a skill built from observation, and observation is built from knowing what to look for.

The indicators of stage are distributed across multiple channels simultaneously. Verbal content: what members are talking about, and with what depth. Interaction pattern: who is talking to whom — to the facilitator, to each other, or to no one. Emotional tone: what is the affective quality of the room — cautious, tense, warm, flat, energized. Body language: where members are sitting, how much eye contact is happening, whether members are oriented toward each other or toward the facilitator. Attendance: who is here, who is missing, and what that pattern means.

The clinician who reads all of these channels simultaneously and synthesizes them into a stage assessment can make a facilitator decision in the first minutes of a session: this group needs structure today, or this group can go to depth, or this group is in conflict and needs me to name it. This is the art of group facilitation, and it is learnable — it requires practice, supervision, and the habit of asking, before every session, "What stage is this group in today, and what does that mean for how I will facilitate?"

Reflect

1. What are you currently paying attention to when you read a group — and what might you be missing?

2. Before your next group session, write your stage assessment. After the session, write what the group revealed. What was the gap?

3. Who has helped you develop your group observation skills, and what have they taught you?

© The Recovery Files

Today's Work

Apply today's stage concept to a real group you facilitate:

Which group, which stage, what does today's reading mean for how you will facilitate it...

One specific thing you will do differently in your next group session:

Concrete and stage-matched...

Carry Forward

One thing to carry into your next group session...

© The Recovery Files

Part 11 · Day 9 · Stage-Matched Interventions

DAY 9 OF 10 · INTERVENTION

Matching your clinical response to the group's developmental moment

Today's Reading

Every facilitation decision is a stage decision, whether you recognize it as one or not. The question of whether to be directive or non-directive, whether to interpret or to reflect, whether to confront or to support, whether to structure or to open — each of these is not a question about personal style but a question about what this group, at this developmental moment, needs.

In forming groups, the stage-matched interventions are structural and safety-building: clear agreements, predictable format, facilitator-led discussion, validation of early-group behavior, low-stakes exercises that allow members to participate without significant self-disclosure. In storming, the stage-matched interventions are conflict-holding: naming the tension without collapsing it, reframing conflict as health, using what is happening in the room as therapeutic material. In norming, the stage-matched interventions are cohesion-reinforcing: naming the "we," celebrating milestones, gently challenging the collusion that can accompany cohesion. In performing, the stage-matched interventions are minimal — holding the frame, trusting the group, intervening only when safety requires it.

The most common facilitation error in SUD treatment groups is stage mismatch: using performing-stage facilitation (minimal, trust the group) with a forming group, or using forming-stage facilitation (high structure, low depth) with a performing group. Stage mismatch produces frustration in both the facilitator and the members, because something feels off — the group is not responding the way it should — without anyone being able to name why.

Reflect

1. Identify a recent facilitation decision — something you chose to do or not do in group. What stage was the group in, and was your intervention stage-matched?

2. What facilitation moves do you default to regardless of stage? When does that default serve the group and when does it not?

© The Recovery Files

3. What stage-matched intervention are you least comfortable with — and what would it take to develop that comfort?

Today's Work

Apply today's stage concept to a real group you facilitate:

Which group, which stage, what does today's reading mean for how you will facilitate it...

One specific thing you will do differently in your next group session:

Concrete and stage-matched...

Carry Forward

One thing to carry into your next group session...

© The Recovery Files

Part 12 · Day 10 · The Developmental Facilitator

DAY 10 OF 10 · MASTERY

Integrating group development theory into your clinical identity

Today's Reading

The developmental facilitator is not someone who has memorized the stages. It is someone who has internalized the developmental lens — who thinks in terms of group development automatically, who reads stages intuitively, who makes stage-matched decisions without having to consciously run through a checklist. That level of integration takes time, supervision, and the sustained practice of reflection. It is the work of a career, not a ten-day pathway.

But the ten days matter. You began this pathway with some intuitive understanding of group behavior and perhaps a formal knowledge of the stages. You are ending it, ideally, with something more: a coherent framework for understanding why groups behave as they do, a more systematic approach to reading groups in real time, and a clearer sense of what your facilitation choices are communicating developmentally.

The next step is to take this framework into your supervision and your peer consultation — to talk about group development explicitly with your supervisors and colleagues, to build a shared language for what you are all seeing in your groups. The clinician who can say "this group is in late storming and needs us to hold the conflict rather than resolve it" is offering their team something valuable: a developmental analysis that can shape the team's collective response. That is the practical value of this framework — not just in your own groups, but in the conversations about groups that shape the clinical culture you work in.

Reflect

1. What is the single most important thing this pathway has changed about how you think about groups?

2. Identify one group you facilitate and write a developmental plan for the next month: what stage is it in, what does it need, and what will you do?

© The Recovery Files

3. Who on your team could benefit from a conversation about group development — and will you have it?

Today's Work

The most important shift in how I think about groups after these ten days:

What changed...

My developmental plan for my primary group over the next month — what stage it is in, what it needs, what I will do:

Specific, stage-matched, concrete...

One conversation about group development I will initiate with a supervisor or colleague:

Who, what, and when...

Return to the Day 1 assessment and notice what has shifted.

Carry Forward

One thing to carry into your next group session...

© The Recovery Files