

The Twelve Traditions

Core Traditions for treatment organizations

CONTENTS

- The twelve statements
- The twelve traditions in full
- Discussion topics

These twelve traditions describe who a treatment center becomes — the cultural backbone that holds an organization together when the work gets hard. They are not rules imposed from the top. They are principles lived from the inside out.

The twelve statements

TRADITION 1

The well-being and recovery of our patients come first, fostering a community of compassion and support.

TRADITION 2

Our highest guidance comes from a spirit of love and understanding, respecting diverse spiritual paths.

TRADITION 3

We welcome all who seek help, regardless of background, addiction type, or circumstances.

TRADITION 4

Each treatment program within The Treatment Center should have the flexibility to meet unique patient needs while adhering to our core principles.

TRADITION 5

Our primary purpose is to provide comprehensive, compassionate care for those struggling with addiction.

TRADITION 6

The Treatment Center should collaborate with other organizations but maintain its distinct identity and mission.

TRADITION 7

We strive for financial sustainability to ensure continued service to those in need.

TRADITION 8

We maintain a professional staff while valuing the insights of those with lived experience in recovery.

TRADITION 9

Our organization should be structured to best serve our patients, balancing necessary administration with flexibility and responsiveness.

TRADITION 10

We focus on addiction treatment and recovery, avoiding stance on unrelated external issues.

TRADITION 11

We share our message of hope and healing through ethical outreach, emphasizing the quality of our care rather than self-promotion.

TRADITION 12

We respect the privacy of our patients, recognizing that healing often requires a safe and confidential environment.

These twelve traditions provide guidance for decision-making at every level of the organization while keeping the focus squarely on the well-being and recovery of those seeking help.

TRADITION 1

Patient-Centered Care and Community

“The well-being and recovery of our patients come first, fostering a community of compassion and support.”

This foundational tradition establishes that all decisions, policies, and practices must prioritize patient welfare above all other considerations. It means that financial concerns, staff convenience, or organizational preferences cannot override what is best for patient recovery. This tradition creates a therapeutic milieu where:

- Clinical decisions are based solely on patient needs and evidence-based practices
- Staff members consistently ask 'What serves the patient's recovery best?' when making choices
- The treatment environment cultivates mutual support among patients
- Competition between programs or staff takes a backseat to collaborative patient care
- Resources are allocated first to direct patient services
- A sense of belonging and safety is established for all patients

The emphasis on community recognizes that recovery often happens in relationship with others, requiring an environment where patients feel genuinely cared for and supported by both staff and peers.

TRADITION 2

Spiritual Guidance and Inclusivity

“Our highest guidance comes from a spirit of love and understanding, respecting diverse spiritual paths.”

This tradition acknowledges the spiritual dimension of recovery while maintaining inclusivity for all belief systems. It establishes that the treatment center's approach is guided by principles of compassion rather than dogma. Key aspects include:

- Recognition that addiction often involves spiritual suffering and healing
- Respect for atheist, agnostic, Christian, Buddhist, Islamic, Indigenous, and all other spiritual perspectives
- Staff modeling of love and understanding rather than judgment
- Decision-making processes that consider the spiritual well-being of patients
- Avoidance of imposing any particular religious or spiritual framework
- Integration of spiritual care options that honor diverse traditions
- Understanding that love and compassion transcend specific belief systems

This tradition prevents the treatment center from becoming sectarian while honoring the role spirituality can play in recovery for those who choose it.

TRADITION 3

Universal Welcome and Accessibility

“We welcome all who seek help, regardless of background, addiction type, or circumstances.”

This tradition establishes the principle of radical inclusivity and accessibility. It means the treatment center serves as a sanctuary for anyone struggling with substance use disorders, without discrimination. This includes:

- Non-discrimination based on race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status, or criminal history
- Serving patients with different substances of abuse (alcohol, opioids, stimulants, etc.)
- Welcoming those with co-occurring mental health conditions
- Accepting patients regardless of insurance status or ability to pay
- Serving those who have failed previous treatments or have multiple relapses
- Accommodating different levels of motivation or readiness for change
- Providing culturally responsive care for diverse populations
- Removing barriers that might prevent access to care

This tradition recognizes that addiction affects all populations and that recovery must be available to everyone who seeks it.

TRADITION 4

Program Flexibility Within Core Principles

“Each treatment program within The Treatment Center should have the flexibility to meet unique patient needs while adhering to our core principles.”

This tradition balances consistency with adaptability, allowing different programs or units within the treatment center to customize their approaches while maintaining the organization's fundamental values. This enables:

- Specialized programs for specific populations (adolescents, women, professionals, etc.)
- Different therapeutic modalities based on patient needs and evidence
- Flexibility in scheduling, length of stay, and intensity of treatment
- Adaptation to local community needs and resources
- Innovation and pilot programs to improve care
- Cultural modifications while maintaining clinical integrity
- Individualized treatment planning within program structures

The tradition prevents both rigid uniformity and chaotic inconsistency, ensuring that all programs serve the mission while meeting diverse patient needs.

TRADITION 5

Primary Purpose and Mission Focus

“Our primary purpose is to provide comprehensive, compassionate care for those struggling with addiction.”

This tradition serves as a north star, keeping the organization focused on its core mission. It means that while the treatment center may engage in various activities, addiction treatment and recovery services remain the central purpose. This involves:

- Prioritizing clinical services over ancillary activities
- Ensuring that expansion or new programs serve the addiction treatment mission
- Maintaining expertise in addiction medicine and therapy
- Focusing resources on direct patient care rather than peripheral activities
- Keeping the organization's identity clearly tied to addiction treatment
- Ensuring that all staff understand and support the primary mission
- Making strategic decisions that strengthen rather than dilute the core purpose

This tradition prevents mission drift and ensures that the organization remains true to its foundational purpose of serving those with addiction.

TRADITION 6

Collaborative Independence

“The Treatment Center should collaborate with other organizations but maintain its distinct identity and mission.”

This tradition promotes healthy partnerships while preserving organizational autonomy and integrity. It recognizes that addiction treatment benefits from collaboration but requires maintaining independence to serve patients effectively. This includes:

- Partnering with hospitals, schools, courts, and community organizations
- Participating in professional associations and advocacy efforts
- Sharing resources and knowledge with other treatment providers
- Maintaining referral relationships while avoiding conflicts of interest
- Collaborating on research and quality improvement initiatives
- Preserving decision-making authority and clinical autonomy
- Avoiding partnerships that compromise treatment quality or accessibility
- Maintaining the organization's unique therapeutic culture and approaches

This tradition ensures that collaboration enhances rather than compromises the treatment center's ability to serve its patients.

TRADITION 7

Financial Sustainability and Stewardship

“We strive for financial sustainability to ensure continued service to those in need.”

This tradition recognizes that financial health is essential for long-term service to patients. It emphasizes responsible stewardship of resources while maintaining the primary focus on patient care. Key elements include:

- Balancing quality care with financial responsibility
- Seeking diverse funding sources to reduce vulnerability
- Transparent financial management and reporting
- Efficient operations that maximize resources for patient care
- Long-term planning to ensure sustainability beyond immediate needs
- Prudent growth that doesn't overextend the organization
- Fundraising and development activities that support the mission
- Cost-effective practices that don't compromise quality

This tradition ensures that the treatment center can continue serving patients for years to come while avoiding both financial irresponsibility and excessive profit-seeking.

TRADITION 8

Professional and Experiential Expertise

“We maintain a professional staff while valuing the insights of those with lived experience in recovery.”

This tradition creates a balanced approach to staffing that honors both clinical expertise and lived experience. It recognizes that effective addiction treatment benefits from multiple perspectives and types of knowledge:

- Employing licensed clinicians with specialized training in addiction
- Including staff members who have personal recovery experience
- Creating mentorship opportunities between professional and peer staff
- Valuing different types of expertise in treatment planning and delivery
- Ensuring that all staff, regardless of background, meet professional standards
- Providing ongoing training and development for all staff
- Creating career pathways for those with lived experience
- Maintaining appropriate boundaries while leveraging personal recovery insights

This tradition prevents both clinical detachment and unprofessional informality, creating a treatment environment that is both expertly delivered and authentically connected to the recovery experience.

TRADITION 9

Responsive Organizational Structure

“Our organization should be structured to best serve our patients, balancing necessary administration with flexibility and responsiveness.”

This tradition ensures that organizational structure serves patient needs rather than bureaucratic convenience. It calls for administrative systems that support rather than hinder effective treatment:

- Streamlined decision-making processes that allow quick responses to patient needs
- Administrative policies that support rather than burden clinical staff
- Organizational hierarchy that maintains accountability while empowering frontline staff
- Communication systems that facilitate coordination of patient care
- Quality assurance processes that improve rather than impede treatment
- Documentation requirements that serve treatment rather than just compliance
- Leadership structures that maintain both oversight and flexibility

This tradition prevents organizational bureaucracy from interfering with the primary mission of patient care and recovery.

TRADITION 10

Treatment Focus and External Neutrality

“We focus on addiction treatment and recovery, avoiding stance on unrelated external issues.”

This tradition maintains the organization's credibility and accessibility by avoiding involvement in controversial political or social issues unrelated to addiction treatment. It ensures that:

- Patients feel welcome regardless of their political or social views
- The organization's resources remain focused on treatment rather than advocacy
- Public trust and support are maintained across diverse constituencies
- Staff can focus on clinical work rather than political activities
- The treatment environment remains a safe space free from external conflicts
- Professional relationships are preserved across different viewpoints
- The organization's reputation for treatment excellence is protected

This tradition doesn't prevent addressing addiction-related policy issues but avoids positions on unrelated matters that could divide or alienate patients or supporters.

TRADITION 11

Ethical Outreach and Quality-Based Marketing

“We share our message of hope and healing through ethical outreach, emphasizing the quality of our care rather than self-promotion.”

This tradition guides how the treatment center communicates with the public and promotes its services. It emphasizes substance over style and genuine service over marketing manipulation:

- Advertising and outreach that focus on patient outcomes and quality of care
- Honest representation of treatment approaches and success rates
- Avoiding sensationalized or exploitative marketing tactics
- Sharing hope and inspiration while maintaining realistic expectations
- Educational outreach that serves the community's understanding of addiction
- Professional relationships based on clinical excellence rather than financial incentives
- Public communications that reduce stigma and promote understanding
- Marketing materials that respect patient dignity and privacy

This tradition ensures that the organization's public presence serves both its mission and the broader goal of reducing addiction stigma while maintaining professional integrity.

TRADITION 12

Patient Privacy and Confidentiality

“We respect the privacy of our patients, recognizing that healing often requires a safe and confidential environment.”

This final tradition emphasizes the critical importance of privacy and confidentiality in addiction treatment. It recognizes that recovery requires vulnerability and trust, which can only flourish in a secure environment:

- Strict adherence to HIPAA and other privacy regulations
- Confidentiality policies that exceed legal requirements when appropriate
- Staff training on the importance of discretion and privacy
- Physical facilities designed to protect patient privacy
- Policies preventing unauthorized disclosure of patient information
- Respect for patient autonomy in sharing their own recovery story
- Protection of patient identities in all public communications
- Understanding that breaches of confidentiality can severely damage recovery progress

This tradition acknowledges that addiction carries significant stigma and that patients must feel completely safe to engage authentically in treatment. It creates the foundation of trust necessary for effective therapeutic relationships and successful recovery outcomes.

Tradition 1: Patient First

Patients First: The Foundation of Everything

Tradition One establishes the fundamental principle that "the well-being and recovery of our patients come first." This isn't just a slogan—it's the lens through which every organizational decision should be filtered. When patient welfare truly comes first, difficult decisions become clearer and organizational priorities align.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Patient welfare is the ultimate criterion for organizational decisions
- Financial, administrative, and operational concerns serve patient care, not vice versa
- "Patients first" applies to all levels of decision-making
- This principle requires constant vigilance against mission drift
- Staff welfare ultimately serves patient welfare—they're not competing priorities

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Treatment organizations face constant pressure to prioritize revenue, efficiency, or reputation over patient care. Tradition One provides a clear standard: when these compete, patient welfare wins. This doesn't mean ignoring business realities—it means business serves mission, not the reverse.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff entered this field to help patients. When they see organizational decisions that clearly prioritize patients, it reinforces their sense of purpose. When they see patients sacrificed to other priorities, moral injury accumulates.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Think of three recent organizational decisions. How would each look if patient welfare were truly the primary criterion? What might have been decided differently?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Patient-first decision audit:

- List your organization's five most significant recent decisions
- For each: What were the primary considerations?
- Ask: If patient welfare were the only consideration, what would have been decided?
- Identify gaps between actual and patient-centered decision-making
- Develop a "patient impact statement" requirement for major decisions

ACTION STEP

Institute a "patient first" check for leadership meetings: Before finalizing any significant decision, explicitly ask "How does this serve patient recovery?" and document the answer.

Fostering Community: Beyond Individual Treatment

Tradition One calls for "fostering a community of compassion and support." This recognizes that recovery happens in community, not just in clinical sessions. Treatment organizations should create environments where healing relationships form naturally among patients, staff, and the broader recovery community.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Recovery is fundamentally relational, not just clinical
- Community provides support that professional relationships cannot
- Peer connections formed in treatment become long-term recovery capital
- Organizational culture shapes whether community can flourish
- Community includes patients, alumni, staff, and families

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Some treatment programs focus so narrowly on clinical interventions that they neglect community building. Yet the relationships patients form—with peers, staff, and alumni—often matter more for long-term recovery than any specific treatment technique.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff are part of the recovery community, not just service providers. When organizations recognize staff as community members invested in patient recovery, professional boundaries remain clear while human connection deepens.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What does "community" look like in your organization? Is it intentionally cultivated or left to chance? What barriers exist to genuine community formation?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Community assessment:

- How do patients connect with each other beyond structured groups?
- What alumni engagement exists? How are graduates connected to current patients?
- Do staff model recovery community principles?
- What physical and programmatic spaces support community building?
- How might community be strengthened?

ACTION STEP

Design one new community-building intervention—a peer connection program, alumni mentorship, or community event that strengthens the recovery community around your organization.

Compassion as Organizational Culture

Tradition One specifies "compassion" as the community's defining characteristic. Compassion isn't just a feeling—it's a practice, a skill, and ultimately a culture. Organizations can intentionally cultivate or unintentionally erode compassion through their policies, practices, and priorities.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Compassion can be taught, modeled, and cultivated
- Organizational stress often erodes compassion capacity
- Compassionate organizations produce compassionate care
- Compassion fatigue is real and must be addressed organizationally
- Systems and policies can support or undermine compassion

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Compassion isn't just about hiring nice people—it's about creating conditions where compassion can flourish. Burned out staff can't be compassionate. Punitive policies undermine compassion. Organizations must actively protect and cultivate compassion.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff want to be compassionate—that's why they entered the field. But organizational conditions either support or deplete their compassion capacity. When staff feel cared for, they can better care for patients.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your organization actively cultivate compassion? What depletes compassion in your staff? How might organizational changes increase compassion capacity?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Compassion culture audit:

- What practices cultivate compassion (supervision, self-care support, manageable caseloads)?
 - What erodes compassion (understaffing, punitive culture, excessive paperwork)?
 - How is compassion fatigue identified and addressed?
 - Do policies support compassionate responses or rigid enforcement?
 - What one change would most increase organizational compassion?
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Implement one compassion-cultivation practice: regular clinical supervision, self-care programming, caseload review, or similar intervention designed to protect and enhance staff compassion capacity.

When Business and Patient Needs Conflict

The real test of "patients first" comes when patient welfare conflicts with business interests. Extending treatment when insurance runs out, refusing lucrative but inappropriate admissions, investing in staff support that reduces margins—these decisions reveal whether patient welfare truly comes first.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Conflicts between patient needs and business pressures are inevitable
- "Patients first" doesn't mean ignoring business realities
- It means patient welfare is the primary decision criterion
- Short-term business sacrifice for patients often produces long-term benefits
- Organizations must have explicit processes for navigating these conflicts

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations that truly put patients first build reputations that attract referrals, staff, and community support. The "sacrifice" of choosing patients over short-term profit often produces long-term sustainability. Mission and margin can align.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff watch carefully how leadership handles these conflicts. Every time leadership chooses patients over profit, staff trust increases. Every time patients are sacrificed to business interests, staff question the organization's integrity.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

When have patient needs and business pressures conflicted in your organization? How were those conflicts resolved? What does the pattern reveal about actual priorities?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Conflict resolution framework:

- Identify recent patient-business conflicts
 - Analyze how each was resolved and by whom
 - Develop explicit criteria for navigating future conflicts
 - Create escalation process for difficult decisions
 - Document commitment to patient-first resolution
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Develop a written "Patient First Decision Framework" that provides explicit guidance for how to navigate conflicts between patient welfare and business considerations.

Support: More Than Clinical Services

Tradition One calls for "support" as well as compassion. Support in treatment extends beyond clinical services to include practical assistance, emotional encouragement, advocacy, and connection to resources. Comprehensive support addresses the whole person, not just the addiction.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Support addresses practical needs alongside clinical ones
- Emotional support comes from genuine relationships, not just roles
- Advocacy support helps patients navigate systems and challenges
- Resource connection extends the organization's impact
- Support continues beyond formal treatment

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Patients face challenges that clinical treatment alone cannot address—housing, employment, legal issues, family problems. Organizations that provide or connect to comprehensive support see better outcomes than those offering clinical services in isolation.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff often want to help patients with non-clinical needs but feel constrained by role boundaries or lack of resources. Organizations that enable comprehensive support increase staff satisfaction

and patient outcomes.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What non-clinical support does your organization provide or connect patients to? What gaps exist? How might comprehensive support be expanded?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Support mapping:

- List all support services provided directly
- Map community resources you connect patients to
- Identify common patient needs without adequate support resources
- Assess continuity of support after discharge
- Develop plan to address support gaps

ACTION STEP

Identify the most significant unmet support need for your patient population. Develop a plan to address it through direct service, partnership, or resource connection.

Tradition 2: Spiritual Guidance

Love and Understanding as Organizational Compass

Tradition Two establishes that "our highest guidance comes from a spirit of love and understanding." This isn't merely sentimental—it's a practical decision-making framework. When difficult choices arise, organizations guided by love and understanding make different decisions than those guided by fear, profit, or ego.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Love and understanding provide direction when policies are unclear
- This orientation differs fundamentally from fear-based or profit-driven guidance
- Love includes appropriate boundaries and honest feedback
- Understanding requires genuine effort to know patients and their experiences
- Organizational decisions can be evaluated against this standard

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Fear-based organizations create rules to prevent problems. Love-based organizations create relationships that prevent problems. Understanding-based organizations seek to know why problems occur. The difference shapes everything from policies to patient interactions.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff in love-and-understanding-guided organizations feel empowered to respond to situations with wisdom rather than just enforcing rules. This creates space for genuine therapeutic responsiveness.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Is your organization primarily guided by love and understanding, or by fear and control? How would staff and patients answer this question? What evidence would they cite?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Guidance assessment:

- Review recent difficult decisions: What guided them?
- Examine policies: Are they love-based or fear-based?
- Assess organizational response to patient problems: punishment or understanding?
- Evaluate communication style: warm or cold? Curious or judgmental?
- Identify where fear-based guidance could shift to love-based

ACTION STEP

Select one fear-based policy or practice and redesign it through a love-and-understanding lens. Pilot the change and evaluate the difference.

Respecting Diverse Spiritual Paths

Tradition Two requires "respecting diverse spiritual paths." Treatment organizations serve people from all spiritual backgrounds—religious, spiritual-but-not-religious, agnostic, atheist. Effective treatment honors each patient's path without imposing any particular framework.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Spiritual diversity among patients requires flexible approaches
- No single spiritual framework should be required or privileged
- 12-step programs can be presented as one resource among many
- Staff should be equipped to support various spiritual orientations
- Spiritual support should be available but not imposed

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Some treatment programs alienate patients by imposing particular spiritual frameworks. Others avoid spirituality entirely, missing a powerful recovery resource. The balance honors spirituality's importance while respecting individual paths.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff hold their own spiritual beliefs while serving diverse patients. Organizations should support staff in separating personal beliefs from professional practice while allowing authentic spiritual presence.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your organization navigate spiritual diversity? Are patients with non-traditional spiritual orientations fully welcomed? Is spiritual support available without being imposed?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Spiritual inclusivity audit:

- Review programming for spiritual assumptions or requirements
- Assess how 12-step programs are presented (required? One option?)
- Evaluate staff training on spiritual diversity
- Survey patients on spiritual inclusivity experience
- Identify specific improvements for inclusivity

ACTION STEP

Develop a "spiritual inclusivity statement" that articulates how your organization honors diverse spiritual paths, and train staff on its implementation.

Understanding Before Judgment

The "understanding" component of Tradition Two requires organizations to seek comprehension before evaluation. When patients struggle, relapse, or act out, the first response should be understanding—what's happening for this person?—rather than judgment or punishment.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Understanding is a practice, not just a feeling
- Behavior always makes sense from the person's perspective
- Seeking understanding improves both relationship and intervention
- Understanding doesn't mean excusing or enabling
- Staff need training and support to maintain understanding orientation

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations that lead with understanding create cultures where patients feel safe to be honest about struggles. This honesty enables more effective treatment. Judgment-leading cultures drive struggles underground where they can't be addressed.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff facing challenging patient behaviors need support to maintain understanding orientation. Without supervision and processing space, frustration leads to judgment. Organizations must resource staff to sustain understanding.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your organization typically respond to challenging patient behavior? Is understanding sought before consequences are assigned? What supports staff in maintaining understanding?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Understanding practice development:

- Review recent challenging patient situations: Was understanding sought first?

- Assess staff training on understanding patient behavior
 - Evaluate clinical supervision's focus on understanding
 - Examine whether policies require understanding before consequences
 - Develop protocols that ensure understanding is sought first
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Institute an "understanding first" protocol: Before any disciplinary response to patient behavior, require documented attempt to understand what the behavior represents for that patient.

Love That Includes Boundaries

Love in treatment context includes appropriate boundaries. Tradition Two's call to be guided by "love" doesn't mean permissiveness or avoiding difficult conversations. True love holds people accountable, provides honest feedback, and maintains the boundaries that make healing possible.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Love without boundaries isn't love—it's abandonment
- Boundaries protect both patients and staff
- Honest feedback, delivered with love, serves recovery
- Accountability is an expression of love, not its opposite
- The manner of boundary enforcement matters as much as the boundaries themselves

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Some organizations confuse love with permissiveness, leading to chaos. Others confuse boundaries with coldness, leading to punitive environments. The integration—loving boundaries—creates safety for genuine transformation.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff often struggle with the false dichotomy between "nice" and "firm." Training in loving boundaries—maintaining standards while preserving relationship—equips staff for effective limit-setting.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your organization balance love with boundaries? Are boundaries enforced in ways that preserve dignity and relationship? Do staff have skills for loving limit-setting?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Loving boundaries assessment:

- Review how boundaries are communicated and enforced
 - Assess staff training on boundary-setting with compassion
 - Evaluate patient experience of boundaries
 - Identify where boundaries might be too rigid or too lax
 - Develop model for "loving boundaries" in your context
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Provide staff training on integrating firm boundaries with genuine compassion. Include role-playing of common scenarios and coaching on compassionate accountability.

Spiritual Suffering, Spiritual Healing

Tradition Two recognizes that addiction often involves spiritual suffering, and that healing can involve the spirit as well. Many patients arrive feeling empty, ashamed or disconnected from meaning. The organization's task is to make spiritual care available in ways that honor every tradition, so each patient can find healing on their own terms.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Addiction often brings loss of meaning, connection and hope
- Spiritual suffering deserves attention alongside physical and psychological symptoms
- Spiritual care options should reflect many traditions, not one
- Decisions about care should consider patients' spiritual well-being
- For some patients, spiritual healing means faith; for others, purpose and connection

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations often treat spirituality as either a requirement or an afterthought. Neither serves patients well. Organizations that ask about spiritual well-being at assessment and offer a range of options—chaplaincy from different traditions, meditation, meaning-centered groups, connection to faith communities—meet patients where they are.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff often notice spiritual pain in patients but don't know how to respond without overstepping. Simple training in asking open questions about meaning and connection, and knowing what options to offer, helps staff respond with confidence and respect.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Does your assessment ask about spiritual well-being? Which spiritual traditions are represented in your care options, and which are missing? How do staff respond when patients express spiritual pain?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Spiritual care review:

- Check whether your assessment asks about meaning, connection and spiritual well-being
- List the spiritual care options currently available to patients
- Identify traditions and perspectives that are not represented
- Ask patients what spiritual support would help them
- Train staff in open, respectful questions about spiritual life

ACTION STEP

Add one open question about meaning and spiritual well-being to your assessment, and expand spiritual care options to include at least one tradition or approach not currently offered.

Tradition 3: Inclusive Welcome

Welcoming All Who Seek Help

Tradition Three declares: "We welcome all who seek help, regardless of background, addiction type, or circumstances." This is radical inclusivity—the only requirement for admission is the desire for help. Every exclusion should be examined carefully against this standard.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- The desire for help is the only essential admission criterion
- Exclusion criteria should be minimal and clearly justified
- Every population has unique needs but deserves equal access
- Practical limitations differ from discriminatory exclusions
- Organizations should actively work to expand who they can serve

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Treatment organizations often develop exclusion criteria based on convenience rather than necessity. While some limitations are real (medical acuity, specialized needs), others reflect bias or unwillingness to adapt. Tradition Three calls for maximum inclusion.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff may resist serving unfamiliar populations due to uncertainty or bias. Training, support, and successful experiences expand staff capacity and willingness to serve diverse populations.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Who does your organization exclude and why? Are exclusion criteria truly necessary or merely convenient? What populations does your community need you to serve that you currently don't?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Inclusivity assessment:

- List all current exclusion criteria
 - For each: Is this truly necessary or could adaptation address it?
 - Identify underserved populations in your community
 - Assess staff attitudes toward serving diverse populations
 - Develop plan to expand inclusivity
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Select one population your organization currently doesn't serve well and develop a plan to become more accessible to that population through training, programming, or partnership.

Beyond Background: Addressing Implicit Bias

Welcoming all "regardless of background" requires addressing implicit bias. Even well-intentioned staff and organizations may treat patients differently based on race, class, education, or other background factors. True welcome requires ongoing examination of these patterns.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Implicit bias exists even in caring professionals
- Differential treatment based on background undermines recovery
- Organizational patterns can reveal systemic bias
- Addressing bias requires ongoing effort, not one-time training
- Patient feedback is essential for identifying bias

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations often believe they treat everyone equally while data shows differential treatment. Examining outcomes by demographic group, seeking patient feedback, and honest self-reflection reveal patterns that good intentions alone don't address.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff generally want to treat everyone fairly but may be unaware of their implicit biases. Creating safe space for self-examination, without shame or punishment, enables growth and change.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Does your organization examine outcomes by demographic group? What patterns might reveal differential treatment? How do you create space for staff to examine their own biases?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Bias examination:

- Analyze treatment outcomes by demographic group
 - Review disciplinary actions for demographic patterns
 - Assess staff demographics relative to patient population
 - Gather patient feedback on cultural responsiveness
 - Implement ongoing bias awareness practices
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Conduct a demographic analysis of treatment outcomes and disciplinary actions. If patterns suggest differential treatment, develop and implement corrective interventions.

Welcoming All Addiction Types

Tradition Three includes welcoming patients "regardless of addiction type." This challenges hierarchies that privilege some addictions over others—viewing alcohol addiction as more respectable than opioid addiction, or substance addiction as more legitimate than behavioral addiction.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- No addiction is more worthy of treatment than another
- Addiction hierarchies reflect social bias, not clinical reality
- Staff may hold unconscious preferences for certain patient types
- Treatment approaches should adapt to various addiction presentations
- Co-occurring addictions require integrated approaches

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Some treatment organizations specialize appropriately, but others exclude based on bias or unwillingness to adapt programming. The methamphetamine user and the prescription opioid user deserve equal welcome and effective treatment.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff may feel more comfortable with certain addiction types based on their own background or training. Expanding competence requires intentional development and exposure to diverse presentations.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Does your organization treat all addiction types with equal respect and effectiveness? Are there subtle hierarchies in how different substances or behaviors are viewed?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Addiction-type inclusivity:

- Assess programming effectiveness across substance types
- Examine staff attitudes toward various addictions
- Review intake language for addiction hierarchy
- Evaluate marketing for implied preferences
- Develop training on diverse addiction presentations

ACTION STEP

Conduct training on addiction stigma that specifically addresses hierarchies between addiction types and challenges staff to examine their preferences and assumptions.

Circumstances: Working With What Patients Bring

Welcoming all "regardless of circumstances" means working with patients as they actually are—not as we wish they were. Patients arrive with legal problems, housing instability, family chaos, trauma histories, and psychiatric complications. True welcome accepts and addresses this complexity.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Patients' circumstances are part of what needs treatment
- Excluding based on circumstances often excludes those most in need
- Complexity requires comprehensive approaches, not rejection
- Circumstances that complicate treatment can be addressed with support
- Meeting patients where they are is both philosophy and practice

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations sometimes exclude patients whose circumstances make treatment "difficult"—those with legal involvement, psychiatric complexity, or social instability. Yet these circumstances often drive addiction and must be addressed for sustainable recovery.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Complex patient circumstances increase workload and require broader competencies. Staff need training, support, and adequate resources to effectively serve patients with complicated lives.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What circumstances lead your organization to refuse or discharge patients? Are these truly insurmountable or could different approaches address them?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Circumstance-inclusive practice:

- Review exclusions and discharges based on circumstances

- Identify what resources would enable serving complex patients
 - Develop partnerships to address common circumstantial barriers
 - Train staff on working with complexity
 - Create protocols that address circumstances rather than exclude based on them
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Identify the most common circumstance-based exclusion in your organization. Develop a plan to address that circumstance through programming, partnership, or support rather than exclusion.

Welcoming Every Level of Readiness

Tradition Three welcomes "all who seek help"—including those who have been through treatment before, those who have relapsed many times, and those who are not sure they are ready to change. Readiness is not an admission test. Ambivalence, past failures and repeated relapses are part of addiction, not reasons to turn someone away.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Previous treatment episodes are experience, not failure
- Multiple relapses often signal need for more support, not less
- Motivation grows during treatment; it need not be complete at admission
- Ambivalence is normal and can be worked with
- Discharge for relapse should be examined carefully against this tradition

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Some organizations quietly screen out patients who have "failed" treatment before or who seem unmotivated, because they are harder to treat or hurt outcome numbers. Tradition Three challenges this. Patients with long histories often need treatment most, and approaches like motivational interviewing are designed for people who are not yet sure.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff can grow discouraged when patients return again and again. Reframing return as persistence, and training in working with ambivalence, helps staff stay hopeful and see each return as another chance.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your organization treat patients who have been through treatment before? Are patients ever discharged or refused for relapse or low motivation? How do staff talk about patients who return?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Readiness welcome review:

- Review admission and discharge policies for readiness or relapse requirements
 - For each: Does this protect patients, or protect outcome numbers?
 - Look at how returning patients are greeted and treated
 - Assess staff skills in working with ambivalence
 - Develop a plan to welcome patients at every stage of readiness
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Review your discharge policy for relapse and your admission criteria for motivation. Revise any requirement that excludes people for being where addiction has left them.

Tradition 4: Program Autonomy

Flexibility Within Core Principles

Tradition Four provides for program flexibility: "Each treatment program should have the flexibility to meet unique patient needs while adhering to our core principles." This balances local adaptation with mission consistency—programs can differ in how they serve patients while maintaining unified commitment to core values.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- One size doesn't fit all in treatment
- Local adaptation often improves outcomes
- Core principles provide unity amid diversity
- Staff creativity should be encouraged within bounds
- Balance between standardization and adaptation is ongoing negotiation

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations with multiple programs or locations must balance consistency with flexibility. Too much standardization stifles effective local adaptation; too much autonomy fragments mission. Tradition Four offers a framework: freedom within principles.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff value autonomy to adapt approaches to their specific patient population. When organizations trust staff with flexibility while providing clear principle guidance, engagement and innovation increase.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your organization balance program standardization with local flexibility? Are staff empowered to adapt within principles? Where might flexibility be expanded or principles clarified?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Flexibility assessment:

- Identify which elements must be standardized (core principles)
 - Identify where flexibility is appropriate (methods, scheduling, adaptations)
 - Assess staff sense of empowerment for adaptation
 - Review innovations that have emerged from local flexibility
 - Develop clearer guidance on flexibility boundaries
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Create a "Core Principles and Adaptive Practices" document that distinguishes non-negotiable principles from areas where program flexibility is encouraged.

Meeting Unique Patient Needs

Tradition Four's flexibility serves a purpose: "to meet unique patient needs." This is patient-centered adaptability. When standard approaches don't work for particular patients, programs should have latitude to individualize while maintaining mission integrity.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Individual patients require individualized approaches
- Program flexibility enables patient-centered care
- Adaptation should be guided by patient need, not convenience
- Documentation of individualized approaches supports learning
- Flexibility doesn't mean anything goes—it means responsive within principles

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Patients often fail in treatment not because treatment doesn't work but because standardized treatment doesn't fit their specific needs. Flexibility to adapt programming to individuals—with appropriate documentation and oversight—improves outcomes.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Clinicians often know what individual patients need but feel constrained by rigid protocols. Empowering appropriate adaptation, with clinical oversight and documentation, increases treatment effectiveness and staff satisfaction.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

When patients don't fit standard programming, what happens? Is there latitude for individualization? How is flexibility balanced with accountability?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Individualization assessment:

- Review cases where standard approaches weren't working
- Assess whether adaptation was possible and how it was handled
- Identify barriers to appropriate individualization
- Develop protocols for documented treatment adaptation
- Create learning systems from individualized approaches

ACTION STEP

Develop an "Individualized Treatment Adaptation" protocol that provides clear guidance for when and how staff can adapt programming for unique patient needs.

Autonomy With Accountability

Program autonomy requires accountability. Freedom to adapt programming doesn't mean freedom from oversight or outcomes accountability. Tradition Four's flexibility operates within the context of "adhering to our core principles"—autonomy and accountability together.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Autonomy without accountability leads to drift
- Accountability without autonomy leads to rigidity
- Core principles provide the accountability framework
- Outcomes measurement ensures flexibility serves patients
- Regular review maintains balance between freedom and alignment

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Some organizations resist program flexibility fearing loss of control. Others allow such autonomy that programs drift from mission. The balance requires clear principles, outcomes accountability, and regular review of how flexibility is being used.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff appreciate autonomy but also want to know they're doing good work. Outcomes feedback and principle alignment review provide the accountability that makes autonomy safe and productive.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your organization maintain accountability for flexible programs? Are outcomes monitored across programs? How is principle adherence assessed?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Accountability framework:

- Define core principles against which programs are measured

- Establish outcomes metrics that apply across programs
 - Create regular review processes for principle adherence
 - Develop feedback loops that connect flexibility to outcomes
 - Build learning community across programs
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Establish quarterly program reviews that examine both outcomes and principle adherence, creating space for sharing successful adaptations across programs.

Specialized Programs for Specific Populations

Tradition Four's flexibility makes room for specialized programs—for adolescents, women, professionals, veterans and other groups with distinct needs. Specialized programs can reach people that general programming misses. They must still rest on the same core principles, with cultural and population changes that keep clinical integrity intact.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Different populations face different barriers and needs
- Specialized programs can improve engagement and outcomes
- Cultural modifications should strengthen care, not water it down
- Core principles stay the same across every program
- Population-specific programs need staff with the right training

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations sometimes launch specialized tracks as a marketing label without changing much underneath. Real specialization changes content, staffing and environment to fit the population. It also stays connected to the organization's core principles, so the specialized program and the main program share one identity.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff working with a specialized population need training and support specific to that group. When they have it, they gain expertise and confidence. When they don't, they are left improvising with patients whose needs they were never prepared for.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Which populations in your community would benefit from specialized programming? Do your existing specialized programs differ in substance, or only in name? How do you make sure they stay true to your core principles?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Specialized program review:

- List current specialized programs and the populations they serve
 - For each: What is actually different about the care?
 - Identify populations whose needs are not well met
 - Check that staff in specialized programs have specific training
 - Confirm each program's alignment with core principles
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Choose one population whose needs your general programming does not meet well, and design one specific adaptation—content, staffing or environment—grounded in your core principles.

Innovation and Pilot Programs

Tradition Four's flexibility includes room to innovate. Pilot programs let an organization test new approaches, adapt to local community needs and improve care without betting everything on an untested idea. Innovation within core principles keeps the organization growing instead of standing still.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Treatment improves through careful experimentation
- Pilots test new ideas on a small scale before wider rollout
- Local community needs can drive innovation
- Every pilot needs clear goals and honest evaluation
- Innovation must stay within core principles and patient safety

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Some organizations never try anything new; others chase every trend. Neither serves patients. A simple pilot process—clear goal, small scale, defined time, honest evaluation—lets good ideas grow and lets weak ones end without damage.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Front-line staff often have the best ideas for improving care because they see the gaps every day. A clear way to propose and test ideas tells staff their creativity is valued and turns frustration into

improvement.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

When did your organization last pilot something new? Where do improvement ideas come from, and what happens to them? How do you decide whether a pilot should grow, change or stop?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Pilot program process:

- Create a simple way for staff to propose improvement ideas
- Define what every pilot needs: goal, scale, timeframe, measures
- Identify one local community need that could inspire a pilot
- Agree how pilots will be evaluated and by whom
- Decide in advance what results would justify expanding or ending a pilot

ACTION STEP

Invite staff to propose improvement ideas, choose one to pilot on a small scale with clear goals and a set timeframe, and share the results with the whole team.

Tradition 5: Primary Purpose

Comprehensive Care: The Full Scope

Tradition Five defines purpose as "comprehensive, compassionate care for those struggling with addiction." "Comprehensive" means addressing the whole person—not just the addiction but the physical, psychological, social, and spiritual dimensions of recovery. Fragmentary care produces fragmentary results.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Addiction affects and is affected by all life dimensions
- Comprehensive care addresses root causes and consequences
- Integration of services improves outcomes
- Comprehensive doesn't mean doing everything—it means ensuring everything is addressed
- Coordination with other providers extends comprehensiveness

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations often provide excellent addiction treatment while neglecting co-occurring mental health, physical health, or social needs. Comprehensive care either provides or connects patients to the full spectrum of services recovery requires.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Clinicians trained in specific modalities may not naturally think comprehensively. Organizations should support whole-person assessment and care coordination, even when specific services are provided externally.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How comprehensive is your organization's care? What dimensions of patient need are well-addressed? What gaps exist? How is care coordination managed?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Comprehensiveness assessment:

- Map all dimensions of care (physical, psychological, social, spiritual, vocational)
- Assess which dimensions are addressed directly and which through referral
- Evaluate care coordination effectiveness
- Identify gaps in comprehensive coverage
- Develop plan to address gaps

ACTION STEP

Implement comprehensive care assessment for all patients that systematically evaluates needs across all dimensions and creates coordination plans for unmet needs.

Compassionate Care: The Heart of Purpose

Tradition Five pairs "comprehensive" with "compassionate"—care must be delivered with genuine concern for patient welfare. Technically excellent care without compassion fails patients. Compassion infuses clinical skill with the warmth that enables healing relationships.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Competence without compassion is incomplete
- Compassion is communicated through manner, not just actions
- Patients can tell whether staff genuinely care
- Compassion fatigue threatens this core value
- Organizational culture either supports or depletes compassion

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Clinical excellence and efficiency metrics can unintentionally deprioritize compassion. Organizations must actively cultivate and protect compassionate care through hiring, training, supervision, and workload management.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff generally enter the field with compassion but organizational conditions can erode it. Supervision that attends to compassion, manageable caseloads, and self-care support protect this essential quality.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your organization cultivate and protect compassion? What threatens compassion in your setting? How would patients describe the compassion they experience?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Compassion cultivation:

- Assess hiring practices for compassion indicators
- Evaluate training emphasis on compassionate care
- Review supervision focus on compassion maintenance
- Examine workload impact on compassion capacity
- Develop compassion protection strategies

ACTION STEP

Add compassion assessment to clinical supervision—regularly check in with staff about their compassion capacity and address barriers to compassionate care.

Primary Purpose: Staying Focused

Tradition Five states this is our "primary purpose"—the main thing must remain the main thing. Treatment organizations face constant pressure to expand scope, add services, or pursue opportunities that, while potentially valuable, may dilute focus on the primary purpose.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Mission creep threatens organizational effectiveness
- New opportunities should be evaluated against primary purpose
- Focus enables excellence; diffusion breeds mediocrity
- Saying "no" to good ideas protects the primary purpose
- Clarity of purpose guides resource allocation

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Growth-oriented organizations often add services that dilute focus. Each addition seems sensible individually but collectively fragments attention and resources. Tradition Five provides a test: Does this serve our primary purpose of comprehensive, compassionate addiction care?

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff appreciate organizational focus—it clarifies their roles and enables mastery. When organizations diffuse into multiple purposes, staff energy fragments and no service receives full attention.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How focused is your organization on its primary purpose? What activities or services compete with core mission? What might need to be dropped or de-emphasized?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Purpose alignment audit:

- List all organizational activities and services

- Rate each on direct connection to primary purpose
 - Identify activities consuming resources without clear mission connection
 - Assess new opportunities against primary purpose criterion
 - Develop decision framework for future opportunities
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Apply the "primary purpose test" to all current programs: How directly does this serve comprehensive, compassionate addiction care? Consider eliminating or de-emphasizing activities that fail this test.

Those Struggling: Who We Serve

Tradition Five specifies we serve "those struggling with addiction." This focus provides clarity about who we serve and suggests an orientation of support rather than judgment. "Struggling" acknowledges the difficulty of addiction and recovery, meeting patients in their difficulty rather than expecting easy success.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Clear focus on who we serve guides all decisions
- "Struggling" acknowledges difficulty without judgment
- Support for struggle differs from expectation of easy success
- Struggle-orientation creates space for setbacks as learning
- Recovery is hard work—organizational culture should honor this

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations that expect easy recovery set patients up for shame when they struggle. Those that acknowledge struggle as normal create environments where patients can be honest about their difficulties and receive appropriate support.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff who understand recovery as struggle can support patients through difficulty without taking setbacks personally or losing hope. This orientation sustains staff through the challenges of treatment work.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Does your organization truly acknowledge how difficult recovery is? How does organizational culture respond to patient struggle and setback?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Struggle-supportive culture:

- Assess organizational response to patient setbacks
 - Evaluate messaging about recovery difficulty
 - Review whether policies support struggle or punish it
 - Examine staff training on normative recovery difficulty
 - Develop struggle-supportive practices
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Review your organization's response to patient relapse or setback. Develop protocols that treat struggle as normal and respond supportively rather than punitively.

Expertise in Addiction Care

Tradition Five's primary purpose requires real expertise. Providing "comprehensive, compassionate care for those struggling with addiction" depends on staff who know addiction medicine and therapy well and keep learning. Expertise is how good intentions become effective care.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Compassion without expertise is not enough
- Addiction science and practice keep changing
- Ongoing training protects the quality of care
- Every staff member should understand and support the primary mission
- Resources for expertise are resources for patients

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

When budgets tighten, training is often the first thing cut. Over time, the organization falls behind current practice without noticing. Organizations that protect investment in clinical expertise—training, supervision, access to research—keep their care effective and their identity clearly tied to addiction treatment.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff want to be good at their work. Regular training and strong clinical supervision help them grow, feel competent and stay in the field. Staff who feel unprepared burn out faster and leave sooner.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How current is your staff's knowledge of addiction medicine and therapy? What happens to training when budgets get tight? Do all staff, clinical and non-clinical, understand the primary mission?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Expertise review:

- List the core areas of expertise your care depends on
- Assess where staff knowledge is current and where it has fallen behind
- Review how training and supervision are funded and protected
- Include non-clinical staff in mission orientation
- Develop a yearly learning plan for the organization

ACTION STEP

Create a yearly learning plan that names the areas of addiction expertise your staff most need to strengthen, and protect its budget as part of direct patient care.

Tradition 6: Distinct Identity

Collaboration Without Compromise

Tradition Six balances partnership with identity: "The Treatment Center should collaborate with other organizations but maintain its distinct identity and mission." Collaboration multiplies impact; identity ensures mission integrity. The challenge is achieving both.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Collaboration extends organizational impact
- Identity preservation protects mission integrity
- Some partnerships enhance mission; others dilute it
- Clear mission articulation enables collaborative discernment
- Collaboration should be strategic, not indiscriminate

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Treatment organizations need partners—for referrals, resources, and comprehensive care. But not all partnerships serve mission. Some partnerships (with predatory marketers, inadequate referral sources) compromise integrity. Discernment is essential.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff benefit from robust partnerships that extend their capacity to serve patients. They suffer when partnerships compromise care quality or organizational reputation.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What partnerships does your organization maintain? Which enhance mission? Which might compromise it? How are partnership decisions made?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Partnership assessment:

- Inventory current organizational partnerships
- Evaluate each partnership's mission alignment
- Identify partnerships that may need reconsideration
- Assess partnership gaps that would enhance mission
- Develop partnership criteria for future decisions

ACTION STEP

Create a "Partnership Integrity Framework" that establishes criteria for entering, maintaining, and exiting partnerships based on mission alignment.

Maintaining Distinct Identity

Tradition Six calls for maintaining "distinct identity." Identity includes mission, values, approach, and culture. In a crowded treatment field, distinct identity helps patients find the right fit and helps organizations stay true to their calling.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Identity clarity guides organizational decisions
- Distinct identity attracts aligned patients and staff
- Identity should be articulated, communicated, and lived
- Identity pressures come from funders, partners, and market
- Identity preservation requires intentional effort

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Market pressures push organizations toward homogenization—everyone offers similar services with similar messaging. Maintaining distinct identity requires clarity about what makes your organization unique and commitment to that distinctiveness.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff aligned with organizational identity feel at home and perform better. When identity is unclear or compromised, staff struggle to understand their role and connection to mission.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What is your organization's distinct identity? Can staff and patients articulate it? What pressures threaten identity clarity?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Identity clarification:

- Define your organization's core identity elements
 - Assess staff and patient understanding of identity
 - Identify pressures toward identity dilution
 - Develop identity communication strategies
 - Create identity protection practices
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Articulate your organization's distinct identity in a one-page document. Share it widely and use it as a filter for organizational decisions.

Mission Protection in Partnerships

Every partnership creates influence. Tradition Six warns against collaborations that might compromise "distinct identity and mission." Partners may pressure changes that serve their interests over patients. Mission protection requires vigilance in all collaborative relationships.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Partners influence organizational direction
- Financial partnerships create particular vulnerability
- Mission compromise often happens gradually
- Clear mission articulation protects against drift
- Some partnerships must end when mission conflict becomes clear

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Insurance companies, referral sources, and corporate partners all have interests that may not align with patient welfare. Organizations must maintain sufficient independence to prioritize mission over partner preferences when these conflict.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff notice when partner demands compromise patient care. Organizations that protect mission in partnerships maintain staff trust. Those that cave to partner pressure lose staff respect and morale.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What partnerships most influence your organization? How do you maintain mission priority when partner interests conflict? Have any partnerships compromised mission?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Partnership influence mapping:

- Identify your five most influential partnerships
- Assess where partner interests might conflict with mission
- Evaluate current balance between partner accommodation and mission priority
- Develop strategies for mission protection in each partnership
- Create exit criteria for partnerships that compromise mission

ACTION STEP

Review your three most significant partnerships through mission lens. Identify any compromises to mission and develop plans to address them.

Sharing Knowledge With the Field

Tradition Six includes sharing resources and knowledge with other treatment providers, and taking part in professional associations, research and quality improvement. Collaboration of this kind strengthens the whole field. Done well, it also sharpens the organization's own identity and practice.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Every provider benefits when knowledge is shared
- Professional associations connect the organization to the wider field
- Joint research and quality improvement raise standards for everyone
- Sharing knowledge does not mean giving up identity
- What is learned from others should be weighed against your own mission

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations sometimes guard what they know as a competitive advantage. But patients move between providers, and every program's quality affects the community's recovery. Organizations that share openly and take part in research and improvement efforts help the field grow while learning from it too.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff who attend professional meetings, join improvement projects or present their work grow professionally and bring new ideas home. It also connects them to colleagues across the field who face the same challenges.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What does your organization share with other providers, and what do you hold back? Which professional associations and improvement efforts are you part of? How do new ideas from the field get weighed against your own identity and mission?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Knowledge-sharing plan:

- List current involvement in associations, research and improvement efforts
 - Identify knowledge or resources you could share with other providers
 - Choose staff to represent the organization in the field
 - Decide how ideas from outside will be evaluated against your mission
 - Plan one way to share your learning this year
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Join or strengthen involvement in one professional association or quality improvement effort, and share one practice or resource with other providers this year.

Referrals Without Conflicts of Interest

Tradition Six calls for maintaining referral relationships while avoiding conflicts of interest. Referrals should be made because they serve the patient, never because they benefit the organization or a partner. Integrity in referrals protects patients and the organization's reputation alike.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Every referral should be based on the patient's needs
- Financial ties can quietly influence referral decisions
- Patient brokering and referral payments harm patients and the field
- Transparency about relationships builds trust
- Referral practices should be reviewed regularly

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Referral relationships can drift into arrangements where patients are sent where it benefits someone else. Even without bad intent, familiarity and mutual benefit can shape choices. Clear written policies, disclosed relationships and regular review keep referrals focused on what is right for each patient.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff need to feel free to refer patients wherever care is best, even if it is not a partner organization. When staff sense pressure to refer for organizational gain, their trust in leadership and their sense of integrity suffer.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How are referral decisions made in your organization? Are there any relationships that could create a conflict of interest? Do staff feel free to refer patients wherever care is best?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Referral integrity review:

- List your main referral relationships, in and out
- For each: Is there any financial or other benefit that could influence decisions?
- Write or update a clear referral and conflict-of-interest policy
- Make sure staff know they may refer wherever care is best
- Review referral patterns yearly for signs of influence

ACTION STEP

Review your main referral relationships for any conflict of interest, and adopt a written policy that every referral is based on patient need alone.

Tradition 7: Financial Sustainability

Self-Supporting for Service Continuity

Tradition Seven addresses financial sustainability: "We strive for financial sustainability to ensure continued service to those in need." Money isn't the mission, but without financial viability, the mission ends. Sustainability serves mission continuity.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Financial sustainability is means to mission, not end in itself
- Dependency on single funding sources creates vulnerability
- Business discipline serves mission, not competes with it
- Sustainability planning is part of responsible leadership
- Financial health enables long-term patient service

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Some treatment organizations avoid financial discipline as "unspiritual" while others prioritize profit over patients. Tradition Seven charts a middle course: financial sustainability as stewardship that enables mission continuation.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff want their organization to survive and thrive—job security matters. When leadership manages finances responsibly while maintaining mission priority, staff feel secure and can focus on patient care.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How financially sustainable is your organization? What would happen if a major funding source disappeared? How is financial planning integrated with mission planning?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Sustainability assessment:

- Evaluate current financial health indicators
 - Assess funding source diversification
 - Identify financial vulnerabilities
 - Develop financial sustainability goals
 - Create plan to strengthen financial position
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Develop a financial sustainability plan that identifies risks and strategies for maintaining organizational viability without compromising mission.

Financial Decisions Through Mission Lens

Every financial decision is a mission decision. How money is earned, spent, and saved reflects organizational values. Tradition Seven calls for financial decisions that serve "continued service to those in need"—patient welfare guides financial choices.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Revenue sources should align with mission values
- Spending priorities reveal actual mission commitment
- Cost-cutting shouldn't compromise core care
- Investment decisions shape future mission capacity
- Financial transparency builds stakeholder trust

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations reveal their true priorities through financial decisions. Budget line items, capital investments, and staffing levels show what the organization actually values—regardless of mission statements.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff observe financial decisions closely. Investment in staff support, patient resources, and quality improvement communicates organizational values. Cost-cutting that affects care while executive compensation remains high breeds cynicism.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How do your organization's financial decisions reflect mission priority? Where might budget reveal values different from stated mission?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Financial-mission alignment:

- Review budget for mission alignment
- Identify financial decisions that might conflict with mission
- Assess staff perception of financial decision values
- Evaluate investment priorities against mission
- Develop mission-aligned financial decision criteria

ACTION STEP

Conduct a "mission audit" of your budget: Does spending allocation reflect stated mission priorities? Identify and address misalignments.

Continued Service: Long-Term Thinking

Tradition Seven focuses on "continued service"—sustainability is about the long term. Short-term financial decisions that compromise future viability, or long-term thinking that ignores immediate needs, both fail this standard. Balanced temporal perspective is required.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Short-term profits shouldn't mortgage long-term viability
- Long-term planning shouldn't ignore immediate needs
- Sustainability requires both discipline and investment
- Building reserves enables crisis weathering
- Continued service means being here for future patients

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Treatment organizations face constant tension between current demands and future planning. Tradition Seven calls for financial management that ensures the organization will still be serving patients years from now.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff want to work for organizations with futures. Communication about long-term sustainability planning provides reassurance and demonstrates responsible leadership.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your organization balance short-term and long-term financial considerations? Is there planning for sustained service over time?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Long-term sustainability planning:

- Assess current reserves and financial cushion
- Evaluate long-term financial projections

- Identify investments needed for future viability
 - Develop multi-year financial sustainability plan
 - Create communication plan for stakeholders
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Develop a three-year financial sustainability plan that addresses current needs while building capacity for continued future service.

Prudent Growth

Tradition Seven calls for prudent growth that doesn't overextend the organization. Growth can bring more patients into care, but growth that outpaces staffing, systems or finances puts every patient at risk. Sustainable growth asks not only "Can we expand?" but "Can we expand and still provide excellent care?"

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Growth should follow capacity, not get ahead of it
- Rapid expansion often strains staff and quality first
- New programs need the people, systems and funding to succeed
- Saying "not yet" can protect the mission
- Growth decisions should be tested against patient care and long-term viability

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Treatment organizations often grow quickly when demand or funding rises, then struggle when staff are stretched thin and systems can't keep up. Quality drops, turnover rises and finances suffer. Organizations that grow in measured steps—with staffing, training and systems in place first—keep both their quality and their stability.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff often feel growth before leadership does: bigger caseloads, new programs without training, colleagues pulled in too many directions. Asking staff about capacity before expanding helps leaders see the real cost of growth.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Has your organization ever grown faster than it could support? What signs tell you capacity is stretched? Who is asked about readiness before a new program or expansion begins?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Growth readiness check:

- List any planned expansions or new programs
 - For each: Are staffing, training, systems and funding in place?
 - Ask staff how current growth is affecting their work and patient care
 - Identify warning signs that growth is outpacing capacity
 - Set conditions that must be met before each expansion goes ahead
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Before your next expansion or new program, complete a written readiness check covering staffing, training, systems and funding, and include staff input on capacity.

Fundraising That Serves the Mission

Tradition Seven includes fundraising and development activities that support the mission, along with transparent financial management and reporting. How an organization raises money matters as much as how much it raises. Funding sought with honesty and reported openly strengthens trust; funding that bends the mission weakens it.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Fundraising should support the mission, never redirect it
- Donors and funders deserve honest, transparent reporting
- Diverse funding reduces dependence on any one source
- Some money comes with conditions that are too costly to accept
- Patients' stories must never be used in fundraising without full, free consent

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Pressure to raise funds can tempt organizations to chase grants outside their purpose, make promises they can't keep or use patient stories carelessly. Organizations that set clear fundraising principles—what they will accept, how they will report, how they protect patients—raise money in ways that build long-term trust.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff notice when fundraising shapes programming more than patient need does. Involving staff in understanding how the organization is funded, and why certain funding is declined, builds shared ownership of financial integrity.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Which of your funding sources come with conditions that pull against your mission? How transparent is your financial reporting to funders, staff and the community? How are patient stories used in your fundraising?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Fundraising integrity review:

- List current funding sources and any conditions attached
- For each: Does this support the mission or pull it elsewhere?
- Review how financial results are reported and to whom
- Check consent practices for any patient stories used
- Write simple fundraising principles to guide future decisions

ACTION STEP

Adopt written fundraising principles that state what funding you will and will not accept, how you will report to funders, and how patient privacy and consent are protected.

Tradition 8: Professional & Lived Experience

Professional Excellence in Service

Tradition Eight calls for "professional staff"—treatment requires professional competence. Credentials, training, and ongoing development ensure patients receive care that meets quality standards. Professionalism isn't cold detachment; it's disciplined competence in service of healing.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Professional competence protects patients from harm
- Credentials signal baseline training and accountability
- Ongoing professional development maintains competence
- Professional standards don't preclude warmth and connection
- Professionalism includes ethical conduct and boundaries

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Good intentions don't substitute for competence. Treatment requires skills developed through training and refined through supervised practice. Organizations must maintain professional standards while valuing other forms of contribution.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Professional staff appreciate organizations that invest in their development and maintain standards that ensure quality care. They may feel threatened or diminished if professional contributions aren't valued.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your organization ensure professional competence? What professional development supports are provided? How is professional excellence recognized?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Professional excellence assessment:

- Review credentialing requirements and compliance
 - Evaluate professional development programming
 - Assess clinical supervision quality and frequency
 - Examine professional standards adherence
 - Develop professional excellence enhancement plan
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Enhance professional development offerings—add training, improve supervision, or create learning communities that strengthen staff professional competence.

Valuing Lived Experience

Tradition Eight pairs professional staff with "valuing the insights of those with lived experience in recovery." Lived experience provides understanding that professional training alone cannot. The combination of professional skill and experiential wisdom creates powerful treatment teams.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Lived experience provides unique insights into recovery
- Professional training and lived experience are complementary
- Peer support workers bring credibility and connection
- Lived experience should be valued, not just tolerated
- Recovery experience doesn't substitute for professional training

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Some organizations privilege professional credentials while marginalizing lived experience. Others overvalue personal recovery while neglecting professional standards. Tradition Eight calls for genuine valuing of both contributions.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff with lived experience want their recovery journey valued as genuine expertise. Professional staff want their training valued equally. Organizations must honor both without pitting them against each other.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your organization value lived experience? Do staff with recovery backgrounds feel their experience is truly valued? How is the integration of professional and experiential wisdom handled?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Lived experience valuation:

- Assess hiring practices for lived experience consideration
- Evaluate how recovery experience is incorporated into treatment
- Review compensation equity between professional and peer roles
- Examine team dynamics around different expertise types
- Develop strategies for genuine integration

ACTION STEP

Create or strengthen a peer support program that formally incorporates lived experience into treatment delivery with appropriate training and support.

Integration: Professional and Experiential Together

The highest expression of Tradition Eight is genuine integration—professional expertise and lived experience working together seamlessly. This requires more than just having both types of staff; it requires team structures and cultures that honor and integrate both contributions.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Integration exceeds co-existence—it's genuine collaboration
- Each perspective enhances the other
- Team structures should facilitate integration
- Cultural barriers to integration must be addressed
- Patients benefit most from integrated teams

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Putting professional and peer staff on the same team doesn't automatically create integration. Power dynamics, credentialing hierarchies, and cultural differences must be explicitly addressed to achieve genuine collaboration.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Both professional and peer staff want to contribute fully. When integration works, both feel valued. When it fails, one group feels marginalized while the other feels threatened.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How well integrated are professional expertise and lived experience in your treatment delivery? What barriers exist to fuller integration?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Integration assessment:

- Evaluate team structures for integration support
- Assess power dynamics between professional and peer staff

- Review how treatment decisions incorporate both perspectives
 - Identify cultural barriers to integration
 - Develop specific integration improvement strategies
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Implement team practices that explicitly integrate professional and peer perspectives—joint case consultation, shared treatment planning, or co-facilitation models.

Career Pathways for Lived Experience

Tradition Eight calls for creating career pathways for those with lived experience, and mentorship between professional and peer staff. Valuing lived experience means more than hiring peers; it means helping them grow, advance and lead. Without a path forward, peer roles can become dead ends.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Peer staff deserve real opportunities for growth and advancement
- Mentorship between professional and peer staff benefits both
- Training and credentialing support should be available to peer staff
- Lived experience belongs at every level, including leadership
- Clear pathways help retain talented peer staff

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Many organizations hire peer staff into entry-level roles with little training and no route upward. Talented people leave, and the organization loses the insight they bring. Organizations that offer mentorship, credential support and promotion paths keep that expertise and strengthen their teams.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Peer staff want to know their work is valued and that they can grow. Professional staff gain from mentoring relationships too, learning from peers' experience while sharing clinical knowledge. Mutual mentorship builds respect across roles.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What opportunities for growth and advancement do your peer staff have? Are people with lived experience represented in supervision and leadership? How do professional and peer staff learn from each other?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Career pathway plan:

- Map the current roles available to staff with lived experience
 - Identify steps for advancement and what each step requires
 - Offer support for training and credentials
 - Pair professional and peer staff in mutual mentorship
 - Review whether lived experience is represented in leadership
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Create a written career pathway for peer staff, with clear steps for advancement and support for training, and start one professional–peer mentorship pairing.

Boundaries and Self-Disclosure

Tradition Eight calls for maintaining appropriate boundaries while drawing on personal recovery insights, and for all staff, regardless of background, to meet professional standards. Lived experience is powerful when shared with purpose. It needs clear guidance so that sharing helps the patient rather than the staff member.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Self-disclosure should serve the patient's recovery
- All staff share the same professional and ethical standards
- Boundaries protect both patients and staff
- Staff in recovery need support for their own recovery too
- Clear guidance on sharing helps every staff member

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations sometimes swing between discouraging any personal sharing and leaving it entirely to individual judgment. Clear, shared guidance on when and how to self-disclose—with supervision to talk through hard cases—lets staff use lived experience well while keeping relationships professional.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff with lived experience often wonder how much to share and when. Professional staff may also have personal experience of addiction in their own lives. Supervision that welcomes these questions helps every staff member use their experience wisely and look after their own well-being.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Does your organization have clear guidance on self-disclosure? How are boundary questions handled in supervision? How do you support staff whose own recovery is affected by the work?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Boundaries and sharing guidance:

- Review current guidance on self-disclosure and professional boundaries
- Agree when sharing personal experience helps patients and when it does not
- Make sure the same professional standards apply to all staff
- Build boundary and self-disclosure questions into supervision
- Identify supports for staff whose own recovery may be affected by the work

ACTION STEP

Write clear, shared guidance on self-disclosure and boundaries for all staff, and make boundary questions a standing item in clinical supervision.

Tradition 9: Servant Structure

Structure That Serves Patients

Tradition Nine addresses organizational structure: "Our organization should be structured to best serve our patients." This is servant structure—the organization exists for patients, not patients for the organization. Every structural element should be evaluated against patient service.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Structure serves function; function serves patients
- Administrative overhead should enhance, not impede, patient care
- Hierarchy should exist to serve, not to control
- Structural decisions impact patient experience
- Regular structure review ensures continued patient service

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations can develop structures that serve administrative convenience while impeding patient care. Tradition Nine provides a test for every structural decision: Does this help us serve patients better?

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff experience structure daily through policies, procedures, and reporting relationships. Structures that facilitate their work with patients energize them; structures that impede create frustration.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Does your organizational structure serve patients or impede service? What structural elements create barriers to effective patient care?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Structure evaluation:

- Map organizational structure
- For each structural element, assess patient service impact
- Identify structural barriers to effective care
- Evaluate administrative overhead ratio
- Develop structure improvement recommendations

ACTION STEP

Conduct a "structure serves patient" audit: Review three major structural elements and assess whether they enhance or impede patient service. Address impediments.

Balancing Administration and Flexibility

Tradition Nine calls for "balancing necessary administration with flexibility and responsiveness." Administration is necessary but not sufficient. Flexibility enables response to unique patient needs; responsiveness creates timely care. The balance matters.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Some administration is essential for quality and compliance
- Excessive administration impedes care
- Flexibility enables individualized response
- Responsiveness means timely action on patient needs
- The balance requires ongoing attention and adjustment

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations tend to accumulate administrative requirements without regularly evaluating their necessity. Over time, paperwork overwhelms patient care. Tradition Nine calls for intentional balance maintenance.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff spend significant time on administrative tasks. When these clearly serve patient care, staff accept them. When paperwork seems to exist for its own sake, staff feel their time with patients is being stolen.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What is the administrative burden in your organization? Does it serve patient care or impede it? How responsive and flexible is your organization to unique needs?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Administration-flexibility assessment:

- Audit administrative requirements against patient care necessity
- Assess staff time allocation between administration and care
- Evaluate organizational responsiveness to unique patient needs
- Identify flexibility barriers
- Develop plan to optimize the balance

ACTION STEP

Conduct an administrative audit: For each required paperwork or procedure, ask "How does this serve patient care?" Eliminate or streamline what doesn't pass the test.

Servant Leadership in Organizational Structure

Tradition Nine implies servant leadership—organizational structure and leadership exist to serve those providing direct patient care, who serve patients. This inverts traditional hierarchy: leadership serves staff who serve patients.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Leadership's job is to enable front-line effectiveness
- Structure should remove barriers, not create them
- Decision-making should be pushed to patient-contact level when possible
- Administrative support should truly support
- Leadership success is measured by patient outcomes, not control

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Traditional organizational structures place leadership at the top being served by others. Servant structure inverts this: leadership serves staff who serve patients. This changes what leadership attends to and how success is measured.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff know whether leadership serves them or expects to be served. When leadership removes barriers and provides resources, staff feel supported. When leadership adds burdens and demands service, staff feel exploited.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Does your leadership structure serve front-line staff? What do leaders do to remove barriers and enable patient care? How is leadership success measured?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Servant leadership assessment:

- Evaluate leadership activities against service criterion

- Assess staff perception of leadership support
 - Review what leadership measures and attends to
 - Identify ways leadership could better serve front-line staff
 - Develop servant leadership practices
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Institute regular "barrier removal" meetings where leadership's agenda is asking front-line staff: What's getting in your way? Then address the barriers identified.

Documentation That Serves Treatment

Tradition Nine calls for documentation requirements that serve treatment rather than just compliance, and quality assurance processes that improve rather than impede care. Paperwork that helps clinicians plan and coordinate care is valuable. Paperwork that exists only to satisfy a form takes time away from patients.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Documentation should help clinicians treat patients
- Duplicate and unused forms waste clinical time
- Compliance matters, but it is not the purpose of documentation
- Quality assurance should lead to better care, not just more checking
- Clinicians should help design the documentation they use

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Documentation requirements grow over time as each new rule, audit or incident adds a form. Few are ever removed. Organizations that regularly review every form—asking who uses it and how it helps patients—can cut clinical paperwork significantly while staying compliant.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Clinicians often spend more time documenting than they would like and feel it pulls them away from patients. When leadership listens to their frustration and removes unnecessary paperwork, staff gain time for care and trust that their time is valued.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How much of your clinicians' time goes to documentation? Which forms are required by regulation, and which by habit? Does your quality assurance process lead to real improvements in care?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Documentation review:

- List every form and documentation requirement clinicians complete
 - For each: Who uses it, and how does it help patient care?
 - Separate regulatory requirements from internal habits
 - Remove or combine forms that duplicate information
 - Ask clinicians to help redesign what remains
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Review all clinical documentation with clinicians, remove or combine at least one form that does not serve patient care, and check that your quality assurance findings lead to specific improvements.

Communication That Coordinates Care

Tradition Nine calls for communication systems that coordinate patient care and decision-making that allows quick responses to patient needs. Patients are served by many people across shifts and disciplines. When information doesn't reach the right person at the right time, care suffers.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Good care depends on information reaching the right people
- Handoffs between shifts and disciplines are points of risk
- Decisions about patient needs should not wait on unnecessary approvals
- Communication systems should be simple and reliable
- Front-line staff should know who can decide what

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Communication breakdowns are among the most common causes of errors and delays in care. Organizations often add more meetings or emails rather than fixing the system. Clear handoff routines, shared care plans and decision rights pushed close to the patient make coordination faster and safer.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff are frustrated when they learn important information late or must wait for approval on simple patient needs. Reliable communication and clear decision authority help them act quickly and confidently on behalf of patients.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Where does information about patients get lost in your organization? How long do front-line staff wait for decisions on patient needs? Do staff know which decisions they can make themselves?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Care coordination review:

- Map how patient information moves between shifts and disciplines
- Identify where information is lost or delayed
- List decisions that front-line staff must wait on, and why
- Standardize handoff routines where they are inconsistent
- Clarify which decisions can be made at the point of care

ACTION STEP

Standardize one key handoff routine, and give front-line staff clear authority for at least one patient-care decision they currently have to wait on.

Tradition 10: Focused Mission

Staying In Our Lane

Tradition Ten establishes focus: "We focus on addiction treatment and recovery, avoiding stance on unrelated external issues." Treatment organizations have expertise in addiction—not politics, not social commentary, not controversial causes. Focus protects both effectiveness and unity.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Organizational expertise defines appropriate scope
- Taking stance on external issues can alienate patients and staff
- Focus enables depth; diffusion creates superficiality
- Political neutrality creates space for diverse recovery
- Mission clarity helps navigate scope questions

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations face pressure to take positions on various social and political issues. Tradition Ten counsels restraint—our purpose is addiction treatment, and positions on unrelated issues can create barriers to that purpose.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff hold diverse views on external issues. Organizational positions that don't relate to core mission can create division among staff and may alienate patients who need addiction treatment regardless of political views.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Has your organization taken positions on issues outside addiction treatment? How has this affected unity and focus? Where might focus need strengthening?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Focus assessment:

- Review organizational statements and positions
- Identify positions that may not relate to core mission
- Assess impact of any external positions on patient access
- Evaluate staff unity around organizational focus
- Develop criteria for determining appropriate scope

ACTION STEP

Develop clear criteria for what issues fall within organizational scope. Use these criteria to guide future decisions about organizational positions and statements.

Unity Through Focus

Tradition Ten's focus creates unity. Staff and patients hold diverse views on countless issues. By focusing on the shared purpose of addiction treatment and recovery, organizations create space where diverse people can unite around common cause.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Shared purpose unites despite other differences
- Recovery transcends political and social divisions
- Focus on addiction creates common ground
- Diverse patients and staff can unite around treatment mission
- Unity serves patients better than organizational virtue-signaling

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

The treatment field serves people across the political, religious, and social spectrum. Organizations that maintain focus on addiction treatment can serve this diversity. Those that take broader positions may alienate portions of the population they should serve.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff with different backgrounds and views can work together effectively when united by treatment mission. When organizations create division through external positions, workplace harmony suffers.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your organizational focus contribute to staff unity? Could broader organizational positions create division? How might focus strengthen unity?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Unity-through-focus assessment:

- Evaluate staff unity around treatment mission
- Identify potential division points from external positions
- Assess patient population diversity and potential alienation
- Review how organizational focus is communicated
- Develop practices that strengthen unity through shared purpose

ACTION STEP

Reinforce organizational unity through explicit focus on shared treatment mission. Create opportunities for staff to connect around patient care rather than external issues.

When Issues Intersect With Treatment

Tradition Ten's focus doesn't mean ignoring issues that directly affect patients and treatment. Social determinants of health, healthcare policy, and treatment access issues directly relate to addiction care. Discernment distinguishes direct mission impact from tangential concerns.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Some "external" issues directly impact treatment
- Healthcare access and policy affect patient care
- Social determinants intersect with addiction and recovery
- Advocacy related to treatment mission is appropriate
- The test is direct connection to treatment effectiveness

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations shouldn't use Tradition Ten to avoid all engagement. Issues that directly affect patients' ability to access and benefit from treatment—insurance policy, treatment regulations, stigma reduction—fall within appropriate scope.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff want organizations to advocate for patients' interests. Advocacy directly connected to treatment access and effectiveness energizes staff. Engagement on tangential issues may distract from core purpose.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What issues that appear "external" actually directly impact your patients' treatment? Where is advocacy appropriate? How do you distinguish direct impact from tangential concern?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Issue intersection assessment:

- Identify external issues that directly affect patient care

- Distinguish direct impact from tangential connection
 - Evaluate current advocacy efforts against mission relevance
 - Develop criteria for determining when engagement is appropriate
 - Create advocacy strategy focused on direct mission impact
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Develop a "mission relevance test" for external issues: Does this directly affect our patients' ability to access or benefit from treatment? Use this to guide engagement decisions.

Keeping the Treatment Space Free From Outside Conflict

Tradition Ten aims for a treatment environment that remains a safe space, free from external conflicts. Patients arrive from every walk of life and hold every kind of view. When outside arguments enter groups, hallways and common areas, some patients start to feel they don't belong. Protecting the space keeps the focus on recovery.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Patients should feel welcome regardless of their political or social views
- Outside conflicts can divide groups and distract from recovery
- Staff set the tone for what is discussed in treatment spaces
- Redirecting heated topics is care, not censorship
- A shared focus on recovery gives everyone common ground

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Arguments about current events can easily spill into treatment settings, especially during tense times in the wider world. Organizations that agree on simple, consistent ways to redirect these conversations protect group safety without shaming anyone for their views.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff often aren't sure how to respond when patients bring divisive topics into group or common areas. Shared guidance and practice in calm redirection—back to feelings, recovery and the person in front of them—helps staff keep the space safe for everyone.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Do patients with different views feel equally welcome in your program? How do staff handle divisive topics when they come up in groups or common areas? What in your environment—posters, media, conversations—might signal that some views are not welcome?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Safe space review:

- Walk through common areas and notice what signals welcome or exclusion
 - Ask patients whether they have felt unwelcome because of their views
 - Agree simple ways for staff to redirect divisive conversations
 - Practice redirection in a team meeting
 - Include the safe-space expectation in patient orientation
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Agree a short, shared approach for redirecting divisive outside topics in treatment spaces, practice it as a team, and add the expectation to patient orientation.

Personal Views and Professional Role

Tradition Ten lets staff focus on clinical work rather than political activity, and preserves professional relationships across different viewpoints. Staff are citizens with their own convictions, and that is right. In their professional role, though, they represent an organization that must stay open to every patient and partner.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Staff have personal views and the right to hold them
- In the professional role, the organization's focus comes first
- Patients should never feel judged for their views by staff
- Public trust depends on the organization staying welcoming to all
- Clear guidance protects both staff and the organization

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Without guidance, staff may not know where the line falls between personal expression and representing the organization, especially online. Clear, fair expectations—applied the same way to every viewpoint—protect patient trust and spare staff from guessing.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff may feel that being asked to keep their views out of the work means their views don't matter. Explaining why—so every patient feels safe with every staff member—helps staff see

neutrality as part of their care for patients rather than a limit on who they are.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Does your organization have clear, fair guidance on personal views in the professional role, including online? Is it applied the same way to every viewpoint? How would a patient with very different views from their counselor experience your program?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Professional role guidance:

- Review current policy on personal views, public statements and social media
- Check that expectations apply equally to every viewpoint
- Explain the patient-centered reason for the guidance
- Give staff examples of situations and how to handle them
- Invite staff questions and concerns before finalizing

ACTION STEP

Write or update fair, clear guidance on personal views in the professional role, including social media, and talk it through with staff so they understand it protects patients' trust.

Tradition 11: Ethical Outreach

Attraction Through Quality

Tradition Eleven establishes outreach philosophy: "We share our message of hope and healing through ethical outreach, emphasizing the quality of our care rather than self-promotion." This is attraction versus promotion—let quality speak rather than marketing hype.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Quality of care is the best marketing
- Patient outcomes speak louder than advertising
- Ethical outreach prioritizes accurate information
- Self-promotion can become dishonest or manipulative
- Reputation earned through quality is sustainable

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

The treatment industry has been plagued by predatory marketing, inflated claims, and patient brokering. Tradition Eleven calls for a different approach—building reputation through excellent care rather than aggressive promotion.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff take pride in organizations known for quality. Marketing claims they know to be false create moral injury. Alignment between what's advertised and what's delivered maintains staff integrity.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Does your outreach emphasize quality or promotional hype? Do marketing claims match service reality? How is your organization building reputation through care excellence?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Ethical outreach assessment:

- Audit marketing materials for accuracy and ethics
 - Compare claims to actual services and outcomes
 - Assess outreach methods for ethical concerns
 - Evaluate how quality is communicated
 - Develop ethical outreach guidelines
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Audit all marketing materials against actual service delivery. Correct any discrepancies and develop guidelines for ethical, quality-focused outreach.

Message of Hope and Healing

Tradition Eleven specifies our message: "hope and healing." This isn't just marketing language—it's what we actually offer. Outreach should communicate the genuine possibility of recovery, the reality of healing, and the hope that sustained thousands before.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Hope is essential for recovery initiation
- Healing is the genuine outcome we offer
- Our message should reflect actual experience of recovery
- Authentic hope differs from manipulative promises
- Recovery stories (appropriately shared) communicate hope powerfully

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

People seeking treatment need hope—often when their own supply is depleted. Organizations carry the message that recovery is possible, that healing happens, that hope is warranted. This message is both true and necessary.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff witness hope and healing daily. They have stories of transformation that deserve sharing. Organizations should create ethical ways for this message to reach those who need to hear it.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your organization communicate hope and healing? Is your message authentic to actual outcomes? How might recovery stories be shared appropriately?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Hope and healing message development:

- Articulate the genuine hope your organization offers
 - Collect recovery stories that illustrate healing
 - Develop ethical ways to share these stories
 - Assess whether current outreach communicates authentic hope
 - Create message guidelines that emphasize hope and healing
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Develop an ethical recovery story sharing program that allows alumni to communicate hope and healing to those seeking help.

Quality Over Self-Promotion

Tradition Eleven contrasts "quality of our care" with "self-promotion." This isn't anti-marketing—it's about what's emphasized. Self-promotion focuses on organizational greatness. Quality emphasis focuses on patient benefit. The distinction matters.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Quality focus puts patient benefit center
- Self-promotion focuses on organizational image
- Quality-centered outreach serves patients making decisions
- Self-promotion can become about ego rather than service
- Humility in outreach reflects organizational values

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Marketing that says "We're the best" is self-promotion. Marketing that says "Here's how we help patients" is quality emphasis. The difference is focus: organizational glory or patient benefit.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff prefer working for organizations humble enough to focus on patient outcomes rather than self-aggrandizement. Quality-focused outreach aligns with clinical values.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Does your outreach emphasize what's in it for patients or how great your organization is? How might outreach shift more toward quality emphasis?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Quality versus self-promotion audit:

- Review outreach materials for focus (organization or patients)
- Assess tone for humility versus self-aggrandizement
- Evaluate whether quality claims are substantiated
- Identify opportunities to shift toward quality emphasis
- Develop quality-focused outreach strategies

ACTION STEP

Revise one key marketing piece to shift emphasis from organizational self-promotion to specific quality of care and patient outcomes.

Honest Claims About Outcomes

Tradition Eleven calls for honest representation of treatment approaches and success rates, and for sharing hope while keeping expectations realistic. Families choosing treatment are often desperate. Inflated success claims and vague promises take advantage of that desperation. Honest information helps them choose well.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Success rates should be stated honestly, with how they were measured
- Vague or inflated claims mislead people at their most vulnerable
- Realistic expectations respect patients and families
- Hope and honesty can go together
- Avoiding sensational or exploitative tactics protects the field's credibility

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

The treatment field has a history of exaggerated success claims and aggressive marketing that damaged public trust. Organizations that publish honest outcomes—including what they measure, how and with whom—stand apart and earn the trust of referral sources and families.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff are uncomfortable when marketing promises more than they can deliver, because they are the ones who meet patients and families with those expectations. Honest outreach makes their work easier and keeps them proud of how the organization presents itself.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What claims does your outreach make about outcomes, and could you back them up? How do you explain what recovery realistically looks like to families? Would your clinical staff be comfortable with every claim in your marketing?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Outreach honesty check:

- Gather every claim your outreach makes about outcomes or results
 - For each: What evidence supports it, and how was it measured?
 - Remove or revise claims that cannot be supported
 - Ask clinical staff to review outreach materials for accuracy
 - Add realistic information about the recovery process
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Review all outreach materials with clinical staff, remove or revise any claim you can't support, and add honest, realistic information about what treatment and recovery involve.

Outreach That Educates and Reduces Stigma

Tradition Eleven includes educational outreach that builds the community's understanding of addiction, and public communication that reduces stigma. Outreach is not only about attracting patients. It can change how a community sees addiction—and make it easier for people to ask for help.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Stigma keeps people from seeking help
- Education is a form of service to the community
- Person-first language reduces shame
- Outreach can reach families, schools, employers and health providers
- Education builds trust without self-promotion

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations that spend all their outreach effort on advertising miss a powerful opportunity. Community education—talks, workshops, partnerships with schools and employers—reduces stigma, builds understanding and naturally builds the organization's reputation as a trusted resource.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff often care deeply about changing how people see addiction. Inviting them to give community talks or help with education programs lets them use their knowledge for good beyond the program and brings meaning to their work.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What does your organization do to educate the community about addiction, apart from advertising? Does your public language reduce or reinforce stigma? Which groups in your community most need better understanding of addiction?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Community education plan:

- Review your public materials for stigmatizing language
- Identify community groups that would benefit from education
- Decide what topics and formats would help most
- Invite staff to take part in education efforts
- Plan at least one community education event this year

ACTION STEP

Update your public materials to use person-first, non-stigmatizing language, and hold at least one community education event this year with staff involvement.

Tradition 12: Patient Privacy

Privacy as Foundation for Healing

Tradition Twelve establishes privacy: "We respect the privacy of our patients, recognizing that healing often requires a safe and confidential environment." Privacy isn't just regulatory requirement—it's therapeutic necessity. Patients share their deepest struggles only when they trust confidentiality.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Privacy creates safety for honest disclosure
- Confidentiality is therapeutic, not just legal
- Trust enables therapeutic relationship
- Privacy violations destroy therapeutic alliance
- Organizational culture must prioritize privacy protection

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Treatment requires patients to share information they've hidden from everyone—sometimes from themselves. This vulnerability is only possible when patients trust that information will be protected. Privacy is foundational to effective treatment.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff are entrusted with sensitive information. Organizations must provide clear guidance, appropriate safeguards, and training to ensure staff can honor this trust.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your organization communicate and protect patient privacy? Do patients feel safe sharing openly? What privacy protections might be strengthened?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Privacy protection assessment:

- Review privacy policies for comprehensiveness
- Assess patient perception of privacy safety
- Evaluate physical privacy protections
- Examine electronic information security
- Identify privacy enhancement opportunities

ACTION STEP

Conduct a comprehensive privacy audit examining policies, physical environment, electronic security, and staff practices. Address any identified vulnerabilities.

Safe Environment for Healing

Tradition Twelve connects privacy to "safe and confidential environment." Safety extends beyond information protection to overall treatment environment. Patients need emotional, physical, and psychological safety to engage fully in healing work.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Safety encompasses multiple dimensions
- Emotional safety enables vulnerability
- Physical safety protects from harm
- Psychological safety permits honest self-examination
- Safe environment is actively created, not assumed

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Treatment environments can inadvertently feel unsafe—judgmental attitudes, unpredictable consequences, lack of physical privacy. Creating genuine safety requires intentional attention to all dimensions of the treatment experience.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff contribute to environmental safety through their attitudes and actions. Organizations must train and support staff in creating safety while addressing behaviors that undermine it.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How safe do patients feel in your treatment environment? What dimensions of safety might need attention? How is safety actively cultivated?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Safety assessment:

- Survey patients on perceived safety
- Evaluate physical environment for safety
- Assess emotional/psychological safety in programming
- Review staff behaviors that affect safety
- Develop safety enhancement plan

ACTION STEP

Implement regular patient safety surveys that assess emotional, physical, and psychological safety. Use results to continuously improve treatment environment.

Privacy in the Digital Age

Privacy faces new challenges in the digital age. Electronic records, social media, telehealth, and digital communications create new vulnerability points. Tradition Twelve's privacy principle must be applied to contemporary technology contexts.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Digital information requires robust protection
- Social media creates new privacy challenges
- Telehealth raises confidentiality considerations
- Electronic records require security investment
- Staff need digital privacy training

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Privacy protections designed for paper records don't automatically translate to digital environments. Organizations must thoughtfully apply privacy principles to new technologies while maintaining regulatory compliance.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff may not naturally understand digital privacy implications. Organizations must provide clear guidance and training on protecting patient information in electronic contexts.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How has your organization adapted privacy protections for digital contexts? What new vulnerabilities exist? How are staff trained on digital privacy?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Digital privacy assessment:

- Audit electronic systems for security
- Review social media policies for privacy protection

- Assess telehealth confidentiality protocols
 - Evaluate staff digital privacy training
 - Identify digital privacy enhancement needs
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Develop comprehensive digital privacy protocols and training that address electronic records, social media, telehealth, and digital communications.

Confidentiality in Marketing and Outcomes

Privacy considerations apply to marketing and outcomes reporting. Success stories, testimonials, and outcomes data can violate patient privacy even with consent. Tradition Twelve calls for protecting privacy even when sharing would benefit the organization.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Consent doesn't eliminate privacy concerns
- Patients may consent without fully understanding implications
- Outcomes data can potentially identify individuals
- Marketing that uses patients requires ethical scrutiny
- Organizational benefit doesn't justify privacy violation

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations may pressure patients for testimonials or use patient stories in ways that risk privacy. Even with consent, power dynamics and patient vulnerability require extra protection. Tradition Twelve prioritizes privacy over marketing benefit.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff often have powerful recovery stories they'd like to share. Organizations should help staff understand why privacy protection matters even when sharing might seem beneficial.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your organization handle patient stories in marketing? Are consent processes truly informed? How is privacy protected in outcomes reporting?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Marketing privacy assessment:

- Review how patient stories are used in marketing
 - Evaluate consent processes for true informed consent
 - Assess outcomes reporting for privacy protection
 - Examine testimonial policies
 - Develop ethical guidelines for patient information in marketing
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Develop comprehensive guidelines for using patient information in marketing and outcomes reporting that prioritize privacy protection even over organizational benefit.

Privacy in Everyday Spaces and Practices

Tradition Twelve calls for physical facilities designed to protect patient privacy, and confidentiality practices that go beyond legal requirements when appropriate. Privacy is protected or lost in small daily moments: a conversation in the hallway, a name called in the waiting room, a screen left open. Small lapses can do real harm.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Privacy depends on everyday habits, not just policies
- Physical spaces should protect conversations and identities
- Legal compliance is the minimum, not the goal
- Every staff member, clinical or not, shares responsibility for privacy
- Small lapses can damage trust and recovery

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations often focus privacy efforts on records and regulations while overlooking how the building and daily routines expose patients. A simple walk-through—listening for voices through walls, looking at sign-in sheets, screens and waiting areas—usually reveals easy fixes that protect patients every day.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff in busy settings can slip into habits that expose patients without meaning to. Regular reminders and practical examples help every staff member—front desk, maintenance, clinicians—protect privacy as part of everyday care.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Where in your building could patients be overheard or recognized? Which privacy practices go beyond what the law requires, and which barely meet it? Do all staff, not only clinicians,

understand their part in protecting privacy?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Everyday privacy walk-through:

- Walk through the facility as a patient would, from parking to group room
- Notice where conversations can be overheard or identities exposed
- Review sign-in, calling-in and phone practices
- Check screens, records and messages left in view
- Choose fixes and include all staff in privacy training

ACTION STEP

Complete a privacy walk-through of your facility, fix the three most significant gaps you find, and include every staff member in a short training on everyday privacy practices.
