

The Twelve Steps

A recovery framework for treatment organizations

CONTENTS

- The twelve statements
- The twelve steps in full
- Discussion topics

These twelve steps adapt the language of personal recovery to the life of a treatment organization. They describe how an agency confronts what is not working, takes an honest inventory of itself, and rebuilds — not by fixing a broken system, but by building something better together.

The twelve statements

STEP 1

We recognized that our current business practices were unsustainable and causing widespread issues, affecting not just our bottom line, but our employees, customers, and broader stakeholders. We committed to honestly evaluating our operations and embracing change for long-term viability.

STEP 2

We recognized that our organization's renewal and future success lie in the collective intelligence and shared commitment of our people, guided by a purpose greater than profit alone. We opened ourselves to new ideas, collaborative problem-solving, and a mission-driven approach to business transformation.

STEP 3

We made a firm decision to embrace transformative change, acknowledging that our proximity to problems often blinds us to solutions. We committed to overcoming collective ego, seeking external perspectives, and fostering a culture of openness and continuous improvement to drive our organization forward.

STEP 4

We commit to conducting a thorough, honest, and potentially uncomfortable examination of our entire organization, from our treatment methods to our corporate culture, recognizing that this process, while challenging, is essential for true growth and alignment with our mission of healing and recovery.

STEP 5

We commit to honestly acknowledging our shortcomings, mistakes, and areas for improvement to ourselves, our staff, our industry peers, and most importantly, our patients. We recognize that this level of transparency, while challenging, is crucial for our growth, credibility, and the fulfillment of our mission to facilitate healing and recovery.

STEP 6

We acknowledge our organizational shortcomings and commit to a proactive, comprehensive approach to improvement, transforming our facility's culture, operations, and service delivery to better serve our patients and fulfill our mission.

STEP 7

We recognize that true growth and improvement require humility and a willingness to seek help from various sources. We commit to creating a culture of openness, collaboration, and community engagement to enhance our program and expand our impact.

STEP 8

We commit to a systematic approach of identifying, prioritizing, and addressing areas for improvement and growth. We will create a comprehensive plan that balances immediate needs with long-term goals, fostering a culture of continuous evolution and empowerment.

STEP 9

We commit to actively improving and restoring relationships with all stakeholders, particularly our alumni and staff. We will focus on creating a culture of continuous improvement, strong team dynamics, and mission alignment, recognizing that our ability to make amends and grow is reflected in the quality of our service and the strength of our connections.

STEP 10

We commit to ongoing, systematic self-evaluation of our organization at all levels, ensuring constant alignment with our mission, values, and the evolving needs of those we serve. We pledge to promptly acknowledge and address any deviations or shortcomings, fostering a culture of transparency, accountability, and continuous improvement.

STEP 11

We commit to maintaining a strong spiritual foundation and unwavering focus on our core mission, recognizing that our effectiveness stems from a higher purpose. We will actively seek to deepen our connection with this purpose, our staff, our patients, and the broader recovery community, ensuring that all our actions align with our founding principles and the greater good.

STEP 12

Having undergone a comprehensive transformation through the previous steps, we now commit to embodying and sharing our renewed organizational health and purpose. We will actively spread our message of change, growth, and hope to those we serve and to other providers in the field, recognizing that our own journey of improvement empowers us to be beacons of positive change in the broader recovery community.

This is not about fixing a broken system. It is about building something extraordinary together.

STEP 1

Acknowledging the Issues and Their Impact

“We recognized that our current business practices were unsustainable and causing widespread issues, affecting not just our bottom line, but our employees, customers, and broader stakeholders. We committed to honestly evaluating our operations and embracing change for long-term viability.”

This foundational step requires the treatment organization to break through denial and defensiveness to honestly acknowledge systemic problems. Just as individuals in recovery must first admit powerlessness over their addiction, treatment organizations must recognize when their practices have become unmanageable and harmful.

Breaking Through Institutional Denial

Organizations often develop collective blind spots, rationalizing poor outcomes, high staff turnover, patient complaints, or regulatory issues as external problems rather than internal failures. This step demands honest recognition that current approaches are not working.

Recognizing Widespread Impact

The acknowledgment must extend beyond financial concerns to include the human cost of dysfunctional practices.

- Staff experiencing burnout, moral distress, or feeling unable to provide quality care
- Patients receiving substandard treatment or feeling unsafe in the therapeutic environment
- Families losing trust in the organization's ability to help their loved ones
- The broader community losing confidence in the organization's mission

Commitment to Honest Evaluation

This involves creating safe spaces for honest feedback from all stakeholders, implementing systematic assessment tools, and being willing to hear difficult truths about organizational performance.

Embracing Change

Moving beyond acknowledgment to actual commitment to transformation, recognizing that the discomfort of change is necessary for long-term sustainability and effectiveness.

PUTTING IT INTO PRACTICE

Leadership teams conduct comprehensive stakeholder interviews, anonymous staff surveys, patient satisfaction assessments, and objective outcome evaluations to create a complete picture of organizational health.

STEP 2

Embracing Collective Wisdom and Higher Purpose

“We recognized that our organization's renewal and future success lie in the collective intelligence and shared commitment of our people, guided by a purpose greater than profit alone. We opened ourselves to new ideas, collaborative problem-solving, and a mission-driven approach to business transformation.”

This step shifts the organization from top-down, ego-driven decision-making to a collaborative, purpose-driven approach that harnesses the wisdom and commitment of all team members.

Recognizing Distributed Intelligence

Acknowledging that valuable insights exist at every level of the organization, from administrative staff who see operational inefficiencies to direct care providers who understand patient needs intimately.

Creating Collaborative Structures

Implementing cross-functional teams, suggestion systems, regular town halls, and decision-making processes that include diverse perspectives and encourage innovative thinking.

Mission-Driven Leadership

Shifting from profit-maximization to purpose-optimization, where financial sustainability serves the mission rather than the mission serving financial interests.

Opening to New Ideas

Creating psychological safety for staff to propose changes, challenge existing practices, and experiment with new approaches without fear of retribution.

Shared Commitment Building

Developing processes that help all team members understand how their role contributes to the larger mission, creating investment in organizational success beyond individual job security.

Higher Purpose Alignment

Regularly reconnecting with the organization's fundamental purpose of healing and recovery, ensuring that this purpose guides all strategic and operational decisions.

PUTTING IT INTO PRACTICE

Regular interdisciplinary meetings, innovation committees, mission-alignment exercises, and recognition programs that celebrate contributions to the collective good rather than individual achievement alone.

STEP 3

Committing to Transformative Change and External Perspective

“We made a firm decision to embrace transformative change, acknowledging that our proximity to problems often blinds us to solutions. We committed to overcoming collective ego, seeking external perspectives, and fostering a culture of openness and continuous improvement to drive our organization forward.”

This step involves making a definitive commitment to change while recognizing that internal perspective alone is insufficient for true transformation.

Overcoming Collective Ego

Organizations develop institutional pride and defensiveness that can prevent necessary change. This step requires humility to admit that current approaches may be fundamentally flawed.

Recognizing Perspective Limitations

Understanding that being immersed in organizational culture creates blind spots and that fresh perspectives are essential for identifying solutions.

Seeking External Input

Actively engaging consultants, peer organizations, regulatory bodies, academic institutions, and patient advocacy groups to provide outside perspective on organizational practices.

Commitment to Fundamental Change

Moving beyond superficial adjustments to embrace potentially dramatic shifts in culture, practices, and structures.

Creating Openness Culture

Developing organizational norms that welcome challenge, encourage questioning of established practices, and reward adaptability over rigid adherence to tradition.

Continuous Improvement Mindset

Establishing systems and attitudes that view change as ongoing rather than a one-time fix, creating capacity for evolution and adaptation.

PUTTING IT INTO PRACTICE

Bringing in external consultants for organizational assessment, establishing advisory boards with diverse community representation, participating in peer learning networks, and creating formal processes for incorporating outside feedback into organizational planning.

STEP 4

Conducting a Fearless and Searching Inventory

“We commit to conducting a thorough, honest, and potentially uncomfortable examination of our entire organization, from our treatment methods to our corporate culture, recognizing that this process, while challenging, is essential for true growth and alignment with our mission of healing and recovery.”

This step involves a comprehensive, systematic examination of all aspects of organizational functioning, similar to the personal moral inventory in individual recovery.

Clinical Program Assessment

Thorough evaluation of treatment modalities, outcome measures, evidence-based practice implementation, and patient satisfaction with clinical services.

Cultural Examination

Deep dive into organizational culture, including communication patterns, power dynamics, inclusivity, psychological safety, and alignment with stated values.

Operational Analysis

Review of administrative processes, resource allocation, efficiency measures, technology utilization, and operational barriers to quality care.

Financial Transparency

Honest assessment of financial practices, resource allocation priorities, cost-effectiveness, and sustainability planning.

Stakeholder Relationship Review

Evaluation of relationships with patients, families, staff, community partners, regulatory bodies, and other stakeholders.

Leadership Effectiveness

Assessment of leadership styles, decision-making processes, accountability structures, and leadership development.

Physical Environment

Evaluation of facilities, safety, accessibility, and therapeutic environment quality.

Uncomfortable Truths

Willingness to acknowledge painful realities about organizational shortcomings, failures, or harmful practices.

PUTTING IT INTO PRACTICE

Comprehensive organizational assessment using validated tools, 360-degree feedback processes, external audits, focus groups with various stakeholders, and systematic data collection across all areas of operation.

STEP 5

Transparent Accountability - Admitting Our Truths

“We commit to honestly acknowledging our shortcomings, mistakes, and areas for improvement to ourselves, our staff, our industry peers, and most importantly, our patients. We recognize that this level of transparency, while challenging, is crucial for our growth, credibility, and the fulfillment of our mission to facilitate healing and recovery.”

This step involves publicly acknowledging the findings from the organizational inventory, demonstrating accountability to all stakeholders.

Internal Acknowledgment

Leadership taking responsibility for organizational shortcomings and modeling the vulnerability necessary for change.

Staff Communication

Sharing assessment findings with staff in ways that promote understanding and engagement rather than defensiveness or fear.

Patient and Family Transparency

Communicating with current and former patients about identified problems and improvement plans, respecting their trust and investment in the organization.

Professional Community Disclosure

Sharing lessons learned with peer organizations and professional associations to contribute to field-wide improvement.

Public Accountability

Appropriate public acknowledgment of problems and commitment to improvement, balanced with patient privacy and organizational reputation management.

Regulatory Transparency

Proactive communication with licensing and accreditation bodies about identified issues and improvement plans.

Creating Safety for Truth-Telling

Establishing norms and protections that encourage ongoing honesty and prevent retaliation for raising concerns.

PUTTING IT INTO PRACTICE

Town hall meetings with staff, patient and family forums, professional conference presentations, transparent reporting on websites or publications, and regular communication updates on improvement progress.

STEP 6

Readiness for Organizational Transformation

“We acknowledge our organizational shortcomings and commit to a proactive, comprehensive approach to improvement, transforming our facility's culture, operations, and service delivery to better serve our patients and fulfill our mission.”

This step represents the organization's readiness to undergo fundamental change, moving from acknowledgment to active preparation for transformation.

Comprehensive Change Commitment

Recognizing that superficial adjustments are insufficient and that fundamental transformation is necessary.

Cultural Transformation

Preparing to shift organizational culture, including values, norms, communication patterns, and behavioral expectations.

Operational Overhaul

Readiness to change processes, procedures, systems, and structures that impede effective service delivery.

Service Delivery Innovation

Openness to adopting new treatment modalities, service configurations, and patient engagement strategies.

Staff Development and Support

Preparing to invest in staff training, development, and support systems necessary for transformation.

Patient-Centered Focus

Ensuring that all transformation efforts prioritize patient needs and recovery outcomes above organizational convenience.

Mission Realignment

Using the organization's core mission as the guide for all transformation decisions.

Change Management Preparation

Developing the infrastructure, resources, and capabilities necessary to manage complex organizational change.

PUTTING IT INTO PRACTICE

Change management training for leadership, staff preparation sessions, resource allocation for transformation initiatives, timeline development, and communication strategies for managing change effectively.

STEP 7

Humbly Seeking Improvement Through Collaboration

“We recognize that true growth and improvement require humility and a willingness to seek help from various sources. We commit to creating a culture of openness, collaboration, and community engagement to enhance our program and expand our impact.”

This step involves actively seeking external support and collaboration to enhance organizational improvement efforts.

Humble Help-Seeking

Overcoming organizational pride to actively seek assistance from others with relevant expertise and experience.

Diverse Source Engagement

Reaching out to multiple types of supporters, including peer organizations, academic institutions, regulatory bodies, patient advocacy groups, and community partners.

Culture of Openness

Creating organizational norms that welcome external input, feedback, and collaboration rather than viewing them as threats.

Community Integration

Understanding the organization's role within the broader community and actively engaging in collaborative efforts to address addiction and related issues.

Professional Networking

Actively participating in professional associations, learning collaboratives, and peer support networks.

Mentorship and Consultation

Seeking guidance from organizations or leaders who have successfully undergone similar transformations.

Knowledge Sharing

Contributing to the collective knowledge of the field while also learning from others' experiences and innovations.

PUTTING IT INTO PRACTICE

Joining professional associations, participating in learning collaboratives, establishing mentorship relationships with successful organizations, engaging in community coalitions, and creating formal consultation arrangements with experts.

STEP 8

Strategic Improvement Planning and Prioritization

“We commit to a systematic approach of identifying, prioritizing, and addressing areas for improvement and growth. We will create a comprehensive plan that balances immediate needs with long-term goals, fostering a culture of continuous evolution and empowerment.”

This step involves developing a strategic, systematic approach to organizational improvement that balances urgency with sustainability.

Systematic Approach

Using structured methodologies to ensure comprehensive and organized improvement efforts rather than random or crisis-driven changes.

Prioritization Framework

Developing criteria for determining which improvements are most critical, considering patient safety, mission alignment, feasibility, and impact.

Immediate vs. Long-term Balance

Addressing urgent issues while also building foundation for sustained improvement and growth over time.

Resource Allocation

Realistic assessment of available resources and strategic deployment to maximize impact.

Measurable Objectives

Setting specific, measurable goals with timelines and accountability structures.

Continuous Evolution Culture

Building improvement planning into regular organizational operations rather than treating it as a one-time project.

Staff Empowerment

Including staff at all levels in planning processes and empowering them to contribute to improvement initiatives.

Stakeholder Alignment

Ensuring that improvement plans serve the needs of patients, families, staff, and community partners.

PUTTING IT INTO PRACTICE

Strategic planning retreats, improvement prioritization matrices, project management systems, regular progress reviews, staff suggestion processes, and integration of improvement planning into annual organizational cycles.

STEP 9

Relationship Restoration and Organizational Renewal

“We commit to actively improving and restoring relationships with all stakeholders, particularly our alumni and staff. We will focus on creating a culture of continuous improvement, strong team dynamics, and mission alignment, recognizing that our ability to make amends and grow is reflected in the quality of our service and the strength of our connections.”

This step focuses on repairing damaged relationships and building strong, healthy connections with all stakeholders.

Alumni Relationship Repair

Reaching out to former patients who may have had negative experiences, offering amends where appropriate, and rebuilding trust in the organization's commitment to quality care.

Staff Relationship Renewal

Addressing past organizational failures that affected staff, rebuilding trust, and creating positive team dynamics that support both staff wellbeing and patient care.

Family and Community Connections

Repairing relationships with families and community partners who may have lost confidence in the organization.

Active Amends-Making

Taking concrete actions to address harm caused by past organizational failures, going beyond apologies to demonstrate genuine change.

Quality Service Demonstration

Recognizing that the best amends is consistently high-quality service that demonstrates organizational transformation.

Continuous Improvement Culture

Creating organizational norms that prioritize ongoing relationship building and maintenance.

Trust Rebuilding

Understanding that trust must be earned through consistent action over time, not just good intentions or promises.

PUTTING IT INTO PRACTICE

Alumni outreach programs, staff appreciation and support initiatives, family engagement activities, community relationship building, transparent communication about organizational changes, and demonstration projects that showcase improved quality of care.

STEP 10

Continuous Organizational Self-Reflection and Adaptation

“We commit to ongoing, systematic self-evaluation of our organization at all levels, ensuring constant alignment with our mission, values, and the evolving needs of those we serve. We pledge to promptly acknowledge and address any deviations or shortcomings, fostering a culture of transparency, accountability, and continuous improvement.”

This step establishes ongoing self-reflection and course correction as permanent organizational practices.

Systematic Self-Evaluation

Implementing regular, structured processes for organizational assessment across all areas of operation.

Mission Alignment Monitoring

Regularly checking whether organizational practices and decisions align with stated mission and values.

Responsive Adaptation

Developing capacity to quickly identify and respond to changing needs of patients, staff, and community.

Prompt Course Correction

Creating systems that identify problems early and address them quickly before they become major issues.

Transparency Culture

Maintaining openness about organizational challenges and improvement efforts with all stakeholders.

Accountability Systems

Establishing clear responsibility structures for monitoring organizational health and addressing problems.

Learning Organization

Developing capacity to learn from both successes and failures, using all experiences as opportunities for growth.

PUTTING IT INTO PRACTICE

Monthly organizational health assessments, quarterly stakeholder feedback sessions, annual comprehensive reviews, real-time performance monitoring systems, regular team reflection meetings, and systematic documentation of lessons learned.

STEP 11

Cultivating Spiritual Centeredness and Mission Alignment

“We commit to maintaining a strong spiritual foundation and unwavering focus on our core mission, recognizing that our effectiveness stems from a higher purpose. We will actively seek to deepen our connection with this purpose, our staff, our patients, and the broader recovery community, ensuring that all our actions align with our founding principles and the greater good.”

This step focuses on maintaining spiritual grounding and mission alignment as the foundation for all organizational activities.

Higher Purpose Connection

Regularly reconnecting with the deeper meaning and purpose that drives the organization's work in addiction treatment and recovery.

Mission-Driven Decision Making

Using the organization's core mission as the primary filter for all strategic and operational decisions.

Staff Spiritual Support

Providing opportunities for staff to connect with the meaningful aspects of their work and find fulfillment in serving others.

Patient-Centered Spirituality

Honoring and supporting the spiritual aspects of recovery for patients while respecting diverse spiritual paths.

Community Connection

Understanding the organization's role in the broader recovery community and actively contributing to collective healing and support.

Values Alignment

Ensuring that organizational practices consistently reflect stated values and founding principles.

Purpose Renewal

Regularly renewing and deepening understanding of why the organization exists and what it seeks to accomplish.

PUTTING IT INTO PRACTICE

Regular mission reflection sessions, staff retreat focused on purpose and meaning, patient spirituality support groups, community service projects, values-based decision-making frameworks, and leadership development that emphasizes servant leadership principles.

STEP 12

Organizational Awakening and Service Leadership

“Having undergone a comprehensive transformation through the previous steps, we now commit to embodying and sharing our renewed organizational health and purpose. We will actively spread our message of change, growth, and hope to those we serve and to other providers in the field, recognizing that our own journey of improvement empowers us to be beacons of positive change in the broader recovery community.”

This final step involves sharing the organization's transformation journey with others while maintaining commitment to ongoing growth and service.

Embodying Transformation

Demonstrating through daily operations and interactions that genuine organizational change has occurred and is sustainable.

Message Sharing

Actively communicating lessons learned, best practices, and hope for change to other organizations and the broader field.

Field Leadership

Taking leadership roles in professional associations, policy advocacy, and field-wide improvement initiatives.

Mentoring Others

Providing guidance and support to other organizations seeking to undergo similar transformation processes.

Hope Spreading

Using the organization's transformation story to inspire hope in patients, families, staff, and other providers.

Continuous Growth

Maintaining commitment to ongoing improvement and learning, avoiding complacency about achievements.

Service Motivation

Recognizing that sharing transformation experiences is a form of service to the broader recovery community.

Model of Possibility

Demonstrating that organizational recovery and transformation are possible, inspiring others to undertake similar journeys.

PUTTING IT INTO PRACTICE

Conference presentations about transformation journey, mentorship programs for other organizations, publication of case studies and lessons learned, participation in field-wide improvement initiatives, policy advocacy based on transformation experience, and ongoing commitment to innovation and excellence that serves as an example for others.

Step 1: Acknowledging Issues

Breaking Through Organizational Denial

Just as individuals in active addiction develop sophisticated denial systems, treatment organizations can become blind to their own dysfunction. "We're doing fine" becomes the mantra even as outcomes decline, staff turnover increases, and patient complaints mount. Organizational denial protects the status quo—but the status quo may be slowly killing the mission.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Organizational denial often mirrors the denial patterns seen in addiction
- Success metrics can be manipulated to avoid uncomfortable truths
- Leadership proximity to problems can create blind spots
- "We've always done it this way" is a warning sign, not reassurance
- Financial pressure often drives denial of clinical problems

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Treatment organizations are particularly vulnerable to denial because they operate in the business of "helping." It feels contradictory to admit that the help we provide may be inadequate or even harmful. Yet the most effective organizations are those willing to ask: "Are we actually helping, or are we just busy?"

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff often see problems long before leadership acknowledges them. They watch patients relapse, see corners being cut, feel the weight of impossible caseloads—yet may fear speaking up. When leadership finally admits issues, staff may feel validated but also frustrated: "We've been saying this for years."

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What problems in your organization are people afraid to name? What data do you avoid examining closely? Where might "we're doing our best with what we have" be covering up deeper issues?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Organizational denial assessment:

- List five things staff complain about privately but rarely discuss in meetings
- Examine your outcome data honestly—what would an outsider see?
- Ask: If a journalist investigated our organization, what would they find?
- Identify metrics that have been "explained away" rather than addressed
- Survey staff anonymously about problems they observe

ACTION STEP

Schedule an "honest conversation" meeting with leadership where the sole agenda is identifying problems without defending or explaining. Create safety for truth-telling.

The Ripple Effects: Who Is Harmed?

Step One asks organizations to recognize that unsustainable practices affect "not just our bottom line, but our employees, customers, and broader stakeholders." This is radical honesty about impact. When a treatment organization operates dysfunctionally, the harm radiates outward—to patients, families, staff, the community, and ultimately the broader recovery movement.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Patients receive inadequate care when organizations are struggling
- Staff burnout leads to compassion fatigue and compromised treatment
- Families lose hope when treatment fails repeatedly
- Community trust erodes when outcomes don't match marketing promises
- The recovery movement suffers when treatment centers underperform

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Treatment organizations often focus on financial survival while minimizing the human cost of dysfunction. But every corner cut, every burned-out counselor, every marketing promise unfulfilled represents real harm to vulnerable people seeking help. Acknowledging this harm is painful but essential.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff working in dysfunctional systems often carry moral injury—the psychological wound of participating in something that violates their values. They entered the field to help, yet organizational dysfunction may prevent them from providing the care they know patients deserve.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Who specifically is being harmed by your organization's current challenges? What is the cost to patients when systems aren't working? How does staff dysfunction affect care quality?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Stakeholder impact mapping:

- Patients: How does organizational dysfunction affect their treatment outcomes?
 - Staff: What toll does current functioning take on their wellbeing and effectiveness?
 - Families: How are they affected when treatment falls short?
 - Alumni: What happens to long-term recovery when foundations are weak?
 - Community: How does our reputation affect people seeking help?
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Create a "harm inventory"—a honest list of how organizational problems are affecting each stakeholder group. This becomes motivation for change.

Admitting Powerlessness Over External Factors

Treatment organizations face enormous external pressures: insurance reimbursement rates, regulatory requirements, staffing shortages, competition for patients. Step One involves admitting powerlessness over these external factors while taking responsibility for what we CAN control—our response to these challenges.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- We cannot control insurance reimbursement rates, but we can control our business model
- We cannot control staffing market conditions, but we can control our workplace culture
- We cannot control competition, but we can control our quality and integrity
- We cannot control regulations, but we can control our compliance culture
- Admitting powerlessness over externals focuses energy on actionable change

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations often exhaust themselves fighting external realities they cannot change while neglecting internal changes they can make. Serenity prayer wisdom applies: accept what cannot be changed, courage to change what can be changed, wisdom to know the difference.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff feel demoralized when leadership blames all problems on external factors. While external pressures are real, constant externalization communicates hopelessness. Staff want to hear: "Here's what we CAN do despite these challenges."

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What external factors has your organization been fighting rather than accepting? What internal changes could you make today regardless of external conditions?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

External vs. internal inventory:

- List factors beyond your control (reimbursement, regulations, market conditions)
 - List factors within your control (culture, quality, communication, priorities)
 - For each external factor, identify an internal response you could strengthen
 - Identify where energy is being wasted on things you cannot change
 - Develop acceptance strategies for unchangeable realities
-
-

ACTION STEP

In your next leadership meeting, explicitly separate "what we cannot control" from "what we can control" and redirect energy toward the latter.

Unmanageability: When Systems Stop Working

Organizational unmanageability manifests as chaos that has become normalized: constant crises, reactive rather than proactive operations, key functions that depend on individual heroics rather than systems. When "putting out fires" becomes the primary leadership activity, unmanageability has taken hold.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Constant crisis mode is a symptom of unmanageability
- Dependence on individual heroics rather than systems indicates dysfunction
- When "good enough" becomes the standard, quality is unmanageable
- Reactive operations consume energy that should go to proactive improvement
- Normalized chaos is still chaos—staff become numb to dysfunction

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Many treatment organizations have never operated from a stable baseline—they went from startup chaos directly to survival mode. Without experiencing healthy organizational functioning, leadership may not recognize how abnormal their "normal" actually is.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff in unmanageable organizations often don't realize how bad things are until they work somewhere functional. They may describe their environment as "high-stress" or "fast-paced"

rather than recognizing systemic dysfunction.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What aspects of your organization require constant crisis management? What systems have broken down or never existed? Where do individual heroics substitute for functional processes?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Unmanageability assessment:

- How often do leadership meetings get derailed by crises?
- What processes exist only in individual heads rather than documented systems?
- How much time is spent on emergencies vs. planned improvements?
- What would happen if key individuals left suddenly?
- What have you stopped expecting to work normally?

ACTION STEP

Track "crisis time" for one week—every unplanned emergency that pulls leadership from strategic work. This data reveals the true cost of unmanageability.

The Courage to Evaluate Honestly

Step One requires commitment to "honestly evaluating our operations." This is harder than it sounds. Honest evaluation may reveal that programs we're proud of aren't working, that staff we've defended aren't performing, that marketing claims exceed actual outcomes. Honest evaluation requires courage.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Honest evaluation may challenge cherished beliefs about the organization
- Data should drive assessment, not narratives and assumptions
- Evaluation must include input from all levels, not just leadership perception
- Anonymous mechanisms may be needed for genuine honesty
- External evaluation can provide perspective internal assessments miss

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Treatment organizations often conflate effort with effectiveness. "Our staff work so hard" becomes a defense against examining whether that hard work produces outcomes. Honest evaluation separates intention from impact.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff know more than they say. When leadership asks for honest feedback, they're testing whether it's safe to be truthful. One experience of honesty being punished can silence valuable feedback for years.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

When was the last time your organization received feedback that led to significant change? What questions are you afraid to ask? Who in your organization might have important perspectives you've never sought?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Honest evaluation framework:

- Outcome data: What do the numbers actually show about effectiveness?
 - Staff surveys: What do anonymous responses reveal?
 - Patient feedback: What do exit interviews and complaints tell us?
 - External perspective: What would an outside evaluator observe?
 - Comparison data: How do our outcomes compare to industry benchmarks?
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Commission an honest assessment of one program area using multiple data sources. Present findings to leadership without spin or defense.

Embracing Change for Long-Term Viability

Step One concludes with commitment to "embracing change for long-term viability." This is the promise that follows the admission—recognizing that acknowledging problems is only valuable if it leads to willingness to change. Many organizations admit problems but resist the changes needed to address them.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Acknowledging problems without willingness to change is just complaining

- Long-term viability requires short-term discomfort
- Change commitment must be organizational, not just individual
- Viability includes mission viability, not just financial survival
- Embracing change means welcoming it, not merely tolerating it

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Some treatment organizations are skilled at the "admitting" part of Step One but stall at "embracing change." They hold meetings, acknowledge problems, even create plans—but nothing actually changes. Step One requires both admission AND commitment.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff become cynical when problems are repeatedly acknowledged without action. "Another initiative that goes nowhere." This cynicism becomes its own barrier to change—staff stop believing change is possible.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your organization typically respond to identified problems? What patterns exist in how change initiatives succeed or fail? What would embracing change actually look like in your culture?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Change readiness assessment:

- What significant changes has the organization successfully implemented?
- What attempted changes have failed, and why?
- Who champions change in the organization? Who resists?
- What support would staff need to embrace rather than tolerate change?
- What has to become more painful than changing for change to occur?

ACTION STEP

Identify one problem that has been acknowledged but not addressed. Create a specific, time-bound commitment to change with clear accountability.

Step 2: Collective Wisdom

The Limits of Individual Leadership

Step Two recognizes that renewal "lies in the collective intelligence and shared commitment of our people." This challenges the hero-leadership model where one visionary leader is supposed to have all the answers. In reality, the wisdom needed for organizational transformation exists throughout the organization, not just at the top.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- No individual leader has sufficient wisdom for complex organizational challenges
- Front-line staff often have crucial insights leadership lacks
- Collective intelligence exceeds individual intelligence when properly channeled
- Hero-leadership creates dependency and fragility
- Distributed wisdom creates resilience and engagement

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Treatment organizations often promote clinicians into leadership based on clinical skill, then expect them to have business, organizational, and strategic expertise they were never trained in. Acknowledging this limitation opens space for collective wisdom.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff often watch leadership make decisions about processes staff understand better than leadership does. When their expertise is ignored, they disengage. When it's sought, they become invested in success.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Where in your organization does leadership make decisions without sufficient input from those affected? What wisdom exists among staff that isn't being accessed? How might humility about leadership limitations open new possibilities?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Collective wisdom mapping:

- What decisions are currently made unilaterally that affect multiple stakeholders?
 - What regular mechanisms exist for gathering staff input on operations?
 - How often has staff feedback actually changed leadership decisions?
 - What expertise exists in the organization that isn't being utilized?
 - What would change if every major decision required input from those affected?
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Identify a pending decision and expand the input circle to include staff who will be affected by it. Compare the quality of decision-making with broader input.

Purpose Greater Than Profit

Step Two calls organizations to be "guided by a purpose greater than profit alone." For treatment organizations, this should be obvious—we exist to facilitate recovery. Yet financial pressure can gradually shift focus until mission becomes secondary to margin. Reconnecting with higher purpose restores direction.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Mission drift happens gradually and often goes unnoticed
- Financial sustainability should serve mission, not the reverse
- Decisions filtered through mission produce different outcomes than financial-first decisions
- Purpose provides motivation that profit alone cannot sustain
- Higher purpose attracts and retains mission-aligned staff

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

The treatment industry has seen mission organizations become margin organizations—where helping people is what they say they do, but making money is what drives decisions. Step Two calls for honest examination of which purpose is actually primary.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff entered the field for mission reasons. When they perceive that financial concerns override patient care, moral injury accumulates. Reconnecting with higher purpose can reinvigorate a demoralized workforce.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

When mission and margin conflict in your organization, which typically wins? What decisions have been made that served financial goals but compromised mission? How would operations differ if mission were truly primary?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Mission alignment audit:

- Review recent major decisions: What was the primary driver?
- Examine budget priorities: Do allocations reflect stated mission?
- Assess marketing claims: Do they match service reality?

- Evaluate staffing: Are positions filled based on mission need or financial convenience?
 - Survey staff: Do they believe the organization lives its mission?
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Create a "mission filter"—a set of questions through which major decisions must pass: "How does this serve our patients? What is the recovery impact?"

Opening to New Ideas

Step Two requires "opening ourselves to new ideas." Treatment organizations can become trapped in outdated models, defending approaches because they're familiar rather than because they're effective. Openness to new ideas doesn't mean abandoning everything—it means willingness to learn, adapt, and evolve.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Expertise can become a barrier to learning
- The field is evolving; static approaches become outdated
- New ideas can come from unexpected sources
- Openness requires intellectual humility
- "We already know that" often indicates closed-mindedness

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Treatment philosophy can become dogma—defended regardless of evidence. Whether it's 28-day programs, confrontational approaches, or any other historical practice, organizations must remain willing to examine effectiveness rather than assume tradition equals validity.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Newer staff often bring fresh perspectives but may be dismissed as inexperienced. Meanwhile, veterans may resist new approaches because they challenge established identity. Balancing experience with openness requires organizational intentionality.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What ideas have been dismissed without fair evaluation? Where might "we tried that before" be blocking innovation? What sources of new ideas are you not accessing?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Innovation assessment:

- When was the last time a significant new approach was implemented?
 - What mechanisms exist for staff to propose innovations?
 - How are ideas from outside the organization evaluated?
 - What happens when new staff challenge established practices?
 - What would need to change for your organization to become a learning organization?
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Create an "Innovation Hour"—a regular meeting where new ideas are presented and seriously considered without immediate dismissal. Track which ideas get implemented.

Collaborative Problem-Solving

Step Two emphasizes "collaborative problem-solving." This is more than consultation—it's genuine co-creation where diverse perspectives shape solutions. Collaborative problem-solving produces better outcomes than top-down mandates because it incorporates more information and generates more buy-in.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Complex problems require diverse perspectives to solve
- Those closest to problems often see solutions leadership misses
- Collaboration produces ownership that mandates cannot create
- Disagreement can improve solutions when channeled constructively
- Collaborative processes take more time but produce better results

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Many treatment organizations default to consultation theater—gathering input that doesn't actually influence decisions. Staff quickly learn whether their input matters. Genuine collaboration requires leadership willingness to be changed by others' perspectives.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff want to contribute to solutions, not just implement others' decisions. When they help create a solution, they're invested in its success. When they're handed solutions created without their input, resistance is natural.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

When problems arise in your organization, who is included in developing solutions? How often do staff ideas significantly shape final decisions? What barriers exist to genuine collaboration?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Collaboration quality assessment:

- Track a recent decision: Who was included? How did input influence the outcome?
 - Survey staff: Do they feel their input is genuinely considered?
 - Examine meeting structures: Is there space for genuine dialogue or just information delivery?
 - Identify barriers: What prevents more collaborative approaches?
 - Design experiment: Choose one challenge and use a more collaborative process
-
-

ACTION STEP

Select a current organizational challenge and form a cross-level working group to develop solutions. Give the group genuine authority to shape the response.

Mission-Driven Transformation

Step Two culminates in "mission-driven approach to business transformation." This means changes aren't made for their own sake or for financial reasons alone—they're made to better fulfill the mission. When mission drives transformation, decisions become clearer and staff can understand the "why" behind change.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Mission provides the compass for transformation decisions
- Every change should have a clear connection to better outcomes
- Mission-driven transformation unites rather than divides the organization
- Staff support change when they understand the mission connection
- Transformation without mission anchor becomes chaos

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Treatment organizations undergoing transformation often lose sight of mission amid operational changes. Staff experience change fatigue when they don't see how changes serve patients. Maintaining mission clarity throughout transformation sustains momentum.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff can tolerate significant discomfort if they believe it serves patients. "This is hard but it's making us better at helping people" sustains commitment. "This is hard for financial reasons"

generates resistance.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How clearly is your current or needed transformation connected to mission? Can staff articulate why changes are happening? What would mission-driven transformation look like in your organization?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Mission-transformation alignment:

- For each proposed change, articulate the specific patient benefit
- Create a "transformation narrative" that staff can understand and repeat
- Identify changes that serve financial goals but not necessarily mission
- Develop metrics that track mission impact, not just operational efficiency
- Build regular mission reconnection into transformation processes

ACTION STEP

Develop a one-page "Transformation Purpose Statement" that connects organizational changes directly to improved patient outcomes. Share it widely and refer to it often.

Step 3: Committing to Change

The Problem of Proximity

Step Three acknowledges that "proximity to problems often blinds us to solutions." This is the fish-in-water phenomenon—we can't see our environment clearly because we're immersed in it. What seems normal to insiders may be obviously dysfunctional to anyone with fresh eyes.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Familiarity breeds blindness to dysfunction
- Workarounds become invisible—just "how things are done"
- Insiders lack comparison points that outsiders have
- Proximity creates emotional investment in current approaches
- The longer the tenure, the harder to see with fresh eyes

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Treatment organizations often promote internally, creating leadership who have never experienced other organizational cultures. Without comparison points, they may not realize that their challenges aren't universal—they're symptoms of specific dysfunction.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

New staff often notice things veterans have stopped seeing. Their questions ("Why do we do it this way?") are valuable data, but they're often dismissed with "You'll understand when you've been here longer."

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What do new staff notice that veterans have stopped seeing? What "just is how it is" aspects of your organization might actually be changeable? Where might proximity be blinding you?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Proximity assessment:

- Interview recent hires: What surprised them about the organization?
- Track new-staff questions: What do they consistently ask about?
- Identify workarounds that have become routine
- List things you've "given up" trying to change
- Ask: What would someone from a high-performing organization notice here?

ACTION STEP

Create a formal "fresh eyes" feedback process where new staff document observations in their first 90 days, and leadership genuinely considers their perspectives.

Overcoming Collective Ego

Step Three calls for "overcoming collective ego." Organizational ego is the shared belief that "we know best"—that outsiders couldn't possibly understand our unique situation. This ego protects the organization from uncomfortable feedback but also prevents growth.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Collective ego dismisses external feedback as "not understanding"
- Organizational defensiveness mirrors individual denial
- Pride in past success can prevent acknowledgment of current problems
- "We're different" often means "we don't have to change"
- Ego protection is comfortable but ultimately self-defeating

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Treatment organizations that help individuals overcome ego can be remarkably resistant to applying the same principles organizationally. The humility we ask of patients—admitting they don't have all the answers—we must also practice as organizations.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff may participate in collective ego ("we're the best in the region") while privately knowing the organization has significant problems. This dissonance creates cynicism when external image diverges too far from internal reality.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your organization respond to criticism? What feedback has been dismissed as "they don't understand"? Where might collective ego be preventing honest self-assessment?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Ego assessment:

- Review responses to negative patient reviews or complaints
- Examine how feedback from licensing, accreditation, or insurance is received
- Track how staff suggestions that challenge current practice are handled
- Identify narratives of exceptionalism: "We're different because..."
- Ask: What would we need to admit if we weren't protecting organizational ego?

ACTION STEP

Seek feedback from a trusted external source—a colleague at another organization, a consultant, a former patient—and practice receiving it without defensiveness.

Seeking External Perspective

Step Three commits to "seeking external perspectives." This isn't weakness—it's wisdom. External consultants, advisors, peer organizations, and even patients can see things that internal stakeholders cannot. The willingness to seek outside perspective is itself a sign of organizational maturity.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- External perspectives provide valuable comparison data
- Consultants can say things staff are afraid to say
- Peer organizations face similar challenges and may have solutions
- Patient and family feedback offers crucial outside perspective
- External assessment can validate concerns staff have raised internally

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Many treatment organizations avoid external evaluation for fear of what might be found. But the problems exist whether or not we look at them. External perspective often confirms what insiders already suspect while providing credibility for change.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff sometimes welcome external consultants because they hope an outsider can say what staff have been saying for years. When leadership finally hears a message they've ignored from staff, it can be validating but also frustrating.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What external resources does your organization utilize? What might you learn from peer organizations? What would a comprehensive external assessment reveal?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

External perspective inventory:

- What external consultation has the organization sought in the past three years?
 - What peer relationships exist for sharing best practices?
 - How is patient and family feedback systematically gathered and used?
 - What professional development brings external perspective to staff?
 - What would you want an external evaluator to assess?
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Identify one area where external perspective would be valuable. Develop a plan to obtain that perspective—through consultation, peer networking, or other means.

Fostering Continuous Improvement Culture

Step Three envisions "fostering a culture of openness and continuous improvement." This is about building organizational capacity for ongoing learning and adaptation, not just responding to crises. A continuous improvement culture treats every problem as learning opportunity and every success as chance to refine.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Continuous improvement is cultural, not programmatic
- Openness requires psychological safety for honest feedback
- Improvement orientation sees problems as data, not failures
- Continuous improvement never declares victory—there's always room to grow
- The culture must support experimentation and learning from failure

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Many organizations attempt continuous improvement through programs (quality improvement initiatives, suggestion boxes) without addressing underlying culture. Programs fail without culture; culture enables programs to succeed.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff quickly learn whether the organization genuinely values improvement or just wants to appear to value it. When ideas are consistently welcomed, considered, and sometimes implemented, engagement increases. When they're ignored, staff stop contributing.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your organization respond to identified problems—as opportunities or threats? What happens when staff suggest improvements? Is there genuine psychological safety for honest feedback?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Culture assessment:

- How are problems typically framed—as failures or learning opportunities?
- What mechanisms exist for staff to suggest improvements?
- Track what happens to improvement suggestions—how many are implemented?
- Assess psychological safety: Do staff feel safe raising concerns?
- Identify cultural barriers to continuous improvement

ACTION STEP

Conduct a psychological safety survey to understand whether staff feel safe identifying problems and suggesting improvements. Address barriers found.

The Decision to Transform

Step Three is fundamentally about making "a firm decision to embrace transformative change." This is the turning point between acknowledging problems (Steps One, Two) and taking action (Steps Four onward). The decision must be genuine and organizational, not just lip service or individual commitment.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- The decision must be organizational, with buy-in across leadership
- Firm decision means closing off the option of not changing
- The decision should be articulated clearly and publicly
- Decision without follow-through destroys credibility
- Transformative change means fundamental shift, not cosmetic adjustment

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Many organizations hover at the edge of decision—acknowledging the need for change without fully committing. This liminal state is exhausting for staff who don't know whether real change is coming or whether this is another false start.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff have often seen "decisions" to change that evaporated when they became difficult. They need evidence that this time is different—that leadership is genuinely committed to

transformation even when it's uncomfortable.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Has your organization made a genuine, firm decision to transform? What would prove to staff that this commitment is real? What would make leadership walk it back?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Decision clarity assessment:

- Can leadership articulate specifically what the organization has decided to change?
- Is the commitment documented and communicated?
- What accountability mechanisms ensure follow-through?
- What would leadership need to see to reverse the decision?
- How will the organization respond when transformation becomes difficult?

ACTION STEP

Create a "Transformation Commitment" document that articulates specifically what the organization has decided to change, why, and how accountability will be maintained.

Step 4: Fearless Inventory

The Courage of Honest Examination

Step Four requires "thorough, honest, and potentially uncomfortable examination of our entire organization." This parallels the individual's searching and fearless moral inventory. Fearless doesn't mean without fear—it means doing it despite the fear, because honesty is essential for growth.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Inventory must be comprehensive—no sacred cows
- Honesty may reveal uncomfortable truths leadership would rather not see
- The inventory process itself creates organizational change
- Fear of what might be found often prevents beneficial assessment
- Fearless examination models the honesty we ask of patients

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Treatment organizations ask patients to conduct fearless self-examination while sometimes exempting themselves from the same standard. Organizational inventory should be as thorough as what we ask of patients in Step Four.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff may fear that inventory will lead to scapegoating—that problems will be blamed on individuals rather than addressed systemically. Creating safety for honest assessment requires clear commitment that inventory is about improvement, not punishment.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What aspects of your organization would be most uncomfortable to examine honestly? What have you been afraid to look at? What would fearless organizational inventory reveal?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Inventory scope planning:

- Treatment programs: Are they evidence-based and effective?
- Staffing: Right skills, right numbers, right support?
- Culture: What is the actual culture vs. the stated culture?
- Operations: What systems are broken or missing?
- Leadership: How effective is leadership at all levels?
- Finance: Are resources allocated according to mission priority?

ACTION STEP

Identify the three areas of organizational functioning you most want to avoid examining. Start with those—they probably need attention most.

Treatment Methods Inventory

A key component of organizational inventory is examining treatment methods—are we actually providing effective, evidence-based care? This examination may reveal that cherished approaches aren't supported by outcomes, or that we're not implementing evidence-based practices as fully as we think.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Treatment philosophy must be examined against actual outcomes
- Evidence-based practices should be verified, not assumed
- Implementation fidelity often differs from what's documented
- Patient outcomes are the ultimate measure of treatment effectiveness
- Some treatments that feel meaningful may not be effective

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Treatment organizations often develop attachments to particular approaches that become part of organizational identity. Examining these approaches honestly may challenge deeply held beliefs about what works.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Clinicians are often invested in their treatment approaches and may resist examination that suggests they're less effective than believed. Creating space for this conversation without threatening clinical identity is crucial.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What treatment approaches has your organization become attached to? What outcome data supports (or challenges) current approaches? Where might implementation differ from intention?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Treatment methods inventory:

- List all clinical interventions provided
- For each: What is the evidence base? What are our outcomes?
- Assess implementation fidelity: Are we doing what we say we're doing?
- Compare stated treatment model to actual clinical practice
- Identify gaps between what research supports and what we provide

ACTION STEP

Conduct a fidelity assessment of one core treatment intervention—compare documented protocol to actual practice. Address gaps found.

Corporate Culture Inventory

Step Four specifically includes corporate culture in the inventory. Culture—"how things are really done around here"—often differs dramatically from stated values. Examining culture honestly reveals where organizational behavior contradicts organizational messaging.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Culture is shown in behavior, not posters on walls
- The gap between stated and actual culture is valuable data
- Toxic culture elements may be invisible to leadership
- Culture is created by what leadership tolerates and rewards
- Culture directly affects patient care and staff wellbeing

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Many treatment organizations have toxic cultural elements that leadership doesn't see because they're not experiencing them. Power differentials mean that culture is experienced differently at different levels.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff live in the actual culture every day while leadership experiences a filtered version. Anonymous mechanisms may be necessary to understand what culture is really like for those without power.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What is the actual culture of your organization—not what's stated, but what's lived? What cultural elements might be invisible to leadership? How does culture differ across levels and departments?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Culture inventory questions:

- How are mistakes typically handled?
 - What gets rewarded, formally and informally?
 - How safe do staff feel raising concerns?
 - What happens to people who challenge leadership?
 - How are conflicts resolved—or not resolved?
 - What unwritten rules exist that everyone knows but no one discusses?
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Conduct an anonymous culture survey with specific behavioral questions (not just satisfaction ratings). Share results transparently and create action plans.

Inventory as Growth Opportunity

Step Four notes that inventory is "essential for true growth and alignment with our mission." Inventory isn't punitive—it's growth-oriented. Organizations that embrace inventory as opportunity rather than threat transform the process from painful obligation to valuable learning.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Inventory is input for improvement, not judgment
- Every finding is data that can inform positive change
- Growth orientation makes uncomfortable truths bearable
- Mission alignment is the ultimate purpose of inventory
- Inventory should be repeated regularly, not done once

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Framing inventory as growth opportunity shifts organizational response from defensive to curious. Instead of "What did we do wrong?" the question becomes "What can we learn to serve our mission better?"

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff respond to inventory based on how findings are used. If inventory leads to blame, they'll resist future assessment. If inventory leads to improvement, they'll embrace ongoing examination.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your organization typically respond to assessment findings? Is the culture one of blame or growth? How might reframing inventory as opportunity change engagement with the process?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Growth-oriented inventory framing:

- Begin with mission: "We inventory to better serve our patients"
 - Focus on systems, not individuals: "What processes need improvement?"
 - Create action orientation: "What will we do with these findings?"
 - Build in accountability: "How will we track improvement?"
 - Celebrate findings: "We learned something important that we can now address"
-
-

ACTION STEP

Reframe your next assessment process explicitly as a growth opportunity. Document findings as "opportunities for mission alignment" rather than problems.

Documenting Organizational Liabilities

Just as individual Step Four involves documenting resentments, fears, and harms, organizational inventory must document liabilities—the patterns, practices, and problems that work against the mission. This documentation creates awareness and becomes the basis for change planning.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Documentation makes vague concerns concrete and actionable
- Patterns become visible when individual instances are collected
- Written inventory can be reviewed and prioritized
- Documentation creates accountability for addressing issues
- Inventory should be preserved for ongoing reference

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Verbal acknowledgment of problems is different from written documentation. Documentation demonstrates commitment, creates shared understanding, and provides accountability. Many organizations acknowledge problems verbally but never document them.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff have watched problems be "acknowledged" repeatedly without documentation or action. Written inventory signals that this time leadership is serious about understanding and addressing issues.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What organizational liabilities are verbally acknowledged but not documented? What patterns exist that might become visible through systematic documentation? Who should be involved in creating the documentation?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Documentation framework:

- Create categories: Treatment, Culture, Operations, Leadership, Finance, Staffing
- For each category: What are the specific liabilities?
- For each liability: What is the evidence? What is the impact?
- Prioritize: Which liabilities most affect mission fulfillment?
- Connect: How do liabilities in different areas interact?

ACTION STEP

Create a formal Organizational Inventory Document that captures all identified liabilities in writing. This becomes the foundation for Step Five and beyond.

Step 5: Transparent Accountability

Admitting to Ourselves

Step Five begins with admitting organizational truths "to ourselves." This means leadership must first acknowledge findings before sharing more broadly. Self-honesty at the leadership level is prerequisite for organizational honesty. Leaders who haven't internalized the inventory can't authentically share it.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Leadership must genuinely accept inventory findings
- Defensiveness at the top blocks organizational honesty
- Personal ego investment in "our organization" must be managed
- Admitting to ourselves requires sitting with uncomfortable truths
- Leaders model the honesty they want throughout the organization

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Leaders sometimes share inventory findings without genuinely accepting them—the communication feels hollow. Staff can tell when leadership is going through motions versus genuinely grappling with uncomfortable truths.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff watch leadership closely during this phase. Authentic acknowledgment builds trust; performative acknowledgment breeds cynicism. The way leadership talks about findings reveals whether they truly accept them.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How genuinely have you and other leaders accepted inventory findings? Where might defensiveness be blocking full acceptance? What would authentic acknowledgment look like?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Leadership acceptance process:

- Review findings individually first—sit with the discomfort
- Leadership group discussion: What's hardest to accept?
- Identify defensive patterns: Where are we explaining away rather than accepting?
- Personal inventory: How have I contributed to these issues?
- Commitment: What does genuine acceptance require of me personally?

ACTION STEP

Hold a leadership meeting focused solely on accepting inventory findings—not problem-solving or planning, just honest acknowledgment and processing.

Telling the Truth to Our Staff

After leadership has accepted the inventory, the next circle is the staff. They already know much of what the inventory found, because they live with it every shift. What they don't yet know is whether leadership will say it out loud. How findings are shared with staff decides whether this step builds engagement or confirms the belief that nothing changes.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Staff usually know the problems before leadership names them
- How findings are shared matters as much as what is shared
- Sharing should invite understanding and participation, not defensiveness or fear
- Findings are about systems, not a hunt for who is to blame
- Honest disclosure to staff is the start of a conversation, not an announcement

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations often soften findings for staff, leading with strengths and burying the hard parts. Staff notice what is missing. A partial disclosure costs more trust than the full truth would have, because it tells people that leadership is still managing the story.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff are listening for two things: is this honest, and is it safe? If findings are shared in a way that points at departments or individuals, people brace. If leadership names its own part first and frames findings as shared problems, people lean in.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What findings are you tempted to leave out or soften when you share them with staff? What are you protecting by doing that? How would staff describe the last time leadership shared bad news, and what did they take from it?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Staff disclosure plan:

- List the key findings and mark any you are tempted to soften or omit
- For each, decide how to state it plainly in one or two sentences
- Name leadership's own contribution before anyone else's
- Plan how staff can ask questions and respond, in person and anonymously
- Decide what you will tell staff about next steps and when they will hear more

ACTION STEP

Hold an all-staff meeting or town hall that presents the inventory findings plainly, opens with leadership's own accountability, and leaves at least half the time for staff questions and responses.

Telling the Truth to Patients and Families

Step Five names patients as the people this honesty is owed to most. Patients and families trust the organization with something precious, often at the worst point in their lives. When the inventory finds that care fell short, they have a right to know what was found and what is being done about it.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Patients and families are the primary stakeholders in the mission
- Transparency respects the trust and investment they have placed in the organization
- Disclosure must protect privacy and never expose individual patients
- Admitting shortcomings to patients is the honesty we ask of them in treatment
- Communication should include what is changing, not only what went wrong

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Treatment programs ask patients every day to stop hiding, admit the truth and accept help. An organization that won't do the same with its own shortcomings is teaching one thing and practicing another. Patients and families often respect an organization more, not less, when it tells them the truth.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Front-line staff are the ones patients and families ask. When leadership is open with patients, staff no longer have to defend practices they know are not working, or give answers they don't believe. Honesty with patients takes that weight off staff.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What would current and former patients say if they read your inventory? What do they deserve to hear from you directly? Where is the line between appropriate transparency and protecting individual privacy?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Patient and family transparency plan:

- Identify the findings that directly affected patient care or experience
 - Decide how each will be shared: patient community meeting, family forum, written update, alumni channels
 - Draft the message in plain language, without jargon or defensiveness
 - Check every message for patient privacy before it is shared
 - Set a date to report back on progress
-
-

ACTION STEP

Hold a patient and family forum, or send a written update, that names the main findings affecting care and the specific improvements underway, with a date for the next update.

Telling the Truth to the Field and Regulators

Step Five reaches beyond the walls of the organization to industry peers, professional bodies and regulators. Sharing lessons learned helps the whole field improve. Telling licensing and accreditation bodies about problems before they are discovered turns a compliance risk into a working partnership.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Lessons learned have value beyond one organization
- Peer organizations are often facing the same problems quietly
- Proactive disclosure to regulators builds credibility that reactive disclosure cannot
- Public accountability must be balanced with patient privacy and fair reputation management
- Contributing to the field is part of the mission, not a distraction from it

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

The treatment field tends to share successes and hide struggles, so every organization relearns the same hard lessons alone. An organization willing to present what went wrong and what it learned becomes a resource for others, and usually gains standing rather than losing it.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff take pride in an organization that is respected for its honesty. When leadership presents lessons learned at a conference or to a peer network, staff see their own hard work recognized as part of something larger.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What have you learned through this inventory that other organizations would benefit from knowing? Which findings should regulators or accreditors hear from you before they find them on their own? What fears hold you back from sharing?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

External accountability plan:

- Sort findings into: share with peers, share with regulators, share publicly, keep internal
- For regulatory findings, decide what to disclose, to whom and by when
- Pick one lesson worth presenting to a peer network or professional association
- Agree on public wording that is honest and protects patient privacy
- Name who speaks for the organization on each of these

ACTION STEP

Choose one lesson from your inventory and arrange to share it with a peer organization, professional association or conference within the next quarter.

Making Truth-Telling Safe

One honest disclosure is not enough. Step Five commits the organization to an ongoing practice of honesty, and that only lasts if people are safe to raise concerns. If speaking up carries a cost, the truth will go quiet again, and the next inventory will find the same problems hidden a little deeper.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Honesty has to be a continuing norm, not a single event
- People speak up only when it is safe to do so
- Retaliation, even subtle, ends truth-telling quickly
- Leaders show safety by how they react to bad news
- Clear routes for raising concerns need to exist, including anonymous ones

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Most organizations say they welcome feedback. Staff judge by what happens to the people who give it. A raised eyebrow, a missed promotion, a sudden change in schedule — people notice. Safety for truth-telling is built or broken in those small moments.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff carry a memory of what happened to the last person who spoke up. If that person was thanked and the concern was addressed, others will follow. If that person was sidelined, everyone learned to stay quiet, whatever the policy says.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How do you react, in the moment, when someone brings you bad news? What happened to the last person in your organization who raised a serious concern? What protections exist for staff who speak up, and do staff believe in them?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Truth-telling safety review:

- List the ways staff, patients and families can raise concerns today
- Ask staff anonymously: is it safe to raise concerns here? Why or why not?
- Review the last few concerns raised: what happened to the concern and to the person
- Write a clear non-retaliation commitment and how it will be enforced
- Plan how leaders will respond to bad news, starting with "thank you"

ACTION STEP

Publish a clear non-retaliation commitment to all staff, set up at least one anonymous route for raising concerns, and report back to staff on what was done with what was raised.

Step 6: Readiness for Transformation

Beyond Superficial Adjustment

Step Six moves the organization from acknowledgment to readiness. The first test of readiness is whether leadership accepts that small fixes will not be enough. A new form, a reworded policy or a single training can feel like progress while leaving the patterns that produced the problems untouched.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Superficial changes treat symptoms, not causes
- The inventory points to patterns, and patterns need deeper change
- Readiness means accepting that change will be uncomfortable
- Quick fixes can become a way to avoid real transformation
- Commitment to comprehensive change has to be visible, not just stated

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations under pressure reach for the fastest visible fix. It quiets complaints and satisfies reviewers, but the underlying pattern returns. Readiness for transformation is the decision to stop managing appearances and work on what actually causes the problems.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff have lived through "initiatives" that changed the paperwork and nothing else. They will judge this round by whether it reaches the things that make their work hard. Visible commitment to deeper change is what turns staff from skeptics into partners.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Which of your organization's past improvement efforts were really surface fixes? What made them attractive at the time? Which findings in your inventory point to patterns that will need more than an adjustment?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Surface or system review:

- List your top inventory findings
- For each, write the quick fix you would be tempted to make
- Then write the underlying pattern or cause
- Decide what changing that underlying pattern would actually require
- Mark which findings need comprehensive change, not adjustment

ACTION STEP

For your three most serious findings, write down the underlying cause and commit in writing to addressing that cause, not only the symptom.

Preparing the Culture for Change

Step Six includes readiness to change the organization's culture: its values in practice, its unwritten rules, how people talk to each other and what behavior is expected. Culture is the hardest part of an organization to change, and the part most likely to undo every other change if it is left alone.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Culture is what people do when no one is checking
- Stated values and lived values are often different
- Culture change starts with naming the unwritten rules
- Leaders shape culture most through what they tolerate and reward
- Cultural readiness has to be built before structural change will hold

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

An organization can change its structure in a month and still be the same place a year later. New processes run through the old culture and bend back to the old shape. Preparing the culture means naming the norms that keep problems in place and deciding which ones must change.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff know the real culture better than anyone: who gets listened to, what gets you in trouble, what is quietly allowed. They are ready for culture change when they see leadership name those unwritten rules honestly and begin to act differently themselves.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What are the unwritten rules in your organization? Which ones work against your mission? What do you, personally, tolerate or reward that keeps the current culture in place?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Unwritten rules exercise:

- Ask staff anonymously: "What are the unwritten rules here?"
- Group the answers into themes
- For each rule, ask: does this serve our patients and mission?
- Name the three rules that most need to change
- Decide what leaders will do differently to change each one

ACTION STEP

Run the unwritten rules exercise with your staff and share back the three rules leadership has committed to changing, with what leaders will do differently.

Ready to Change How We Work

Step Six asks the organization to be ready to change its processes, procedures, systems and structures, and to be open to new treatment approaches and ways of delivering care. Many familiar practices exist because "this is how we have always done it." Readiness means letting go of that defense.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Processes that slow good care are fair targets for change
- Familiar is not the same as effective
- New treatment approaches should be judged on evidence and patient outcomes
- Operational change and service innovation need to move together
- Readiness includes letting go of practices people are attached to

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations often protect processes long after they stop serving their purpose, because changing them feels risky and someone's identity is tied to them. Readiness means asking of every process: does this help us serve patients better, or does it only help us run the way we are used to?

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff are usually the first to see which procedures waste time and which treatment approaches are not reaching patients. They often have good ideas for doing it better that have never been asked for. Readiness to change how we work opens the door to those ideas.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Which processes in your organization exist mainly out of habit? Which are you personally attached to? What new treatment approaches or service configurations have you avoided considering, and why?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

"How we work" review:

- List the processes staff most often describe as getting in the way of care
- For each, ask: what was its original purpose, and does it still serve it?
- Ask staff: what would you change first if you could?
- Identify one treatment approach or service change worth exploring
- Choose two processes to redesign and one new approach to pilot

ACTION STEP

Pick one process staff say gets in the way of care and redesign it with the staff who use it, and choose one new approach to pilot.

Investing in the People Who Carry the Change

Transformation is carried out by staff. Step Six includes readiness to invest in staff training, development and support, because a change plan that adds work without adding support will burn out the people it depends on and fail.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Every change asks something new of staff
- Training and support must come before the demand, not after
- Change on top of an exhausted workforce rarely lasts
- Investment in staff is a signal that leadership is serious
- Staff should help shape the change, not only receive it

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations often launch change and expect staff to absorb it on top of full caseloads. The result is quiet resistance, turnover, and changes that exist on paper only. Readiness means budgeting time, training and support for staff as part of the change itself.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff are willing to change when they feel equipped and supported. They resist when change arrives as one more demand with no time to learn and no relief elsewhere. What they ask is simple: give us what we need to do this well.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What will transformation ask of your staff that they are not trained or resourced for today? Where will the time come from? How will you know if staff are being stretched past what they can sustain?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Staff readiness plan:

- List the new skills and tasks the planned changes will require
- Identify the training needed for each and who will deliver it
- Decide what current work can be reduced to make room
- Plan support: supervision, peer support, check-ins during the change
- Invite staff to help design the changes that affect their work

ACTION STEP

Before launching any major change, publish a staff readiness plan that names the training, time and support staff will receive.

The Mission as Our Compass

Step Six names two guides for every transformation decision: patient needs and recovery outcomes come before organizational convenience, and the core mission decides the direction. Change without a compass drifts toward what is easiest for the organization rather than what is best for the people it serves.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Every change decision should be tested against the mission
- Patient needs and recovery outcomes come before organizational convenience
- The mission keeps transformation focused when pressure rises
- Change management needs real infrastructure, resources and timelines
- Readiness means having the capacity to carry change through, not just the will

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

During change, competing pressures — finances, staffing, regulation — pull decisions in many directions. Organizations that keep returning to one question, "What best serves our patients' recovery?", make clearer decisions and keep the trust of staff and patients through hard trade-offs.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff are more willing to accept hard changes when they can see how each one serves patients. When changes seem to serve budgets or convenience first, staff lose faith in the whole effort. Connecting each change to the mission is what keeps them on board.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Can every person in your organization state the mission in their own words? When was the last time a decision was made for convenience rather than for patients? What do you have in place — people, time, budget, timeline — to manage complex change?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Mission test for change:

- Write the mission in one plain sentence everyone can remember
- For each planned change, ask: how does this serve patient recovery?
- Flag any change that serves convenience more than patients
- Name the change management resources: who leads, what budget, what timeline
- Plan how progress will be communicated to staff, patients and families

ACTION STEP

Adopt a simple mission test for every transformation decision and use it openly in the next leadership meeting where a change is decided.

Step 7: Humble Collaboration

Humility Before Help

Step Seven begins with humility: the recognition that the organization cannot fix itself alone. Organizational pride — "we know our patients best," "outsiders don't understand our work" — keeps help at arm's length. Humility is what makes it possible to ask.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- No organization has all the expertise it needs
- Pride often hides as "we are different" or "they don't understand us"
- Asking for help is a strength, not an admission of failure
- Humility means being teachable, not being small
- The help an organization most needs is often the help it most resists

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Treatment organizations ask patients to stop going it alone and to accept help from others who have walked the road. Step Seven asks the organization to do the same. Organizations that cannot ask for help tend to repeat the same mistakes, because the knowledge that would break the pattern sits outside their walls.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff notice whether leadership is willing to learn. When leaders seek outside help openly, it gives staff permission to admit what they don't know and to ask for help in their own work. When leaders project certainty, staff learn to hide gaps.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Where does pride keep your organization from asking for help? What phrases do you hear that keep outsiders away? What would you ask for if asking carried no cost to your image?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Help-seeking inventory:

- List the areas where your inventory showed you lack expertise or experience
- For each, write honestly why you have not sought help before
- Name the pride statements that come up ("we're different," "they wouldn't get it")
- Identify who outside the organization has the knowledge you need
- Choose the one area where asking for help would make the biggest difference

ACTION STEP

Pick the area where help is most needed and make one direct request for help this month, naming plainly what you are struggling with.

Reaching Beyond Our Usual Circle

Step Seven calls for seeking help from many kinds of sources: peer organizations, academic institutions, regulators, patient advocacy groups and community partners. Each sees the work from a different angle. An organization that only listens to people like itself hears only what it already knows.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Different sources see different blind spots
- Patient advocates and alumni bring a view no professional can
- Academic partners bring evidence and evaluation skills
- Regulators can be partners in improvement, not only inspectors
- A wider circle brings both challenge and support

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations tend to seek advice from people who will agree with them. Real improvement often comes from the voices that are least comfortable to hear — patients who left early, families who were frustrated, community partners who felt shut out. Seeking those voices is an act of humility in itself.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff often have contacts and relationships the organization has never used: former colleagues, trainers, community groups, people in recovery. Asking staff who should be in the circle widens it quickly and shows that their networks are valued.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Whose perspective is missing from how you understand your organization? Which outside groups have you avoided or kept at a distance? Who would tell you the truth you most need to hear?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Circle-widening map:

- Draw the organization at the center
- List current outside relationships: who do you actually learn from?
- Add the missing groups: patients and alumni, families, academics, regulators, community partners, peer programs
- Ask staff to add contacts they could open doors to

- Choose two new sources to engage this quarter

ACTION STEP

Invite one group you have never sought input from — such as alumni, families or a community partner — to give honest feedback on one area of your work.

Opening the Doors

Seeking help is not a single act; it requires a culture that welcomes outside input. Step Seven commits the organization to openness and collaboration, so that feedback, visitors and partners are treated as resources rather than threats to be managed.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Openness is a culture, not a single invitation
- Defensive reactions to outside input close doors quickly
- Feedback is information, not an attack
- Collaboration requires sharing problems, not only successes
- How the organization responds to input decides whether input keeps coming

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Many organizations invite outside review and then defend every finding. Word gets around, and honest input dries up. A culture of openness is visible in small moments: thanking a reviewer for hard feedback, following up on a suggestion, admitting "we hadn't thought of that."

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff follow leadership's lead in how they treat outsiders — auditors, consultants, visiting clinicians, community partners. If leadership is guarded, staff become guarded. If leadership is curious, staff become curious and start seeing outside input as a way to make their own work easier.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your organization usually react when an outsider points out a problem? What would a consultant or partner say about working with you? Where do you share only your successes?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Openness check:

- Recall the last three times outsiders gave feedback: how did leadership respond?
 - Note any defensive patterns: explaining, minimizing, discrediting the source
 - Write a simple response norm: listen, thank, ask questions, follow up
 - Identify one problem you could share openly with a partner to ask for ideas
 - Plan how outside input will be recorded and acted on
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Adopt a "listen, thank, follow up" norm for outside feedback, and for the next piece of outside input, report back to the person who gave it on what you did with it.

Part of the Community, Part of the Field

Step Seven asks the organization to see itself as part of the wider community and the wider profession. Addiction does not stop at the treatment center door, and neither should the organization's involvement. Coalitions, professional associations and learning collaboratives are where shared problems are worked on together.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- The organization is one part of the community's response to addiction
- Community coalitions address what no single program can
- Professional associations and learning collaboratives speed up learning
- Involvement brings both knowledge in and influence out
- Showing up consistently builds trust that occasional contact cannot

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations that stay inside their walls often feel isolated with their problems and miss solutions others have already found. Those that take part in coalitions and professional networks learn faster, gain referral partners and help shape the systems their patients depend on after discharge.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Taking part in professional networks and community work gives staff growth, connection and a sense that their work matters beyond the building. It also helps with burnout: staff who feel part of a wider effort are less likely to feel alone with the weight of the work.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Which community coalitions and professional networks is your organization part of, and how actively? Where are your patients' needs being discussed without you in the room? What would it

take for your staff to take part, too?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Community and field involvement plan:

- List the coalitions, associations and collaboratives relevant to your work
- Mark where you are active, passive or absent
- Identify the gaps that matter most to your patients' recovery after discharge
- Decide who will represent the organization in each and how time will be protected
- Plan how what is learned will be brought back and shared internally

ACTION STEP

Join, or rejoin actively, one community coalition or learning collaborative this quarter, and name a staff member with protected time to take part.

Learning From Those Who Have Gone Before

Step Seven includes seeking mentorship and consultation from organizations and leaders who have already been through similar transformations. Just as a sponsor shares experience, strength and hope, an organization that has walked the road can show what worked, what failed and what to expect.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Others have already solved many of the problems you face
- Mentorship shortens the learning curve and prevents avoidable mistakes
- Formal consultation brings focused expertise when it is most needed
- Learning works both ways: take what you need and give back what you learn
- Seeking a mentor is itself a commitment to change

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

The sponsor relationship is central to recovery because experience cannot be replaced by information. Organizations benefit from the same thing. A leader who has led a similar transformation can name the pitfalls before you reach them and keep you steady when change gets hard.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff benefit when the organization learns from others who have succeeded, because it means fewer failed experiments carried out on their shifts. Staff can also be connected with their own mentors in peer programs, which spreads the same humility through every level.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Which organizations or leaders have made the kind of change you are attempting? What stops you from reaching out to them? What experience could your organization offer someone else in return?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Mentorship and consultation plan:

- Identify two or three organizations that have made changes similar to yours
- Find the leaders or teams who carried those changes through
- Write the specific questions you would most want answered
- Decide where formal consultation is needed and what it would focus on
- Plan what you will offer back: your own lessons, a site visit, a shared training

ACTION STEP

Reach out to one organization or leader who has made a similar change and arrange a conversation or site visit within the next month.

Step 8: Strategic Planning

From Crisis Response to Systematic Improvement

Step Eight moves the organization from reacting to planning. Many organizations improve only when something goes wrong: a complaint, an incident, an audit. Step Eight asks for a systematic, organized approach that addresses the whole inventory, not just whatever is loudest this week.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Crisis-driven change fixes what is visible, not what matters most
- A systematic approach covers the whole inventory in an organized way
- Structure keeps improvement going when the urgency fades
- Planning turns good intentions into actual change
- The plan should be simple enough to be used, not just written

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations caught in crisis mode feel busy but rarely get better. Every week brings a new fire, and the deeper problems found in the inventory wait. Step Eight is the decision to step out of that cycle and plan improvement on purpose.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff are worn down by constant shifts in priority — the "flavor of the month." A clear, steady plan tells them what matters, what comes next and that today's urgent request will not erase last month's commitment.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How much of your organization's improvement work in the past year was driven by crisis? What important findings have waited because something urgent always came first? What would a systematic approach change for you?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Crisis versus plan review:

- List the improvement efforts of the past year
- Mark each as crisis-driven or planned
- List the inventory findings that have not yet been addressed
- Choose a simple planning method your organization will actually use
- Decide who owns the plan and how often it will be reviewed

ACTION STEP

Bring all your inventory findings into one improvement plan document with a named owner, and set a regular review date that does not get cancelled for crises.

Deciding What Comes First

Not everything can be fixed at once. Step Eight calls for clear criteria to decide which improvements matter most, considering patient safety, mission alignment, feasibility and impact. Without agreed criteria, priorities are set by whoever is loudest or most senior.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Patient safety comes first
- Priorities should be set by agreed criteria, not by pressure or personality
- Mission alignment, feasibility and impact all matter
- Some quick wins build momentum for harder changes
- Saying "not yet" to some improvements is part of good planning

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

When everything is a priority, nothing is. Organizations that try to fix every finding at once spread themselves thin and finish little. A prioritization framework makes hard choices visible and defensible, and lets the organization explain to staff and stakeholders why some work waits.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff want to know why some problems are being addressed and others are not. Clear criteria make the choices feel fair. Including staff in applying the criteria also brings out problems leadership might have ranked too low.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How are priorities set in your organization today, honestly? Whose concerns tend to rise to the top, and why? Which findings are you avoiding because they are hard, even though they matter most?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Prioritization matrix:

- List all improvement opportunities from the inventory
- Score each on: patient safety, mission alignment, impact, feasibility
- Mark any that involve patient safety for immediate attention
- Identify two or three quick wins that are high impact and easy to do
- Rank the rest and agree which will wait, and until when

ACTION STEP

Score every finding with the prioritization matrix in a meeting that includes front-line staff, and publish the resulting top priorities to the whole organization.

Balancing Today and Tomorrow

Step Eight asks the organization to balance urgent needs with long-term goals, and to plan with a realistic view of available resources. Fix only the urgent and the foundation never improves; plan only for the future and today's problems keep hurting patients.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Urgent issues and long-term goals both need a place in the plan
- Resources are limited, and the plan has to admit that
- Over-committing leads to burnout and unfinished change
- Some long-term work must be protected from short-term pressure
- Realistic plans earn more trust than ambitious ones that fail

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Improvement plans often fail because they assume time, money and staff the organization does not have. An honest look at resources — and at what can stop to make room — is what separates a plan that gets done from a plan that becomes another binder on a shelf.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff know when a plan is unrealistic because they are the ones asked to carry it out alongside full caseloads. A plan that honestly matches resources, and says what will be paused, tells staff that leadership understands their workload.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What resources — time, money, people, attention — do you realistically have for improvement over the next year? What current work could stop to make room? Which long-term goals keep getting pushed aside by urgent work?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Now and later plan:

- Split your priorities into: now (0–3 months), next (3–12 months), later (1–3 years)
- For each, estimate the time, money and people needed
- Compare that with what you realistically have
- Decide what current work will stop or slow to make room
- Protect at least one long-term goal from being displaced by urgent work

ACTION STEP

Build a 12-month improvement plan that shows now, next and later, with the resources each will use, and name at least one thing the organization will stop doing to make room.

Measuring What Matters

Step Eight calls for specific, measurable goals with timelines and accountability. Without measures, improvement becomes a feeling rather than a fact, and there is no way to know whether a change is working or needs to be rethought.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Every priority needs a clear, measurable goal
- Goals need timelines and a named person accountable
- Measures should focus on patient outcomes and experience, not only activity
- Regular progress reviews keep the plan alive
- Measuring is for learning, not for blame

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations often measure what is easy — trainings held, policies written — rather than what matters, such as patient engagement, retention in care or staff turnover. Choosing the right measures keeps the plan honest about whether patients are actually better served.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff are more likely to support measurement when they help choose the measures and see that results are used to improve systems, not to judge individuals. Sharing progress openly lets staff see that their effort is making a difference.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How will you know if your improvements are working? Which of your current measures tell you about patient outcomes, and which only tell you about activity? Who is accountable for each priority?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Measurable goals worksheet:

- For each top priority, write one specific goal
- Choose one or two measures that show patient or staff impact
- Set a baseline, a target and a date
- Name the person accountable for each goal
- Schedule monthly or quarterly progress reviews

ACTION STEP

Write a measurable goal, baseline, target date and owner for each of your top priorities, and hold the first progress review within 30 days.

Planning With Everyone, Not For Everyone

Step Eight calls for staff at every level to take part in planning, and for plans to serve patients, families, staff and community partners. A plan made by a few leaders and handed down is a plan few people own. Shared planning builds a culture where improvement is everyone's work.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Staff at every level have knowledge the plan needs
- People support what they help create
- Patients, families and partners should see their needs in the plan
- Improvement planning should be part of the regular cycle, not a one-time project
- Empowered staff start improving things without waiting to be asked

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Plans written in a leadership retreat often miss the practical details only front-line staff know, and meet quiet resistance when they arrive. When planning is shared, the plan is better and its owners are already in place. Over time, improvement becomes part of how the organization runs rather than a special project.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff are far more committed to a plan they helped shape. Being asked for ideas — and seeing those ideas in the final plan — tells them they are trusted. A standing way to suggest

improvements keeps that trust going after the planning meeting ends.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Who was in the room the last time your organization made an improvement plan? Whose voices were missing? How could planning become a regular part of your organization's year rather than a one-off event?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Shared planning process:

- Invite staff from every level and role to help shape the plan
- Gather input from patients, families and community partners
- Show how input changed the plan
- Set up a standing way for staff to suggest improvements year-round
- Build improvement planning into the annual calendar

ACTION STEP

Hold a planning session that includes front-line staff from every department, and set up a standing improvement suggestion process that reports back on every suggestion.

Step 9: Relationship Restoration

Amends Beyond Apology

Step Nine asks the organization to repair relationships damaged by its past failures. In recovery, an amends is more than "I'm sorry." It is a change in behavior that sets things right where possible. The same is true for organizations: an apology without changed practice is just another promise.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- An apology names the harm; an amends changes the behavior
- Concrete action matters more than carefully chosen words
- Amends should be made where they help, not where they cause further harm
- The organization must be ready to hear how it hurt people
- Amends are owed to patients, families, staff and partners alike

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations often issue statements of regret and move on. People who were harmed measure amends by what changes afterward. An organization that names a specific harm, explains what it has changed and keeps that change in place earns back trust that no statement can buy.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff often carry the guilt of harm the organization caused, especially when they were the ones delivering care under conditions they knew were wrong. Seeing the organization make real amends can lift that weight and restore their pride in the work.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Who has your organization harmed through its past failures? Where have you offered apologies without changing what caused the harm? Where might reaching out cause more harm than good, and how will you decide?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Organizational amends list:

- List the people and groups harmed by past organizational failures
- For each, name the specific harm plainly
- Write what has changed, or must change, to set it right
- Decide where direct amends are appropriate and where they would cause further harm
- Choose who will make each amends and how

ACTION STEP

From your amends list, choose one group or person to whom direct amends are appropriate, and make that amends with a clear statement of the harm and the specific change that has been made.

Rebuilding Trust With Alumni and Families

Step Nine names alumni — former patients — as people whose trust may need to be rebuilt, along with families and community partners who lost confidence in the organization. These are people who came for help, sometimes at great cost, and some left disappointed or hurt.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Former patients with negative experiences deserve to be heard, not avoided
- Families often carry their own hurt from how they were treated
- Outreach must be respectful and never pressure anyone to re-engage
- Alumni and families can become the organization's strongest partners
- Rebuilding these relationships takes patience and consistent follow-through

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations usually keep in touch with the alumni who had good experiences and let the others drift away. But the people who were let down hold the clearest picture of what went wrong. Reaching out to them — with humility, not marketing — is both an amends and a source of learning.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff often remember the patients and families they feel the organization failed. Outreach gives staff a chance to see some of those relationships repaired, and alumni involvement reminds staff why the work matters.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What do you know about the experience of former patients who did not do well in your program? How were families treated when things went badly? What would those alumni and families say to you if they believed you would listen?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Alumni and family outreach plan:

- Identify the groups of alumni and families most likely to have had negative experiences
- Decide how to reach out in a way that respects privacy and choice

- Prepare to listen without defending
- Plan what you will offer: a conversation, a forum, a way back into support
- Decide how what you hear will feed into your improvement plan

ACTION STEP

Hold an alumni and family listening session, open to people with both good and bad experiences, and report back to participants on what you heard and what you will change.

Renewing Trust With Staff

Step Nine also turns inward, to the staff. Past organizational failures — unsafe workloads, broken promises, leaders who did not listen, colleagues lost to burnout — damage the relationship between staff and the organization. Renewal means acknowledging that damage and rebuilding healthy team dynamics.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Organizational failures often hurt staff as much as patients
- Staff trust is rebuilt through changed conditions, not appreciation events alone
- Healthy team dynamics support both staff wellbeing and patient care
- Leaders must own their part in past harm to staff
- Staff who feel valued give better care

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations sometimes try to rebuild staff morale with pizza and recognition while leaving the causes of burnout in place. Staff see through it. Real renewal addresses the things that hurt them — workload, safety, respect, voice — and then backs that up with appreciation.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff want to hear leadership name what went wrong in plain terms, and then see it change. Appreciation matters, but it only lands when people believe the organization has stopped doing the things that wore them down.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How has your organization harmed its own staff? Which promises to staff have been broken? What do staff need from you, beyond appreciation, to trust the organization again?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Staff renewal plan:

- Ask staff anonymously how past organizational failures affected them
- Name the top three things that damaged staff trust
- Decide what will change for each and by when
- Plan genuine appreciation that matches the changes, not replaces them
- Check in with staff after three months to see whether trust is improving

ACTION STEP

Meet with staff to acknowledge specific ways the organization let them down, and commit to one concrete change in working conditions with a date for review.

Quality Care as the Living Amends

Step Nine recognizes that the best amends an organization can make is consistently high-quality care. Words of regret matter, but what restores an organization's standing is patient after patient receiving the care they deserve. In recovery language, this is the living amends.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- The most lasting amends is changed behavior, day after day
- Consistent quality shows transformation better than any statement
- Visible demonstration projects can show stakeholders what has changed
- Quality must be measured, not assumed
- A living amends has no end date

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

People who lost faith in an organization will not be won back by a single gesture. They are won back by hearing, over time, that patients are being treated well — from their own families, from referral partners, from the community. The quality of everyday care is the organization's most important message.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff take pride in being part of an organization known for good care. When leadership treats quality as the heart of renewal, staff see their daily work as part of something meaningful — not

just meeting targets, but setting things right.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

If someone harmed by your organization in the past came through your doors today, would their experience be different? How do you know? Where is the quality of care still falling short of what you owe your patients?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Living amends review:

- Identify the areas of care most connected to past harm
 - Define what high-quality care looks like in each
 - Choose measures that show whether it is happening consistently
 - Pick one demonstration project that shows stakeholders the change
 - Plan how you will share progress with the people you have made amends to
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Choose one area of care connected to past harm, set a clear quality standard for it, and begin measuring and sharing results monthly.

Earning Trust Over Time

Step Nine reminds the organization that trust is earned through consistent action over time, not through good intentions or promises. Relationship restoration is not a project with a finish line; it becomes part of how the organization operates, through steady, ongoing attention to every relationship it holds.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Trust is rebuilt slowly and can be lost quickly
- Consistency matters more than grand gestures
- Relationships need ongoing maintenance, not one-time repair
- Transparent communication about changes keeps trust growing
- Some relationships may take longer to restore than others, and that must be accepted

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations often expect trust to return once amends are made. When it doesn't, they grow frustrated. In recovery, people learn that the timeline belongs to the person harmed. Organizations need the same patience, and the steadiness to keep showing up whether or not trust returns quickly.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff watch whether the organization's renewed commitments last beyond the first few months. When relationship-building becomes routine — regular updates, ongoing outreach, follow-through on promises — staff begin to believe the change is real.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Where are you expecting trust to return faster than you have earned it? What routines would keep relationship-building going after the initial amends? Which relationships might never be fully restored, and how will you accept that?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Ongoing relationship plan:

- List the key relationships: alumni, families, staff, referral partners, community
- For each, decide what regular contact and updates will look like
- Assign a person responsible for each relationship
- Set a simple way to check how each relationship is doing
- Review the plan every quarter

ACTION STEP

Assign an owner to each key stakeholder relationship and set a regular schedule of contact and updates that continues after the initial amends.

Step 10: Continuous Reflection

Making Inventory a Habit

Step Ten turns the inventory of Step Four into an ongoing practice. In recovery, Step Ten is a daily practice of noticing what went wrong and setting it right promptly. For an organization, it means regular, structured self-evaluation at every level, so problems are caught early rather than discovered years later.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- One inventory is not enough; reflection must become routine
- Regular evaluation catches problems while they are still small
- Self-reflection should happen at every level, from front line to board
- Structure keeps reflection from being skipped when things get busy
- A habit of honesty is the foundation for lasting change

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Most organizations look closely at themselves only when forced — by an audit, a crisis or a funding review. In between, problems grow quietly. Organizations that build reflection into their regular rhythm — monthly, quarterly, annually — rarely face the same scale of crisis again.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff are more willing to point out problems when reflection is routine rather than a special event that feels like an investigation. Regular team reflection makes honesty normal and gives staff a reliable place to raise concerns.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How often does your organization formally look at how it is doing? When was the last time a problem was caught early rather than late? What would a regular rhythm of reflection look like at each level of your organization?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Reflection rhythm plan:

- Decide what will be reviewed monthly, quarterly and annually
- Choose a simple structure for each review
- Make sure every level — teams, departments, leadership, board — has its own reflection
- Put the dates in the calendar for the next 12 months
- Decide how findings from each review will be recorded and acted on

ACTION STEP

Put a monthly organizational health check in the calendar for the next 12 months, with a simple structure and a named person responsible for running it.

Checking Our Course Against the Mission

Step Ten asks the organization to keep checking whether its practices and decisions still line up with its mission and values. Organizations drift slowly. Small compromises — a shortcut here, a cost-saving there — add up until daily practice no longer matches what the organization says it stands for.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Mission drift happens gradually, through small decisions
- Regular alignment checks catch drift before it becomes the norm
- Values must be tested against actual practice, not stated intentions
- Everyone can help spot when practice is moving away from the mission
- Correcting course early is far easier than recovering from a crisis

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Few organizations decide to abandon their mission. Drift happens when pressures pull decisions one at a time toward convenience, revenue or habit. A regular, honest check — "Are we still doing what we say we do?" — keeps the mission alive in practice and not just on the wall.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Front-line staff are often the first to notice when practice drifts from the mission, because they feel the gap between what they were trained to do and what they are asked to do. Giving them a voice in alignment checks makes drift visible early.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Where might your organization be drifting from its mission right now? What small compromises have become normal? How would your staff answer the question, "Are we still doing what we say we do?"

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Mission alignment check:

- Write your mission and core values on one page
- For each value, list two or three daily practices that should reflect it
- Ask staff: where do our practices match these values, and where do they not?

- Identify any drift and what caused it
- Decide what correction is needed and who will lead it

ACTION STEP

Run a mission alignment check with staff from every level this quarter, and report back on the drift you found and how you will correct it.

When We Are Wrong, Promptly Admitting It

Step Ten in recovery asks that when we are wrong, we promptly admit it. For organizations, this means building systems that spot problems early and address them quickly, and a culture where admitting a mistake is normal, not dangerous. Prompt correction keeps small problems from becoming major ones.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Early detection depends on people feeling safe to report problems
- Prompt admission prevents small mistakes from growing
- Clear systems for course correction speed up response
- Leaders model prompt admission by owning their own mistakes quickly
- Defensiveness delays correction and multiplies harm

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

The cost of a problem grows with every week it goes unaddressed. Organizations that wait until they are certain, or until the problem can't be denied, lose time and trust. Organizations that say "we got that wrong, here is what we are doing about it" recover faster and are trusted more.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff learn whether mistakes are safe to admit by watching how leaders handle their own. When a leader says, "I was wrong about that, let's change it," staff become far more willing to report problems in their own work — which is how problems get caught early.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How quickly does your organization admit when it gets something wrong? When did you last admit a mistake openly to your team? What systems would help problems surface faster?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Prompt correction system:

- Identify the ways problems currently come to light, and how long it takes
 - Create a simple early-warning route for staff to flag problems quickly
 - Agree a response time for acknowledging and acting on reported problems
 - Practice leadership admitting mistakes openly in team meetings
 - Review recent problems: how could they have been caught sooner?
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Set up a simple early-warning route for staff to flag problems, commit to acknowledging every report within a set time, and begin the next leadership meeting by naming one recent mistake and its correction.

Adapting as Needs Change

Step Ten includes the capacity to respond to the changing needs of patients, staff and community. Substances change, populations change, research changes, and so do the people who come for help. An organization that is right for today's needs can be wrong for tomorrow's unless it keeps adapting.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- The needs of patients and communities keep changing
- Adaptation requires paying attention, not just reacting
- Regular stakeholder feedback shows how needs are shifting
- Flexibility must be balanced with the stability of good practice
- Adapting is a sign of health, not of failure

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Treatment organizations can become fixed in approaches that worked well years ago. New substances, new research and new patient groups require new responses. Organizations that listen regularly to patients, staff and community partners notice these shifts early and adapt in time.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff see changing needs every day — new patterns of use, new patient challenges, gaps in what the program offers. When the organization asks for and acts on what staff are seeing, staff feel their expertise matters and the program stays relevant.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How have the needs of your patients and community changed in the last few years? Which of your programs still reflect the needs of the past? How do you regularly hear from patients, staff and partners about what is changing?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Changing needs scan:

- Ask staff: what are you seeing now that you didn't see two years ago?
 - Gather feedback from patients, families and community partners
 - Review current research and practice for changes relevant to your work
 - Identify the gaps between current needs and current services
 - Choose one adaptation to plan and pilot this year
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Hold a quarterly stakeholder feedback session focused on what is changing, and choose one adaptation to pilot based on what you hear.

Becoming a Learning Organization

Step Ten asks the organization to learn from both successes and failures, and to use every experience as a chance to grow. A learning organization does not just fix problems; it captures what it learned, shares it, and gets better at getting better.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Every experience, good or bad, holds a lesson
- Lessons must be recorded and shared, or they are lost
- Accountability structures make sure someone owns organizational health
- Successes deserve as much study as failures
- Learning together builds a culture of growth

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Many organizations solve the same problem again and again because no one wrote down what was learned the first time, or the person who knew has left. Systematic documentation of lessons learned, shared openly, turns individual experience into organizational wisdom.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff grow when they are encouraged to learn from their work and share it with others. Regular team reflection — "What went well? What would we do differently?" — makes learning part of the job and helps new staff benefit from what others have already discovered.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your organization capture what it learns? Which lessons have been lost because someone left? Who is responsible for the overall health of your organization, and do they have what they need to do it?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Lessons learned system:

- After each major project, incident or change, ask: what worked, what didn't, what would we do differently?
- Record the answers in one shared place
- Share key lessons with the whole organization regularly
- Include lessons in new staff orientation
- Name who is accountable for monitoring organizational health

ACTION STEP

Create one shared lessons-learned record, hold a short "what worked, what didn't" review after the next major project or incident, and share the lessons with all staff.

Step 11: Mission Alignment

Reconnecting With Our Higher Purpose

Step Eleven asks the organization to stay grounded in the deeper purpose behind its work. In recovery, Step Eleven is a daily practice of staying connected to something greater than oneself. For an organization, that higher purpose is the reason it exists: helping people find their way out of addiction and into a life worth living.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Every organization has a purpose deeper than its daily tasks
- Purpose fades unless it is deliberately renewed
- Busy seasons are when reconnection matters most
- Purpose gives meaning to hard and unglamorous work
- Renewing purpose is a practice, not a one-time event

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations rarely lose their purpose all at once. It fades under schedules, paperwork, census numbers and funding pressure until people can describe what they do but not why. Organizations that set aside regular time to remember why they exist keep their energy and direction through difficult years.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Most staff came into this field for a reason — often a personal one. When the organization makes room to remember that reason, staff reconnect with what drew them here. When it doesn't, the work becomes a job, and the job wears people down.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Why does your organization exist, in one sentence? When did your team last talk together about that purpose, not just about tasks? What drew you personally to this work, and how often do you remember it?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Purpose renewal session:

- Ask each person to share briefly what first drew them to this work
- Write the organization's purpose in one plain sentence together
- Name a recent moment when the work clearly served that purpose
- Identify what most often pulls attention away from the purpose
- Decide how often the team will pause to renew its purpose

ACTION STEP

Hold a purpose renewal session with your team this month, and set a regular rhythm — at least quarterly — for coming back to it.

The Mission as Our Decision Filter

Step Eleven asks the organization to make its core mission the primary filter for strategic and operational decisions. It is easy to say the mission comes first. It is harder to let the mission decide when a choice is costly, inconvenient or unpopular.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- A mission only matters if it shapes real decisions
- Every major decision can be tested against the mission
- Values must show up in practice, not just on the wall
- Mission-driven choices sometimes cost money or convenience
- Explaining decisions in terms of the mission builds trust

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations make hundreds of decisions about staffing, admissions, programming and spending. When those decisions are made mainly on cost or habit, the organization slowly becomes something it never meant to be. A simple question — "Does this serve our mission?" — asked at every major decision keeps practice and purpose together.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff notice when leaders explain decisions by pointing to the mission and when they don't. A hard decision explained honestly in terms of the mission is easier to accept than an easy decision that clearly works against it.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Which recent decisions in your organization were made mainly for cost or convenience? Where has the mission been overruled, and was it worth it? How would your staff say decisions are really made?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Mission filter:

- Write three or four questions that test any decision against your mission and values
- Apply them to two recent major decisions
- Note where the decision matched the mission and where it did not

- Agree when the filter will be used — budgets, new programs, policy changes
- Share the filter with staff so they can use it too

ACTION STEP

Adopt a short mission filter and use it openly, out loud, in your next three major decisions.

Sustaining Meaning for Staff

Step Eleven calls on the organization to help staff connect with the meaningful parts of their work and find fulfillment in serving others. Treatment work is demanding. Staff who lose touch with its meaning burn out faster, and staff who stay connected to it find the strength to keep going.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Meaning protects staff against burnout
- Staff need time and space to reflect on why their work matters
- Servant leadership models service from the top down
- Recognizing the impact of staff work renews their sense of purpose
- Support must respect staff's own beliefs and sources of meaning

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations often focus staff support on workload and benefits, which matter. But staff also need to see that their work makes a difference. Retreats, reflection time, and sharing recovery stories from patients — with permission — help staff see the purpose behind their daily effort.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff often carry the hardest moments home with them: the patient who relapsed, the family in crisis, the loss. They also carry the moments of real change. Time to talk about both, with leaders who listen and serve alongside them, helps staff stay whole.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

When did your staff last have time to reflect on the meaning of their work? How do they hear about the good their work does? Do your leaders model service to staff, or only expect service from them?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Meaning and purpose plan for staff:

- Ask staff what helps them feel their work matters
 - Build regular time for reflection into team meetings
 - Find ways to share patient success stories, with consent
 - Plan a staff retreat or reflection day focused on purpose
 - Make sure support respects each person's own beliefs
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Add a few minutes of reflection on meaningful moments to every team meeting, and plan one staff day this year focused on purpose and renewal.

Honoring Patients' Spiritual Paths

Step Eleven recognizes that spirituality is part of recovery for many patients, and asks the organization to honor and support it while respecting diverse spiritual paths. For some patients this means faith; for others, meaning, connection or values. The organization's role is to make room for each person's path, not to choose it for them.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Spirituality is part of recovery for many, but not all, patients
- Patients' paths differ and all deserve respect
- Support must never become pressure toward any one belief
- Staff need guidance to support spirituality respectfully
- Meaning, purpose and connection matter to every patient

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Treatment programs sometimes avoid spirituality entirely to avoid offense, or lean too heavily on one tradition. Both can leave patients unsupported. Programs that offer optional, varied ways to explore meaning and connection serve patients across many backgrounds and beliefs.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff may feel unsure how to talk about spirituality with patients, especially when their own beliefs differ. Clear guidance and training help staff listen well, support the patient's own path, and avoid imposing their views.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How does your program currently support the spiritual side of recovery? Which patients might feel left out by your current approach? Do your staff feel equipped to talk about spirituality respectfully?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Spiritual support review:

- List how patients can currently explore spirituality, meaning or connection in your program
- Ask patients what would help them in this part of their recovery
- Check that options respect different faiths and those with none
- Provide staff with guidance on respectful conversations about spirituality
- Decide what to add or change

ACTION STEP

Ask patients what support they want for the spiritual side of recovery, and add or adjust one optional offering that respects diverse paths.

Our Place in the Recovery Community

Step Eleven asks the organization to understand its role in the broader recovery community and to contribute actively to collective healing. No program stands alone. Patients come from the community and return to it, and the organization is part of a much larger network of recovery.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- The organization is one part of a wider recovery community
- Patients' long-term recovery depends on community connections
- Contributing to the community is part of the mission
- Partnerships strengthen care beyond the program's walls
- Service to the community renews the organization's own sense of purpose

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations can become focused on their own census and outcomes and lose sight of the community around them. Those that connect with mutual-aid groups, recovery community organizations and local partners, and give back through service, strengthen the support patients rely on after discharge.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff who take part in community service and partnerships see recovery beyond the program: people thriving years later, communities rallying around those who struggle. That wider view

renews their hope and reminds them their work is part of something larger.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

How connected is your organization to the recovery community around it? What does your community need that you could help with? Where do your patients find support after discharge, and how well do you know those places?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Community connection map:

- List the recovery groups, organizations and partners in your community
- Note how strong your connection is with each
- Ask patients and alumni where they find support after discharge
- Identify one community need your organization could help meet
- Plan a service project or partnership around that need

ACTION STEP

Choose one community recovery partner to strengthen your connection with, and plan one service project with staff this year.

Step 12: Service Leadership

Living the Transformation

Step Twelve begins with embodying the change. In recovery, Step Twelve speaks of a spiritual awakening as the result of the steps, and of practicing these principles in all our affairs. For an organization, it means showing through daily operations that real change has happened and can last.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Transformation is shown in daily practice, not announcements
- Change must hold up under pressure and over time
- Complacency is the greatest threat to lasting change
- Every interaction is a chance to show who the organization has become
- Ongoing growth keeps transformation alive

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

After a period of hard work, organizations are tempted to declare success and relax. But old habits return quietly when attention fades. Organizations that keep practicing the principles of the earlier steps — honesty, accountability, reflection, amends — make their transformation part of who they are rather than a phase they went through.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff are the first to notice whether change is real. When the new way of working holds through busy seasons, staff turnover and setbacks, staff come to trust it. When it slips, they conclude it was never real.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Where can you see real, lasting change in your organization's daily practice? Where are old habits starting to return? What would complacency look like here, and how would you notice it?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Transformation check:

- List the key changes made through the earlier steps
- For each, ask: is this still happening every day?
- Identify any changes that are slipping and why
- Decide what will keep each change in place
- Plan how you will keep checking

ACTION STEP

Review the key changes your organization has made, identify any that are slipping, and choose one action to bring it back on track this month.

Carrying the Message to the Field

Step Twelve asks the organization to share its transformation with others: lessons learned, practices that worked, and hope that change is possible. In recovery, carrying the message is how people pass on what was freely given to them. Organizations can do the same for the field.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- What the organization learned can help others avoid the same mistakes
- Sharing honestly includes failures, not just successes
- Case studies and presentations spread practical lessons
- Sharing keeps the organization accountable to what it says
- Carrying the message is a form of service

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Many treatment organizations struggle with the same problems in isolation. An organization that has worked through its own difficulties holds knowledge others need. Sharing it through conference presentations, published case studies and open conversations helps the whole field improve faster.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff who help tell the organization's story gain pride in what they have helped build. Presenting or writing about the work also deepens their own understanding and helps them grow as professionals.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What has your organization learned that others in the field could use? Are you willing to share your failures as well as your successes? Who on your team could help tell the story?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Message-sharing plan:

- List the most useful lessons from your organization's transformation
- Decide which to share and in what form: presentation, article, case study
- Include what went wrong and what you learned from it
- Invite staff to take part in telling the story
- Choose one opportunity to share this year

ACTION STEP

Choose one lesson from your organization's transformation and share it with the field this year through a presentation, article or case study, with staff involved in telling it.

Mentoring Other Organizations

Step Twelve includes guiding and supporting other organizations that want to go through a similar transformation. In recovery, sponsorship means walking alongside someone who is earlier in the journey. Organizational mentoring offers the same: experience, encouragement and honesty to those just starting.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- Mentoring shares experience, not instructions
- Each organization's path will look different
- Honesty about what was hard helps others prepare
- Mentoring strengthens the mentor organization as well
- Ongoing relationships do more than one-time advice

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Organizations beginning to change often feel alone and unsure where to start. A mentor organization that has been through it can offer realistic expectations, practical tools and encouragement. Like a sponsor, the mentor does not do the work for them, but shows that it can be done.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff who mentor colleagues in other organizations deepen their own learning and see their experience become useful to others. It also builds professional relationships across the field that support everyone involved.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Which organizations in your area might benefit from your experience? What would you have wanted from a mentor when you began your own transformation? Do you have the capacity to mentor without stretching your own team too thin?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Mentoring plan:

- Identify organizations that might benefit from mentoring
 - Decide what you can realistically offer and how often
 - Choose staff who are ready to share their experience
 - Agree simple terms with the organization you mentor
 - Check in regularly on how the relationship is going for both sides
-
-
-

ACTION STEP

Reach out to one organization earlier in its journey and offer a mentoring relationship with clear, realistic commitments from both sides.

Leading Beyond Our Walls

Step Twelve calls the organization to take leadership roles in the wider field: professional associations, policy advocacy and field-wide improvement efforts. An organization that has changed itself has standing to help change the systems around it.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- The organization's experience gives it a voice in the field
- Professional associations and improvement initiatives need active members
- Policy advocacy can improve care beyond any one program
- Advocacy should be grounded in real experience and evidence
- Field leadership must not take attention away from the organization's own patients

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

Many of the problems treatment organizations face — funding, workforce shortages, regulations, stigma — cannot be solved by any one program. Organizations that take part in associations, improvement efforts and policy conversations help shape a field where good care is easier to give.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff often see clearly how policies and systems affect patients. When the organization invites staff into advocacy and field leadership, their front-line knowledge becomes a voice for change, and their work feels connected to something larger.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

Where does your organization currently have a voice in the wider field? Which system problems most affect your patients? How could your experience help shape better policy or practice?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Field leadership plan:

- List the associations, groups and initiatives relevant to your work
- Identify where your organization could contribute most
- Name the system problems that most affect your patients
- Gather the experience and evidence that supports your view
- Choose staff to represent the organization and support them in the role

ACTION STEP

Choose one professional association, improvement initiative or policy issue, and commit a staff member to active participation this year.

Hope, Service and the Model of Possibility

Step Twelve recognizes that sharing transformation is itself a form of service, and that an organization's story can inspire hope in patients, families, staff and other providers. By showing that organizational recovery is possible, the organization becomes a model of possibility — while staying committed to its own growth.

KEY PRINCIPLES

- An organization's story of change can give others hope
- Showing that recovery is possible is a form of service
- Hope is shared with patients, families, staff and other providers
- Humility keeps the story honest and the organization growing
- The journey of growth continues; there is no finish line

ORGANIZATIONAL INSIGHT

People in struggling organizations often believe things can't change. Seeing another organization that did change — honestly told, with its setbacks — makes change feel possible. In the same way, patients and families draw hope from an organization that practices the recovery it asks of them.

STAFF PERSPECTIVE

Staff who have lived through the organization's transformation carry a powerful message. Sharing it reminds them how far they have come and keeps them committed to the growth still ahead.

LEADERSHIP REFLECTION

What story of change could your organization tell honestly today? Who most needs to hear that change is possible — patients, families, staff, other providers? How will you keep growing so the story stays true?

PRACTICAL EXERCISE

Hope and service plan:

- Write your organization's transformation story in a page, including the setbacks
- Decide who needs to hear it and how
- Look for ways to share hope with patients and families, not only the field
- Name the areas where your organization is still growing
- Set your next round of growth goals so the journey continues

ACTION STEP

Write your organization's transformation story honestly, share it with one audience that needs hope, and set your next growth goals so the journey continues.
